Pali Text Society

JOURNAL

OF THE

PALI TEXT SOCIETY

VOLUME XIX

EDITED BY

K.R. NORMAN

Published by
THE PALI TEXT SOCIETY
OXFORD

1993

© Pali Text Society 1993 ISBN 0 86013 309 5

First published in 1993
Distributed by Lavis Marketing
73 Lime Walk
Oxford OX3 7AD
Printed in Great Britain by
Antony Rowe Ltd, Chippenham, Wiltshire

CONTENTS

Pāli manuscript collections in the Wellcome Institute By Jacqueline Filliozat	
The commentaries to the <i>Anāgatavaṃsa</i> in the Pāli manuscripts of the Paris collections By Jacqueline Filliozat	4:
Corrections to <i>The Book of the Discipline</i> By Thiradhammo Bhikkhu	6
Theravādin literature in Tibetan translation By Peter Skilling	6
External sandhi in Pāli (with special reference to the <i>Suttanipāta</i>) By K.R. Norman	20
Contributors to this Volume	21
Notices	21



A SURVEY OF THE BURMESE AND SIAMESE PĀLI MANUSCRIPT COLLECTIONS IN THE WELLCOME INSTITUTE*

The purpose of this list is to facilitate access and handling, and to provide a basis for a more detailed scholarly investigation later. It is expected that the manuscripts mentioned here will be fully described at some future date.

The list comprises 106 manuscripts containing 140 identified texts, some of them being rare items, unique in European collections.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 1

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 9 (kha-khō); 520×95 mm; 7 lines, 62 char. in black lac, Burmese medium round script, 480 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 2

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 560×130 mm; 6 lines, 22 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 525 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

^{*} Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine, 183, Euston Road, London.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 3

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 10 (ka-kh \bar{a}); 532 × 80 mm; 4 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 4

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 13 (ka-khī); 590×115 mm; 6 lines, 38 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 550 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 5

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 15 (ka-khi); 530×80 mm; 4 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 495 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 6

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 15 (kha-gī); 540 × 115 mm; 6 lines, 36 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 505 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 7

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves with curved edges; ff. 13 (ka-?); 530×95 mm; 5 lines, 32 char. in black lac, unusual tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm, special punctuation marks in shape of a tower; 2 covers, 545×95 mm, not belonging to this ms., made of 6 thin wooden chopsticks glued on a white leather piece. + a single gilded decorated metal plate; 535×100 mm; 6 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind large script, 510 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 8

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 535×98 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 510 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red, not belonging to this ms.: 560×112 mm; verso in large ornamental calligraphy, round Burmese script: sut sīlakkhan pāļitō pāth dutiyathup

Wms. Burmese-Pali 9

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Silverplated decorated palm-leaves; ff. 12 (kha-?); 540×95 mm; 5 lines, 30 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 1 red lacquered wooden cover, gilded and decorated in red, not belonging to this ms.

5 lines, 30 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 550 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 10

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 3 (kī, ku, ke); 535 × 100 mm; 6 lines, 30 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 510 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 11

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated ivory plates; ff. 11[ka-kam]; 535 × 90 mm; 6 lines, 28 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 485 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red. Slightly damaged. Fly note in English: Kamawasah book a Burmese manuscript containing ordination service of a Buddhist Priest. From the Palace of King Theebaw. + Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 4 (ka?, ku, kha and?); 530 × 82 mm; 4 lines; 30 char in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 12

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 7 (ka-ke); 470 × 82 mm; 6 lines, 46 char. in black lac, Burmese medium round script, 440 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 13

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 17 (ka-khu?); 540×92 mm;

Wms. Burmese-Pali 14

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2.16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (kha-gī); 515 × 120 mm; 6 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 15

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (kha-gī); 520 × 120 mm; 6 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 495 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 16

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 17 (no foliation); 530×90 mm; 5 lines, 38 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, 550 × 110 mm, gilded and decorated in red on recto, plain black on verso. Not belonging to this ms.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 17

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (kha-gī); 535 × 110 mm; 6

lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 505 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 18

6

Dasanguttara fragments (CPD 2.4)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 24 (ki, kam-khā, khu, ñañāh, jū-jo, jāh only); 538 × 100 mm; 8 lines, 60 char. in black lac, square medium script, 455 mm; 2 cord holes; 2 hard cardboard covers, covered with a check cotton cloth, grey and off-white.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 19

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 580 × 115 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 540 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 20

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 535 × 110 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 510 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 21

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 10 (kha-khō); 560 × 110 mm; 6 lines, 36 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 525 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 22

1. [Jātaka] — Catupañcachanipāt jāt fragments (CPD 2.5.10)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 9 (leaves mixed); 542 × 95 mm; 7 lines, 64 char. in black lac, Burmese medium square script, 470 mm. (f. thi, margins in large fine tamarind-seed script giving the colophon in Burmese language)

- 2. [Vinayapitaka] fragments (CPD 1.2)
 - A. Pārājikaţţhakathā
 - B. Mahāvaggatthakathā

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth ?; ff. 2 (tha, $p\bar{u}$?); 530 × 98 mm; 8 lines, 65 char. in black lac, Burmese medium square script, 450 mm; 2 dark red lacquered wooden covers, lightly engraved with ornamental motifs, then gilded.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 23

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 11 (f. ka lacking; kā-kāh); 532 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm.

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute

Wms. Burmese-Pali 24

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Silverplated decorated palm-leaves; ff. 10 (kha-kho?); 525×80 mm; 6 lines, 48 char. in black lac, Burmese round medium script, 490 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 25

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

- 1. Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 3 ($k\bar{a}$, $k\bar{i}$, ku); 590 × 115 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 550 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.
- 2. Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 4 (kī, ku, gā, gi); 590 × 130 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 560 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 26

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 13 (ka-kha); 540×95 mm; 5 lines, 30 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 27

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 555 × 110 mm; 6 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 520 mm; 2 red

lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 28

[Majjhimanikāya] fragments (CPD 2.2)

f. ghā a line 1: gaṇakamoggalānasuttantam sattamam | cf. M III 1

f. ghū b line 8: gopakamoggalānasuttantam aṭṭhamam | cf. M III 7

f. ghaḥ a line 8: cūlapuṇṇāmasuttantaṃ dasamaṃ devadahamaggo paṭhamo | cf. M III 24

f. chā a line 2: isigilisuttantam chatthamam | cf. M III 68

f. chu b line 8: mahācattārisuttantam sattamam | cf. M III 71

f. tū b line 4: upakkilesasuttantam atthamam | cf. M III 152

f. tam a line 4: channova[da]suttantam dutiyam | cf. M III 263

Palm-leaves; ff. 48 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 520×55 mm; 8 lines, 82 char., 450 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 29

1. [Vinayaṭṭhakathā — Samantapāsādikā] — Pācit aṭṭhakathā + Bhikkhunī pācit aṭṭhakathā + Aṭṭhakathā bhikkhuvibhaṅga fragments (CPD 1.2,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 134 (ka-thā, many leaves missing); gilded edges, black ornaments and a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 495×62 mm; 8 lines 450 mm; Burmese round medium script.

2. Pārajikan aṭṭhakathānissya fragment Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 1.2,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 144 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 520 × 65 mm; 9 lines, 90 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script, + a sa-si-gyo cotton ribbon interwoven with geometrical motifs, without letters.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 30

Rājanīti, Tīkakyō [= Abhidhammatthavibhāvanītīkā] (CPD 3.8.1,2). Mātika... Unādipāth fragments Pāli-Burmese nissava

Palm-leaves: ff. 295 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 480 × 60 mm; 11 lines, 96 char., 390 mm; Burmese round small script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded on recto only.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 31

Tika Anguttarapālitō fragments (CPD 2.4)

Palm-leaves; ff. 232 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 490 × 65 mm; 10 lines, 88 char., 385 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded on recto only; ff. ii. Blank fly leaves.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 32

1. Visuddhimagga fragments (CPD 2.8.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 216 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 505 × 50 mm; 8 lines, 100 char., 450 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 brown lacquered wooden covers; ff. i. Blank fly leaf.

2. [Abhidhammapitaka] — Dhammasanganī fragments (CPD 3.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 113 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion: 2 cord holes: 500 × 52 mm; 8 lines, 82 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 33

Vinavapitaka — Mahāvagga (CPD 1. 2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 254 (ka-ba); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 495 × 62 mm; 9 lines, 68 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 34

Gambhīvatthadesanā/Gambhīratthadesanā Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 159 (ka-dam, mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 520 × 65 mm; 9 lines, 86 char., 410 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 light brown wooden covers.

Text unknown in other catalogues and histories of Pāli literature. Different from Burmese ed. found in India Office, call no. D 847/1 Gambhīradhammadesanā kyam, by Pandidhamma U, (sermons) Rangoon, 1919. See also ms. India Office PALI 186, different.

Date: BS 1217 = 1855 AD

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 13

Wms. Burmese-Pali 35

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2.16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (na-ci); 520 × 120 mm; 6 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 495 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 36

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth or palm-leaves ?; ff. 10 (ga-gō); 490 × 100 mm; 6 lines, 34 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 470 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated with relief-moulded lacquer (thayo) and glass-inlay (Hman-zi shwei-cha) + a sa-si-gyo long cotton ribbon interwoven with Burmese letters.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 37

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 9 (ka-kō, lacking kī); 532 × 118 mm; 6 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 505 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 38

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 525 × 120 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red. + a sa-si-gyo long Wms. Burmese-Pali 39

cotton ribbon interwoven with Burmese letters.

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 10 (ga-gō); 475 × 88 mm; 6 lines, 28 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 450 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated with relief-moulded lacquer (thayo) and glass-inlay (Hman-zi shwei-cha).

Wms. Burmese-Pali 40

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 15 (ka-khi); 540 × 90 mm; 5 lines, 26 char, in black lac, unusual tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm, special punctuation marks in shape of a tower. Special calligraphy.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 41

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 550 × 115 mm; 6 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 520 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, on recto only, verso black lacquered. Wrapped in a cotton cloth printed with flowers motifs in white and blue, red border. + long woollen yellow ribbon.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 42

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 11 (ka-kō + penultimate f., without foliation); 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 26 char. in black lac, tamarindseed large script, 500 mm; 2 very different red lacquered wooden covers, not belonging to this ms.; on the 1st, in ink; "This ancient manuscript is presented to Suffolk Burg (?) Museum by Dr. Probart." A fly note (printed): "Ancient Burmese Manuscript presented (1857) by Dr. F. G. Probart A. VII., 3."

Wms. Burmese-Pali 43

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 8 (ka-ke); 520 × 110 mm; 8 lines, 60 char, in black lac, Burmese round medium script, 490 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 44

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 550 × 105 mm; 6 lines, 32 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 520 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 45

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 550 × 110 mm; 6

lines, 30 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 520 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 46

1. Chanipāt anguttarapāli fragments (CPD 2.4)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 3 (nī, nē, nam only); 542 × 95 mm: 8 lines, 54 char, in black lac, square medium script, 470 mm; 2 cord holes.

2. Mūlayamuik pāļi fragments

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 9 (thi, the, tho, tham, da, da, dī, dū, de only): 542 × 95 mm; 8 lines, 54 char, in black lac, square medium script, 470 mm; 2 cord holes; 2 modern rough wooden covers not belonging to this ms.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 47

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (kha-gī); 522 × 122 mm; 6 lines, 34 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 48

Dhātukathātīkā fragments (CPD 3.3,18?) Pāli-Burmese-nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 88 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 530 × 65 mm; 10 lines, 80 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 49

[Vinavapitaka] — Cūlavagga fragment (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 6 (cū-cam + 1 blank fly leaf); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 530 × 65 mm; 10 lines, 80 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script. + 1 fly leaf inscribed in English: "Corypha Talipot ... Ceylon"

Wms. Burmese-Pali 50

1. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 12 (ka-[kāh]); 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 34 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 550 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

2. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 9 (khā-khō, lacking kha and ff. at the end); 570 × 118 mm; 6 lines, 30 char. in black lac covering red lac pattern which is sometimes visible, tamarind-seed large script, 520 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Both mss. wrapped in an orange coloured rough cotton cloth printed with flowers in black.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 51

[Vinayapitaka — Suttavibhanga] — Pārājika fragments (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 50 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 510 × 60 mm; 8 lines, 72 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 52

Atthasālinī — Dhammasanganīatthakathā Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 3.1,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 316 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 500 × 60 mm; 9 lines, 60 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 53

[Vinayapitaka — Suttavibhanga] — Pārāiika fragment (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 25 (ka-khah); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 510 × 55 mm; 7 lines, 76 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 54

Vinavasāra fragment Pāli-Burmese nissava (CPD 1.3.3.1?)

Palm-leaves; ff. 23 (mixed) gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion: 2 cord holes: 492 × 58 mm; 10 lines, 86 char., 390 mm; Burmese round small script; 2 hard cardboard covers bound with cotton cloth printed in blue and red flowers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 55

1. Unidentified text fragment

Palm-leaves damaged; ff. 2 (phā, phē) gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 505 × 55 mm; 8 lines, 55 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script.

2. Pālimuttakavinicchayasangaha (CPD 1.3.5)

Palm-leaves; ff. 19 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 500×55 mm; 8 lines, 74 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 56

[Saṃyuttanikāya] — Saļāyatanasutta (CPD 2.3)

Palm-leaves; ff. 235 (ka-d \bar{e}); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 490 \times 50 mm; 7 lines, 75 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Date: AD 1784

Wms. Burmese-Pali 57

1. Unidentified text fragments

Beg. f. ka a: namo tassa...sīle patiṭṭhāya naro sapparisā cittaṃ pañañca bhāvayaṃ ātā pi nipako bhikkhuso imaṃ vijaṭaye jaṭanti | iti hidaṃ vuttaṃ | kasmā panetaṃ | bhagavantaṃ kira sāvatthiyaṃ viharantaṃ rattibhāge āñataro devaputto upasaṅkamitvā | attano saṃsayasamugghāṭatthaṃ |

Palm-leaves; ff. (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 510×55 mm; 8 lines, 95 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Date: BS 1191 = 1829 AD

2. Dhammasangani fragments (CPD 3.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 46 (mixed); gilded edges decorated in black, with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 500×55 mm; 8 lines, 65 char., 425 mm; Burmese round medium script. + a few palm-leaf fragments.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 58

[Vinayaṭṭhakathā] — Samantapāsādikā — Mahāvagga — Cullavagga (CPD 1.2,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 243 (ka-dāḥ); dark red lacquered edges; 2 cord holes; 480×52 mm; 8 lines, 65 char., 390 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 59

Suttasangaha Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 2.9.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 348 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 480×55 mm; 10 lines, 85 char., 390 mm; Burmese round small script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Date: BS. 1224 = 1862 A. D.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 60

[Vinayapiṭaka — Suttavibhaṅga] — Pārājika (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 186 (mixed) + 14 small fragments of palm-leaves; gilded edges with a middle band of dark red; 2 cord holes; $505 \times$

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 21

60 mm; 9 lines, 86 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Date: BS 1208 = 1846 AD

20

Wms Burmese-Pali 61

[Abhidhammapitaka] — Vibhanga (CPD 3.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 239 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 510 × 55 mm; 8 lines, 86 char., 440 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Date: BS 1170 = 1808 AD (Hodgson 12/5/1916)

Wms. Burmese-Pali 62

[Pātimokkha-atthakathā] — Kankhāvitaranī (CPD 1.1,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 379 (ka-lō); gilded edges decorated with red flower motifs with a middle band of dark red; 2 cord holes; 500 × 50 mm; 7 lines, 56 char., 430 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Date: BS 1175? = 1813 AD?

Wms. Burmese-Pali 63

Khuddasikkhā Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 1.3.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 174 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of dark red; 2 cord holes; 490 × 58 mm; 9 lines, 75 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded, decorated in red, not belonging to this ms., 1st cover verso: gilded cartouche with a title in Burmese not corresponding to the present text.

Date: BS 1140 = 1778 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 64

[Vinayapitaka — Pātimokkha] — Bhikkhunīpācittiva — Pācittiya (CPD 1.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 172 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 475 × 60 mm; 11 lines, 95 char., 395 mm; Burmese round small script; 2 lacquered wooden covers in red on recto, black on verso.

Date: BS 1222-23 = 1860-61 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 65

1. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Palm-leaves; ff. 160 (ka-cho); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 560 × 75 mm; 7 lines, 45 char., 440 mm; Burmese round large script.

2. Byañjanavuddhi Pāli-Burmese nissava

Palm-leaves; ff. 19 (ka-ko).

3. Kammavāpāth Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 17 (kō-khu).

4. Sapit tthok kan? Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves: ff. 8 (khū-khō).

5. Kammavānisva Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves: ff. 26 (kham-ghū).

6. Kathin ame: ? Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 30 (ghe-nu).

7. Kathinadīpanī Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 36 (nū-chū); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 560 × 75 mm; 12 lines, 45 char., 440 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers. A label on the edge of a wooden cover, inscribed in black ink: "Pounji Bibles Burmese sacred books written on stripes of palm. Sent by B.C.A.F. from Taungduvinji 25/5/87".

Date: BS 1225 = 1863 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 66

1. [Vinayapiṭaka] — Parivāpālito (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 226 (ka-dhe); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 525 × 58 mm; 8 lines, 70 char., 450 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Date: BS 1068 = 1706 AD

2. Mahāumaggajātaka Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 2.5.10,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 44 (mixed); red lacquered edges; 2 cord holes; 490 × 55 mm; 8 lines, 76 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Date: BS 1198 = 1836 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 67

1. Kaccāyanavannanā (CPD 5.1.3)

Palm-leaves; ff. 216 (ka-thē).

2. Niyam Pāli-Burmese nissava

Palm-leaves; ff. 19 (tho-do). cf. Braun BM. 2, 1985, pp. 12-13 no. 171.

3. Kutuī achum aprut? Pāli-Burmese nissava

Palm-leaves; ff. 26 (dō-nu).

4. Dhammapadapālitō, Dhammapadapālitō pāth. Dhammapadapāļitō nisya, Dhammapadanisya Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 2.5.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 103 (do-re); red lacquered edges; 2 cord holes; 480 × 65 mm; 12 lines, 78 char., 390 mm; Burmese round small script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Date: BS 123234 = 1870-72 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 68

[Vinayapitaka — Suttavibhanga] — Pārājikam atthakathānisya Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 1.1)

Palm-leaves: ff. 345 (ka-la); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion: 2 cord holes: 520 × 65 mm; 10 lines, 80 char., 435 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 dark red lacquered wooden covers on recto, black on verso, rough work.

Date: BS 1135 = 1773 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 69

1. Abhidhammatthavibhavani — Abhidhammatthasangahatikā — Tikākyopāth (CPD 3.8.1,2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 88 (ka-chō, mixed, the beginning ff. are found at the end of the next text!).

2. Atthasālinī — Dhammasanganī-atthakathā (CPD 3.1,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 107 (cam-ba, mixed); red lacquered edges; 2 cord holes: 492 × 58 mm; 10 lines, 80 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers + long cotton ribbon interwoven with Burmese letters (sa-si-gyo).

Date: BS 1228 = 1866 AD

Wms. Burmese-Pali 70

[Pātimokkha-atthakathā] — Kankhāvitaranī Pāli-Burmese nissava (CPD 1.1,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 351 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion: 2 cord holes: 480 × 55 mm; 9 lines, 75 char., 385 mm; Burmese round medium script; a single wooden cover with a broken seal in black wax, in ink: "Burmese Bible taken from the Temple at Mandalay during the war".

Wms. Burmese-Pali 71

[Pātimokkha-atthakathā] — Kankhāganthi Pāli-Burmese nissaya (CPD 1.1,1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 210 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 510 × 65 mm; 9 lines, 75 char., 420 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 72

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 10 (kha-khō); 520 × 150 mm: 6 lines, 28 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 73

Upasampadākammavācā (CPD 1.2,16) fragments

(ku): ma bhante | nasi rājabhato | āma bhante | anuñatosi mātāpitūhi | āma bhante | paripunnatavisati vassosi | āma bhante...

cf. ed. Dickson 1873, p. 4 lines 15-16 or ed. Frankfurter 1883, p. 142 lines 6-8

(kū) āvasmato tissassa upasampadāpekho | vadi samghassa pattakallam | aham nāgam antarāvike dhamme pucchevyam | sunasi nāga | ayante saccakālo | bhūtakālo | yam jatam | tam pucchāmi | santam atthīti vattabbam | asantam natthīti vattabbam | sa

cf. ed. Dickson 1875, p. 4 lines 26-29 or ed. Frankfurter 1883, p. 142 lines 23-27

Ivory plates; ff. 2 (ku-k \bar{u}); 535 × 90 mm; 4 lines, 21 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 485 mm; 2 margins gilded and decorated in red; unfortunately we cannot read the text on the verso plates as both are covered by the back of the frame; both ff. are framed separately with wood black lacquered and gilded motifs in relief-moulded lacquer (thavo).

Wms. Burmese-Pali 74

[Vinayapitaka — Suttavibhanga] — Pācit pālitō fragments (CPD 1.1)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 10 (kī-kō, khī, khū, khe only); 2 cord holes; 545 × 98 mm; 8 lines, 55 char., 465 mm; Burmese square medium script in black lac.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 75

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Silvered decorated palm-leaves; ff. 6 (ka-ki, ku, kū, kē); 535 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 cardboard covers covered with an Indian (?) printed cotton cloth, blue, red, vellow floral motifs.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 76

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 7 (mixed, belonging to 3 different mss.: [1] ki, ku, $k\bar{u}$; [2] $k\bar{a}$?, ki, $k\bar{i}$; [3] $kh\bar{e}$); approx. 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 510 mm; 2 cardboard covers covered with a check cotton cloth in red, brown, offwhite.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 77

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 530 × 105 mm; 6 lines, 33 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 78

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 19 (ka-khē, lacking f. kā); 570 × 105 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 525 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 79

[Abhidhammamātikā]

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 10 (ka-kō, f. ka damaged); 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; finest decorations of the collection.

Stevens 7/5/07

Wms. Burmese-Pali 80

1. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 12 (ka-kah); 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 36 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

2. Kammavācā fragment (CPD 1.2.16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; a single f. (ka); 540 × 95 mm; 5 lines, 32 char, in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 81

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2.16)

Silverplated decorated metal plates; ff. 8 (ga-gē); 520 × 112 mm; 8 lines, 62 char, in black lac, Burmese round medium script, 490 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, silvered and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 82

Kammavācā fragment (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 13 (khā-gi, lacking the first and last ff. [kha and gī]); 530 × 120 mm; 6 lines, 32 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 83

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2.16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (kha-gī); 500 × 110 mm; 6 lines, 38 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 475 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 84

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 13 (ka-kha); 540 × 105 mm; 6 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red, shorter than the ff., not belonging to this ms.

Stevens 7/5/07

Wms. Burmese-Pali 85

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 16 (ka-khī); 520 × 105 mm; 6 lines, 35 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 86

1. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 16 (na-cī); 510 × 110 mm; 6 lines, 30 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 490 mm; 2 red

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 31

lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red.

2. Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16) fragment

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; a single f. (ku); 510×120 mm; 6 lines, damaged on right hand, char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm?

Wms. Burmese-Pali 87

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated metal plates; ff. 10 (da-dō); 480×90 mm; 6 lines, 28 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 450 mm; 2 black lacquered wooden covers on recto, decorated and gilded with floral motifs in relief-moulded lacquer (thayo) + glass-inlay (Hman-zi shwei-cha), slightly damaged on border, red lacquered on verso.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 88

Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

Gilded decorated palm-leaves; ff. 15 (ka-khī, lacking f. kā); 540 \times 95 mm; 5 lines, 34 char. in black lac, tamarind-seed large script, 500 mm; 2 dark red lacquered wooden covers, gilded and decorated in red; bound with a *sa-si-gyo* ribbon interwoven with Burmese letters.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 89

[Vinayapiṭaka] — Parivāpālito (CPD 1.2)

Palm-leaves; ff. 8 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of

vermilion; 2 cord holes; 500×60 mm; 9 lines, 72 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 90

[Yamaka] fragments

Palm-leaves worn out, many damaged; ff. 136 (mixed) + many fragments; gilded edges with a middle band of dark red; 2 cord holes; 490×58 mm; 8 lines, 72 char., 410 mm; Burmese round medium script; a single wooden cover.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 91

[Kaccāyana?] grammar fragments

Palm-leaves; ff. 68 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 510×60 mm; 11 lines, 90 char., 410 mm; Burmese round medium script; 2 red lacquered wooden covers.

Date: BS 1240 = 1878 AD

Stevens 3/9/07

Wms. Burmese-Pali 92

1. [Kaccāyana ?] — Nāmanisya grammar fragments Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 7 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 490×60 mm; 11 lines, 78 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script.

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 33

2. [Grammar?] fragments Pāli-Burmese nissaya

Palm-leaves; ff. 44 (ka-ghāḥ); red lacquered and gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 490×58 mm; 9 lines, 68 char., 400 mm; Burmese round medium script.

Wms. Burmese-Pali 93

[Khuddakanikāya] — Mahāniddesa pāļitō pāṭh dutiyathūp fragments (CPD 2.5.11¹)

Gilded decorated stiffened cloth; ff. 109 (mixed); 2 cord holes; 535×98 mm; 8 lines, 55 char., 450 mm; Burmese square medium script in black lac; 2 red lacquered, gilded and decorated in red wooden covers, on both versos, title in a cartouche in large tamarind seed script. + sa-si-gyo, long very fine exceptional silk (?) ribbon interwoven with Burmese letters + 2 ff. black lacquered, margins red lacquered, not belonging to this ms.

A fly note, worn out: "Mr C.J.S. Thompson. Herewith book of Burmese Sacred Writings, ... Wellcome through Mr Long — the following is a note regarding ... It is the Burmese Sacred Writings made from the silk ... Mindon's dress, lacquered and gilded by the priests, ... 28 May 1903".

Wms Thai-Pali 1

- 1. [Abhidhammamātikā]
 - A. Dhammasanganī
 - B. Vibhanga
 - C. Dhätukathä
 - D. Puggalapaññatti
 - E. Kathāvatthu

F. Yamaka

- G. Mahāpatthāna
- 2. Dhammasahassanayya
- 3. Phra Malai (Siamese)

Folding book, khoi paper, off-white; 50 folds (not numbered); 660 × 125 mm; 5 lines, 45 char. inscribed two-sided in black ink; Mūl script; 16 paired illustrations. (Text and ill. fully described in J. Filliozat Répertoire des textes pālis dans les manuscrits illustrés de la Péninsule indochinoise, Collections françaises et britanniques, to be issued EFEO, Paris).

No date (Ginsburg 1989, circa 1860-80)

Wms Thai-Pali 2

- 1. [Abhidhammamātikā]
 - A. Dhammasanganī
 - B. Vibhanga
 - C. Dhātukathā
 - D. Puggalapaññatti
 - E. Kathāvatthu
 - F. Yamaka
 - G. Mahāpaţţhāna
- 2. Phra Malai (Siamese)

Folding book, khoi paper, off-white; 48 folds (not numbered); 630×135 mm; 5 lines, 50 char. inscribed two-sided in black ink; Mūl script; 14 paired illustrations. (Text and ill. fully described in J. Filliozat op. cit. above).

No date (Ginsburg 1989, mid-19th century)

Wms Thai-Pali 3

- 1. [Abhidhammamātikā]
 - A. Dhammasangani
 - B. Vibhanga
 - C. Dhātukathā
 - D. Puggalapaññatti
 - E. Kathāvatthu
 - F. Yamaka
 - G. Mahāpatthāna
- 2. Dhammasahassanayya
- 3. Phra Malai (Siamese)

Folding book, khoi paper, off-white; 41 folds (not numbered); 665 × 125 mm; 5 lines, 45 char. inscribed two-sided in black ink; Mūl script; 16 paired illustrations. (Text and ill. fully described in J. Filliozat op. cit. above).

No date (Ginsburg 1989, circa 1850-70)

Wms Thai-Pali 4

- 1. Phra Malai (Siamese)
- 2. [Dīghanikāya Brahmajālasutta] abridged (CPD 2.1)
- 3. [Abhidhammamātikā]
 - A. Dhammasanganī
 - B. Vibhanga
 - C. Dhātukathā
 - D. [Puggalapaññatti]
 - E. [Kathāvatthu]
 - F. Yamaka
 - G. Mahāpatthāna
- 4. [Mahābuddhagunā] fragment

Folding book, khoi paper, off-white; 34 folds (not numbered); 640 × 112 mm; 5 lines, 35 char. inscribed two-sided in black ink; Mūl script; Fine illustrations. (Text and ill. fully described in J. Filliozat op. cit. above).

No date (Ginsburg 1989, end 18th century)

Wms Thai-Pali 11

1.Tepitakaganthi Sihala

Palm-leaves; 16 bundles (lacking phūk 1); ff. 509 (ga-kyam, lacking ka-khah); gilded edges decorated in black with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 580 × 55 mm; 5 lines, 66 char., 480 mm; Mūl script; 2 black lacquered wooden covers finely decorated with golden floral ornaments on recto, red lacquered on verso; wrapped in 2 silk cloths, 1st one, white and blue, embroidered with spangles, 2nd one, red and gold thread. [Very beautiful.] Unknown text?

2. Anguttaranikāya pañcakanipāta fragment (CPD 2.4)

Palm-leaves; ff. 27 (dha-ne); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 555 × 50 mm; 5 lines, 60 char., 470 mm; Mūl script; 2 black lacquered wooden covers decorated with golden floral ornaments on recto, red lacquered on verso. (Text and ill. fully described in J. Filliozat op. cit. above).

No date (Ginsburg 1989: 1st half 19th century)

Wms Thai-Pali 15

1. Abhidhammasangīnīpariccheda fragment Pāli-Siamese nissaya

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 37

(CPD 3.1)

Palm-leaves; ff. 14 (ka-ke); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 545 × 45 mm; 5 lines, 60 char., 470 mm; Mūl and Siamese scripts.

2. Abhidhammapitaka fragment Pāli-Siamese nissaya (CPD 3)

Palm-leaves: 6 bundles (lacking phūk 5); ff. 152 (ka-jū, lacking je-nah) ta-nī); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 555 × 52 mm; 5 lines, 80 char., 450 mm; Mūl and Siamese scripts; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Thai-Pali 16

Atthakathāpetavatthu (CPD 2.5.7,1)

Palm-leaves; 9 bundles (lacking phük 1); ff. 303 (mixed); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 550 × 50 mm; 5 lines, 82 char., 460 mm; Mūl script; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Thai-Pali 17

Sārasangaha (CPD 2.9.3)

Palm-leaves; 14 bundles (lacking phūk 1-3); ff. 388 (cha-lahkya-khyā); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 540 × 45 mm; 5 lines, 60 char., 460 mm; Mül script; 2 wooden covers.

Wms. Thai-Pali 18

Dhammapada fragment (CPD 2.5.2)

Palm-leaves: ff. 30 (khra-grah); phūk 14 only; gilded edges with

a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 540 × 55 mm; 5 lines, 76 char., 450 mm; Mūl script.

Wms. Thai-Pali 20

Suttanta...Abhidhammapitaka, etc. fragments Pāli-Northern Thainissava?

Palm-leaves; ff. 210 (mixed); 7 bundles; gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 530 × 48 mm; 5 lines, 62 char., 450 mm; Thai-Lao? medium script; 2 wooden covers, wrapped in a cotton cloth.

Wms Thai-Pali 21

[Abhidhammatthakathā] abridged

Palm-leaves; 7 bundles; ff. 203 (mixed); gilded edges; 2 cord holes; 570 × 50 mm; 5 lines, 65 char., 490 mm; Mūl script; 2 wooden covers, wrapped in a worn out cotton cloth printed with stripes reddish, white and green.

Wms Thai-Pali 22

[Pātimokkha-atthakathā] — Kankhāvitaranī fragment (CPD 1.1,1)

Kankhāvitaranī mātikatthakathā tatiya kalāpa | bra kankhāvitaranī phūk 3 | cpa pārājikākaņda |

Palm-leaves; ff. 31 (na-cah); gilded edges with a middle band of vermilion; 2 cord holes; 560 × 55 mm; 5 lines, 66 char., 470 mm; Mül

script.

Wms. Yuan-Pali 1

1.Kammavācā (CPD 1.2,16)

2. [Vinayapitaka — Pātimokkha] (CPD 1,1)

Folding book, 39 folds, white paper, gilded covers, 540×95 mm; large Yuan script, in black ink; 40 char. 470 mm, central text, gilded margins on boths parts.

Index of Pāli texts in the Burmese and Siamese Manuscript Collections in the Wellcome Institute

Anguttaranikāya chanipāta Wms. Burmese-Pali 46(1)

Anguttaranikāya pañcakanipāta Wms. Thai-Pali 11(2)

Anguttarapāļitō Wms. Burmese-Pali 31

Atthakathāpetavatthu Wms. Thai-Pali 16

Atthakathābhikkhuvibhanga Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1)

Atthasālinī Wms. Burmese-Pali 52; 69(2)

Abhidhammapitaka Wms. Burmese-Pali 32(2); 61; Wms. Thai-

Pali 15(2); 20

Abhidhammatthakathā Wms. Thai-Pali 21

Abhidhammatthavibhāvanītīkā Wms. Burmese-Pali 30; 69(1)

Abhidhammatthasangahatīkā Wms. Burmese-Pali 69(1)

Abhidhammamātikā Wms. Burmese 79; Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1);

2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Abhidhammasangīnīpariccheda Wms. Thai-Pali 15(1)

Unadipath Wms. Burmese-Pali 30

Upasampadākammavācā Wms. Burmese-Pali 73

Kankhāganthi Wms. Burmese-Pali 71

Kankhāvitaranī Wms. Burmese-Pali 62: 70

Kankhāvitaranī mātikatthakathā Wms Thai-Pali 22

Kaccāyana-Nāmanisya Wms. Burmese-Pali 92(1)

Kaccāyanavannanā Wms. Burmese-Pali 30; 67(1); 91

Kathāvatthu Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Kathinadipani Wms. Burmese-Pali 65(7)

Kammavācā Wms. Burmese-Pali 1-17: 19-21: 23-27: 35-45: 47; 50(1-2); 65(1); 72-73; 75-78; 80-88; Wms. Yuan-

Pali 1(1)

Kammavānisya Wms. Burmese-Pali 65(5)

Kammavāpāth Wms. Burmese-Pali 65(3)

Khuddakanikāya Wms. Burmese-Pali 93

Khuddasikkhā Wms. Burmese-Pali 63

Gambhīvatthadesanā/Gambhīratthadesanā Wms. Burmese-Pali 34

Cūlavagga Wms. Burmese-Pali 49; 58

Jātaka Wms. Burmese-Pali 22(1)

Tīkakyō Wms. Burmese-Pali 30; 69(1)

Tepitakaganthisihala Wms. Thai-Pali 11(1)

Dasanguttara Wms. Burmese-Pali 18

Dīghanikāya Wms. Thai-Pali 4(2)

Dhammapada Wms. Thai-Pali 18

Dhammapadanisya Wms. Burmese-Pali 67(4)

Dhammapadapālitō Wms. Burmese-Pali 67(4)

Dhammapadapāļitō nisya Wms. Burmese-Pali 67(4)

Dhammapadapālitō pāth Wms. Burmese-Pali 67(4)

Dhammasangani Wms. Burmese-Pali 32(2); 57(2); Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Dhammasanganīatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 52; 69(2)

Dhammasahassanayya Wms. Thai-Pali 1(2); 3(2)

Dhātukathā Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Dhātukathātīkā Wms. Burmese-Pali 48

Niyam Wms. Burmese-Pali 67(2)

Parivāpālito Wms. Burmese-Pali 66(1): 89

Pācit atthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1)

Pācittiya Wms. Burmese-Pali 64; 74

Pācit pālitō Wms. Burmese-Pali 74

Pätimokkha Wms. Burmese-Pali 64; Wms. Yuan-Pali 1(2)

Pātimokkhatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 62: 70: 71: Wms Thai-

Pali 22

Pārājika Wms. Burmese-Pali 51; 53; 60

Pārājikatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 22(2)

Pārajikan atthakathānissva Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(2); 68

Pālimuttakavinicchayasangaha Wms. Burmese-Pali 55(2)

Puggalapaññatti Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Petavatthu-atthakathā Wms Thai-Pali 16

Byañjanavuddhi Wms. Burmese-Pali 65(2)

Brahmajālasutta Wms Thai-Pali 4(2)

Bhikkhuvibhangatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1)

Bhikkhunī pācit atthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1)

Bhikkhuni pācittiva Wms. Burmese-Pali 64

Majihimanikāya Wms. Burmese-Pali 28

Mahāumaggajātaka Wms. Burmese-Pali 66(2)

Mahāniddesa pālitō pāth Wms. Burmese-Pali 93

Mahāpatthāna Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Mahābuddhagunā Wms Thai-Pali 4

Mahāvagga Wms. Burmese-Pali 33; 58

Mahāvaggatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 22(2)

Mātikā Wms. Burmese-Pali 30

Mūlayamuik Wms. Burmese-Pali 46(2)

Yamaka Wms. Burmese-Pali 90; Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

Rājanīti Wms. Burmese-Pali 30

Vinayapitaka Wms. Burmese-Pali 22(2); 33; 49; 51; 53; 60;

64; 66(1); 68; 74; 89; Wms. Yuan-Pali 1(2)

Vinavasāra Wms. Burmese-Pali 54

Vibhanga Wms. Burmese 61; Wms. Thai-Pali 1(1); 2(1); 3(1); 4(3)

A survey of the ... Pāli manuscripts ... in the Wellcome Institute 41

Vibhangatthakathā Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1)

Visuddhimagga Wms. Burmese-Pali 32(1)

Samvuttanikāva — Salāvatanasutta Wms. Burmese-Pali 56

Samantapāsādikā Wms. Burmese-Pali 29(1); 58

Sahassanayya Wms. Thai-Pali 1(2); 3(2)

Sārasangaha Wms. Thai-Pali 17

Suttanta Wms. Thai-Pali 20

Suttasangaha Wms. Burmese-Pali 59

Suttavibhanga Wms. Burmese-Pali 51; 53; 60; 64; 68; 74

London

Jacqueline Filliozat

THE COMMENTARIES TO THE ANAGATAVAMSA IN THE PALI MANUSCRIPTS OF THE PARIS COLLECTIONS*

In memoriam Ven. Hammalawa Saddhātissa

The publication of the work of Ven. Hammalawa Saddhātissa in the "Collection Unesco, œuvres représentatives": The Birth Stories of the Ten Bodhisattas, being a Translation and Edition of the Dasabodhisattuppattikathā, London, Pali Text Society, 1975 (= DBK), brought us, about fifteen years ago, a critical edition of the original Pāli text and its English translation together with a rich introduction and detailed notes, thus giving an overall view of this strange small work of late Pāli literature.

To establish the text, the author used five manuscripts, all in Sinhalese script, kept at the British Library or in Sri Lanka. He also mentions an edition in Pāli with a rather inaccurate Sinhalese translation (1926), full of mistakes, which had not been of any help to him in his translation. Ven. Saddhātissa also refers to the article by Prof. Minayeff of St Petersburg ("Anāgata-vaṃsa", JPTS 1886, pp. 33–53), which mentions a copy of a manuscript in Burmese script preserved at Prome (Shwe Downg) and another manuscript, in Cambodian script (ka–kho), 3 lines per page, incomplete, which was said to be in the Bibliothèque nationale in Paris (BN). Ven. Saddhātissa greatly regretted not having been able to find a trace of this manuscript. The brief description of it that Minayeff gives (incipit, explicit) has not enabled me to identify this ms., which I have therefore not been able to consult. There is at the BN, under the reference number PALI 737, a hand-written catalogue by Minayeff and Feer, Catalogue des manuscrits pâlis de la Bibliothèque Nationale

^{*} Translated from the French by B. Chrystall.

de Paris, 1866-68, which, however, does not mention any ms. with the title "Anāgatavamsa". Could it be the ms. mentioned later under the title "Anâgata-Vamsa (Kâmb)" on p. 35 of the List of Pâli Mss. in the BN. Paris, by L. Feer in JPTS 1882? Another list of the Pāli mss. of the BN. in Feer's handwriting, reference number PALI 740, mentions an Anāgatavamsa, PALI 629, which I shall describe in due course. This is not the ms. cited by Minayeff. Finally, A. Cabaton, Catalogue sommaire des manuscrits sanscrits et palis, Bibliothèque nationale, Paris 1908. lists four mss. of the Anagatavamsa, PALI 629, 649, or its tīkā, PALI 322, 323, which correspond better to the ms. cited. The lack of more precise identification precludes the possibility of our locating it in the present collection, if it still exists there, which I doubt, since, having catalogued the 885 mss. of the Pāli collection of the BN, I have found other mss. of the DBK, and various commentaries on the Anagatavamsa. but no ms. corresponding to the characteristics described by Minayeff.

Besides this, it appears that Ven. Saddhātissa did not use the work by François Martini, "Dasa-bodhisatta-Uddesa, texte pāli publié avec une traduction et un index grammatical", published more than fifty years ago in BEFEO XXXVI, fasc. 2, Hanoi 1937, which gives in an appendix the first European edition (in roman script) of the DBK (pp. 391-413) as well as the French translation of its introduction (p. 290). Martini's edition is nonetheless cited in the Critical Pāli Dictionary, Epilegomena 4.4.2. (Ven. Saddhātissa was later to refer to this edition which I had pointed out to him, in "Pali Literature in Cambodia", JPTS 1981, p. 192). We had to wait until 1988 to see new studies on the cult of Metteyya appear with: The Coming Ariya Metteyya, Research Papers by Saya U Chit Tin, assisted by William Pruitt, Dhamma Talks: The Bodhisatta Metteyya and Buddha Ariya Metteyya. Published by The Sayagyi U Ba Khin Memorial Trust, Dhammadana Series 7. These studies are based on Ven. Saddhātissa's work DBK and are presented in the form of sermons for Buddhists. To my knowledge, there are no more editions of the commentaries to the Anagatavamsa, of which the Paris collections, now easily accessible, hold a dozen mss. I have classified them by title.

1. The manuscripts of the Dasabodhisatta-uddesa

They are four in number. Three are kept in the Pāli collection of the Département des manuscrits orientaux in the BN, Paris, and one in the Pāli collection of the Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient's library, Paris (EFEO). The mss. BN 629 and 649 were used in Martini's edition referred to above. Since this edition two new mss. have entered our collections: BN 860 and EFEO 41 (formerly Cambodian collection on olas n° 252, provenance unknown). I have carefully collated them. Both offer only minor orthographic variants which do not change the sense of the text and conform to the critical apparatus given in footnotes by Martini, who edited this text using three mss. of the same recension. These two mss. therefore merely attest the conformity to a wellestablished tradition. We should note that none of these four mss., which resemble each other in all material points (olas, dimensions, scripts, presumed dates, apparent provenance from Cambodia) begins with the usual formula which prefaces each ms. of Theravada Buddhism: namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammāsambuddhassa.

BN PALI 629 Dasabodhisatta-uddesa — Anāgatavamsa (CPD 4.4.2)

Ola 1a: bra anāgatavansaparipunnā phūk 1 | + khmer; olas 1b to 6a, bl. Beg., ola 6b: satthā sāvatthiyam upanissaya pubbārāme visākhāya kārāpitāva vasanto ajitatheram ārabbha anāgate dasabodhisattam uppannam desesi | sāriputto bhante bhagavā ajitathero bhaddhakappe ariyametteyyo buddho ...

End., ola 50b(ghai): ... iti dasauddesāni dasabuddhassa pāramidhammadesanassa satthārā desitam samattam siyā dasabodhisattauddeso nitthito | anāgatavamso nitthito | nibbanapaccayo hotu me anagate | olas 51 to 56, bl.

Used in F. Martini's ed.: "Dasa-Bodhisatta-Uddesa texte pāli publié avec une traduction et un index grammatical", *BEFEO* 1937, tome XXXVI, fasc. 2, pp. 287-413. This is not the ms. mentioned by Minayeff (*JPTS* 1886, p. 40).

cf. BN PALI 649 and 860

56 olas, 560×50 mm, gilt edges with a middle band of vermilion, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 65 char. — Script. Mül — Numb. Mül letters — Fine writing.

No date — Rabardelle Coll.

BN PALI 649 Dasabodhisatta-uddesa — Anāgatadasa-buddhavamsa (CPD 4.4.2)

Ola 1a: anāgatavaṃsaparipuṇṇa phūk 1 | + khmer; olas 1b to 8a, bl. Beg., ola 8 (ka) = BN PALI 629; olas 32 to 36, bl. ola 37a: phūk 2; olas 37b to 40, bl.

End., ola 64b(gho) 2: | iti dasa uddesāni dasabuddhassa pāramidhammasenassa satthārā desitam samatam siyā | dasabodhisatta uddeso niṭṭhito | sāro maṇḍovaro kappo sāramaṇḍo ca bhaddhako ete pañcavidhā kappā sambuddhena sudesitā | sāre ekabuddho bodhimaṇḍakappe ca dutiyo varakappe tayo buddha catutthā sāramaṇḍake bhaddakappe pañcabuddhā sambuddhena pakāsitā | anāgatadasabuddhavansa | ughaṭitañu bodhisatto pañādhiko ti nāma so vipaccitañū bodhisatto vutto saddhādhikā nāmaneyyo viriyādhiko nāma bodhisatto ime tayo ti | olas 65 to 69, bl.

cf. BN PALI 629 and 860. Used in F. Martini's ed. This is not the ms. mentioned by Minayeff.

69 olas between 2 modern wooden boards, 570 × 50 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 58 char. — Script. Mül — Numb. Mül letters — Fine writing.

No date.

BN PALI 860 Dasabodhisatta-uddesa — Anāgatadasabuddhavamsa (CPD 4.4.2)

Ola 1a: bra anāgatavansaparipunna | phūk 1 | olas 1b to 7a,bl.

Beg., ola 7b(ka): | satthā sāvatthiyam upanissāya pubbārāme visākhāya kārāpite vasanto ajitatheram ārabbha anāgate dasabodhisattam uppannam desesi | ...

End., ola 47b(ghu) 1: iti dasauddesāni dasabuddhassa pāramidhammadesanassa satthārā desitam samatam siyā | ... dasabodhisattauddeso niṭṭhito + addition found in BN PALI 649 and EFEO PALI 41 but not in BN PALI 629, from: "sāro maṇḍovaro kappo ... " to: " ... ime tayo ti". Adds line 5: sāro | la | asuñā pañcavidhākappo buddhappādehi maṇḍitā: eko buddho sāro kappo | la | tato natthādhikājinā | anāgatadasabuddhavansa | olas 48 to 51, bl.

cf. EFEO PALI 41 and BN PALI 629, 649. Not used in F. Martini's ed. This is not the ms. mentioned by Minayeff.

51 olas, 600 × 60 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 70 char. — Script. Mül — Numb. Mül letters — Fine writing.

No date — Donation 29591 (Ven. Kosgoda Sobhita 1975)

EFEO PALI 41 Dasabodhisatta-uddesa — Anāgatadasabuddhavaṃsa (CPD 4.4.2)

Ola 1a: anāgatavaṃsa paripuṇṇa phūk 1; on the lefthand side, in black ink: C. 252; in Latin script: anāgatavaṃsa; olas 1b to 3a, bl.

Beg., ola 3b(ka): satthä sävatthiyam upanissäya pubbäräme visäkhäya käräpitäya vasanto ajitatheram ... olas 27 to 28, bl.

ola 29a: anāgatavamsa paripuņņa phūk 2 | olas 29b to 30, bl.

End., ola 52a(gho)2: iti dasaudesāni dasabuddassa pāramīdhammasenassa satthārā desitam samatam siyo | dasabodhisatta-udeso niṭṭhito | sāro maṇḍo varo kappo sāramuṇḍo ca bhāddhako ete pañcavidhā kappā sambuddhena sudesitā | sāre ekabuddho bodhimaṇḍakappe ca dutiyo varakappe tayo buddhā catutthā sāramaṇḍake bhaddakappe pañcabuddhā sambuddhena pakāsitā | anāgatadasabuddhavansa |

ughatitañu bodhisatto pañadhiko ti nama so vipaccitañu bodhisatto vutto saddhādhiko nāma nevyo viriyādhiko nāma bodhisatto ime tayo ti | olas 52b to 54, bl.

= BN PALI 629, 649 and 860, cf. ed. F. Martini, op. cit. above BN PALI 629, pp. 297-335 line 10. Not used in F. Martini's ed. This is not the ms. mentioned by Minayeff.

54 olas, 560×52 mm, gilt edges with a middle band of vermilion, 2 holes, 5 lines, 60 char. — Script, Mül — Numb. Mül letters.

No date.

2. The manuscripts of Amatarasadhārāţīkā or Amatarasadhārāvannanā or Amatarasadhārā-atthakathā or Amatarasadhārā nāma Anāgatabuddhavamsavannanā

We do not know a lot about this text. Ven. Saddhātissa merely mentioned it in his Pali Literature in Cambodia (op. cit.), p. 181: "The Amatarasadhārā¹ ('bearing the stream of nectar', i. e. Nibbāna) is a tīkā on the thera Kassapas's Anāgatavamsa ... a poem of about 150 stanzas giving an account of the future Buddha Metteyya The Gandhavamsa ... mentions an Upatissa, a monk from Ceylon, as the author of the Anāgatavamsaṭṭhakathā; Malalasekera has identified this Upatissa with the author of the Bodhivamsa ... until more evidence is forthcoming The colophon of the Amatarasadhārā states: 'The Amatarasadhārā, the commentary on the Anāgata-Buddhavamsa written by Upatissa is ended ... '. This statement leads us to the conclusion that the author of this work is definitely the author of the Bodhivamsa, which has been assigned to the tenth century Possibly what we have here is a different version of the same Anāgatavamsatthakathā prepared in Cambodia under the title of Amatarasadhārā based on Upatissa's commentary".

The mss. are seven in number; four are kept at the BN and three at the EFEO. The table below summarises the order and representation of their different chapters according to the mss. When a chapter is represented I indicate the letter which serves as foliation on the ola, "a" for recto, "b" for verso, then the number of the line where the chapter starts. I have taken the ms. BN 630 as the base because it represents the most complete text, in which I have been able to find the greatest number of chapters. The absence of any indication does not always signify that the chapter does not exist in the ms. concerned, but simply that the title is missing, or that I have not been able to find it, even when it is represented in the chapter. Only systematic collation of these mss. could clarify it completely for us.

None of these has been studied previously.

BN PALI 630 Amatarasadhārāvannanā — Anāgatavamsa by **Upatissa** (CPD 4.4.1,2)

Ola 1a: amatarasadhāraţikā anāgatavamsa phūk 1 | olas 1b to 6a,bl. Beg., ola 6b(ka): namo tassa ... jinena yena ānitam lokassa amatam hitam tassa pādambujam vande | brahmadevindavanditam | dassitam munirājena vande dhammam divākaram mohandakāram viddhamsi veneyyambujabodhanam | so kitasilaramsihi vande samgham nisākaram pasādakamudam niccam | bodhentam janamānase | natvā vatthuttayam evam yam puññam pasuttam mayā | tassa tejena hantvāna antarāye asesato | soham anāgatavamsam | vannayissam mahesino | bhāsitam cankamantena | nabheratanacankame | buddhavasavasanamhi | brahmaghosena tādinā dhammasenāpatitthera | yācitena sayambhūnā pubbā atthakathā tassa | ...

ola 22b(khu) 2 | nidānakathā |

- " 28b(kham) 5 | gunekadesakathā | olas 30 to 34, bl.
- 35a | amatarasadhārāṭikā anāgatthavansa phūk 2 | bra anāgatavansa phūk 2 | olas 35b to 40, bl.

ola 53a(gha) 4 | samkhacakkavattipātubhāvakathā |

¹ In Thailand this is called Amatadhārānāgatavamsa-atthakathā. An alternative title is Amatarasadhārānāgata-Buddhavamsavannanā.

50

- " 56a(ghī) 2 | jambūdipasirivannanākathā |
- " 63b(gham) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā | olas 65 to 69, bl.
- " 70a | amatarasa° ... phūk 3 | olas 70b to 74, bl.
- " 83a(nai) 5 | bodhisambhārakathā | olas 99 to 103, bl.
- " 104a | bra amatarasa° ... phūk 4 | olas 104 to 108, bl.
- " 120a(chah) 5 | cakkavattipātubhāvakathā |
- " 126b(jū) 3 | ketumatinagaravannanākathā | olas 133 to 137, bl.
- " 138a | bra amatarasa° ... phūk 5 | olas 138b to 142, bl.
- " 155b(ña) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā |
- " 159b(ñu) 1 | pāsādasampadādikathā |
- " 16lb(ñe) 2 | mahābhinikkhamanakathā |
- " 164ab(ño) l | pabbajjākathā |
- " 165b(ñam) 5 | anupabbajjākathā | olas 167 to 171, bl.
- " 172a | amatarasa° ... phūk 6 | olas 172b to 177, bl.
- " 180a(tī) l | paramābhisambodhikathā |
- " 181b(tī) 2 | dhammacakkappavattanakathā |
- " 183a(tū) 1 | samkharaño pabbajākathā |
- " 194b(tthu) 4 | parivārasampadādikathā |
- " 197b(tthē) 5 | mātusampadādikathā |
- " 198b(tthai) 3 | rūpakāyapamāņakathā |
- " 199a(ttho) 3 | nayanasampadādikathā |
- " 200b(tthah) 1 | rasmisampadādikathā | olas 201 to 205, bl.
- " 206a | amatarasa° ... phūk 7 | olas 206b to 210, bl.

End., ola 225b(ḍhu): iccevaṃ sabbabuddhānaṃ uppannānaṃ gato paraṃ vuttappakāraṃ sakkaraṃ katvā niccaṃ manoramaṃ kapparukkho vasattānaṃ puretvā sabbapāramiṃ bodhipallaṅkamāruyha buddho hutvā anuttaro dhammacakkapavattetvā suvisuddhaṃ gamo nudaṃ tāreyyaṃ pāṇino sabbe sohaṃ saṃsārasātarā kusalena aneneva abyāpajjhā averino sattā asesāsukhino khemino hontu sabbadā gurūhi dinnanāmena buddhaghoso ti vissato kato therena therānaṃ amatadānaṃ buddhato caroti | iti upatissattherena thapitā amattarasadhārāvaṇṇanā nitthitā | ye ca buddhā atitā ca ye buddhā anāgatā paccappannā ye buddhā

aham vandāmi sabbadā ye ca dhammā pathavim bhinditvāruhi | olas 226b to 230.bl.

This ms. is mentioned in CPD 4.4.1,2.

230 olas, 7 phūk between 2 thick wooden boards of 20 mm, 560×55 mm, gilt edges with a middle band of vermilion, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 65 char. — Script. Mūl — Numb. letters Mūl — Fine.

No date — Rabardelle Coll.

BN PALI 322 Amatarasadhārāţīkā — Anāgatabuddhavaṃsavannanā by Upatissa (CPD 4.4.1,2)

Ola 1a: amatarassadharāţikā anāgatavansa phūk 4 | olas 1b to 4, bl. Beg., ola 5a(cū): sāvakasannipātakathā | ekam sāvakasannipātam dassetvā idāni tassa bhagavato parivārikādayo dassento satthā āha | kotisatasahassāni chalabhiññāmahiddhikā ...

ola 10a(cam) 1 | parivārasampadādikathā | (agrees with BN PALI 862, tthu b line 3)

ola 11b(caḥ) 5 | maggalampadādikathā |

- " 14b(chi) l | rupakāyappumādikathā |
- " 18a(che) 1 | pūjavisesakathā |
- " 18b(he) 3 | parinibbānakathā |
- " 19b(chē) 2 | aniccatādiparidipanakathā |
- " 20a(chai) 4 | anusāsanikathā |

End., ola 225(chaṃ): | pāsādaṃ | dvārapākāratoraṇagghikabhūsitaṃ | mālacakamapantihi latānaṃ maṇḍapehi ca sabbadā pupphasañjannaṃ pādapehi alakaṭalālayasahassehi suphullakamalehi ca madhurodakapuṇṇehi ... (line 4): aṭṭadhammasamāhito byākato dhammarājena ayaṃ buddho bhavissati | iccevaṃ sabbabuddhānaṃ uppannānaṃ gato paraṃ vuttappakāraṃ sakkāraṃ katvā niccaṃ manoramma | kapparukkho vasattānaṃ puretvā sabbapārami | bodhipallakam āruyha buddho hutvā anuttaro dhammacakkaṃ pavattetvā suci suṭṭhatamonudaṃ tāreyya pāṇino sabbe sohaṃ sasārasātaro kusalena aneneva abyāpajjhā averino sattā asesā sukhino khemino hontu sabbadāti

52

l iti uppatissatterena racitta amatarasadharanagatabuddhava[m]savannana nitthitā | olas 23b and 24, bl.

This phūk contains the end of the text. See phūk 2 under n° BN PALI 323. The colophon agrees with BN PALI 862 dhū a line I and EFEO 83 ola dhū b line 4.

24 olas between 2 modern wooden boards, 530 × 45 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 70 char. — Script, Mūl — Numb, Mūl letters.

No date. [XVIIIth century.] — Missions Etrangeres de Paris

BN PALI 323 Amatarasadhārāţīkā — Anāgatabuddhavaṃsavannanā by Upatissa (CPD 4.4.1,2) fragment

[Lacking phük 1]

Ola 1a: | amatarasadhārāţikā anāgatavansa phūk 2 | ola 1b, bl.

Beg., ola 2a(ga): kañcānam sahassam gahapati kañcanam sahassam sabbasamayanātathīnam sahassanti catāri itthī sahassāni ānesi | tadā savassasahassāni rājakule vasitvā neva puttam naditaram labhimsu ...

ola 6b(gu) 4 | tasmim käle mangalam nitthitam | (= BN PALI 862, ola chu a line 2)

ola 12b(gam) 2 | cakkavattipatubhāvukatthā |

- " 13b(gah) 5 | ketumatīnagaravannanā kathā |
- " 14b(gha) 5 | jambudīpavannanā samattā |
- " 23a(gho) 4 | pāsādādisampadādikathā |
- " 24a(gham) 3 | mahābhisekkhamakathā |

End., ola 25b(ghah) 5 | tasmim khane mahābrahmādibbamayam atthaparikkhāre gahetvā tam thānam sampāpunissati | atha mahāsatto ratanamayam khaggena samolikesakalāpam chinditvā

olas 26 to 28, bl.

This ms, originally contained the part of text including 4 phūk. This one is phūk 2, lacking phūk 1 and 3; we find phūk 4 under BN PALI 322.

cf. BN PALI 630 and 862, cam b line 1 to ño b line 1. It begins with the last part of Cakkavattipātubhāvakathā and stops before Pabbajākathā.

28 olas between 2 modern wooden boards, 530×45 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 70 char. — Script. Mūl — Numb. Mūl letters.

No date. [XVIIIth century.] — Missions Etrangeres de Paris.

BN PALI 862

1. Amatarasadhārāvannanā — Anāgatavamsa by Upatissa (CPD4.4.1,2)

Ola 1a: amattarassadhārā phūk 1 | olas 1b to 2a.bl.

Beg., ola 2b(ka): namo tassa ... jinena ve ānitam | lokassa amatam hitam | tassa pādambujam vandhe | brahmādevindavandhitam | dassitam munirājena | vandhe dhammadivākāram mohandakāram vindhamsi | veneyyambujam bonam | sobhitam silaramsihi vandhe samgham disākaram | pasādakamudam niccam | bodhena janamānase | natvā vatthutayam evam yam puññam pasuttam mayā | tassa tejena hantvāna antarāye assesato | soham anāgatavamsam | vannavissam mahesino ...

ola 18b(khu) 3 | nidānakathā |

- " 24b(kham) 5 | gunekadesakathā | olas 26 to 29, bl.
- " 30a | amattarassadhārā phūk 2 | olas 30b to 34, and 59 to 63.

bl.

ola 64a 3 | olas 64b to 68, bl.

ola 84b(cī) 1 | ketumatipātubhāvakathā | olas 93 to 96, bl.

- " 97a | amattarassa° phūk 4 | ola 97b, bl.
- " 109a(chah) 4 | ketumatinagaravannanākathā | olas 122 and 123, bl.

ola 124a | amattarassa° phūk 5 | ola 124b, bl.

- " 137b(ña) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā |
- " 141b(ñu) 1 | pāsādasampadādikathā |
- " 143b(ñe) 2 | mahābhinikkhamanakathā |
- " 146b(ño) l | pabbajākathā |
- " 147b(ñam) 5 | anupabbajjākathā | olas 149 to 150, bl.
- " 151a: amattarassa° phūk 6 | ola 151b, bl.

- " 154a(ti) 1 | paramābhibhisambodhikathā |
- " 157a(tū) 1 | samkharaño pabbajākathā |
- " 167b(tthī) 2 | dhammacakkappavattanakathā |
- " 168b(tthu) 3 | pariyārasappadādikathā |
- " 170b(tthe) 5 | mātusampadādikathā | olas 174 to 177, bl
- " 178a, in ink: amattarassadhārā phūk 7 | olas 178b to 181, bl.

(left part of the ola is worn out)

ola 186b(du) 2 | rupakāyappamānādikathā |

- " 188b(de) 5 | pūjāvisesakathā |
- " 190b(dai) 3 | parinibbānakathā |
- " 192a(dam) 3 | aniccatāparidipanakathā |

End., ola 199b(dhu) = BN PALI 630; olas 201 to 203, bl.

203 olas, 7 phūk, 545 × 45 mm, gilt edges with a middle band of vermilion, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 60 char. — Script. Mūl — Numb. Mūl letters. No date.

EFEO PALI 26 Amatarasadhārāvannanā by Upatissa (CPD 4.4.1,2)

Ola 1a, in the middle: | bra amattarassadhārā atthakathā anāgatavamsa phūk l; + įrien script | ka kha; olas 1b to 4a, bl.

Beg., ola 4b(ka): namo tassa ... | jinena yena ānitam lokassa amitam hitam tassa dambupājam vande brahmadevindavanditam | dassitam munirājena vande dhammam divākaram mohandakāram viddhamsi vandeyyambujam bodhanam | sobhitasilaramsihi vande samgham disākaram ...

ola 20a(khu) 3 | nidānakathā | olas 24 to 25, bl.

" 26a(khah) 5 | gunekadesakathā | (Here this ms. follows the text of BN PALI 862 that omits 3 stories found in BN PALI 630)

ola 28a | bra amattarassadhārā | phūk 2 | olas 28b to 31, bl. olas 56b to 61, bl.

ola 62a | bra amatarasadhārā phūk 3 | + jrien script; olas 62b to 65, bl.

- ola 74a(nai) 5 | bodhisambhārakathā |
- " 8la(ccī) 4 | ketumatipātubhāvakathā | olas 90 to 93, bl.
- " 94a | bra amattarassadhārā atthakathā anāgatavam phūk 4 | olas 94b to 97, bl.

ola 109a(chah) 1 | cakkavattipātubhāvakathā |

- " 113b(ju) 4 | ketumatinagarayannanākathā |
- " 120a(jam) 5 | samayaggakkhandho | olas 121 to 124, bl.
- " 125a | bra amattarassadhārā atthakathā anāgatavamsā nitthitā phūk 5 | jrien script in black ink faded; olas 125b to 128,bl.

ola 141b(ña) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā |

- " 145b(ñu) l | pāsādasampadānādikathā |
- " 147b(ñe) 2 | mahābhinikkhamanakathā |
- " 150b(ño) l | pabbajjakathā |
- " 151b(ñam) 4 | anupubbaijākathā | olas 153 to 156.bl.
- " 157a | bra amattarassadhārā atthakathā anāgatavamsā nitthitā phūk 6 | olas 157b to 161, bl.

ola 164a(ti) 1 | paramābhisambodhikathā |

- " 165b(tī) 2 | dhammacakkappayattaaanakathā |
- " 166b(tu) 5 | samkharañopabbajākathā |
- " 178b(tthu) 3 | parivārasampadādkathā |
- " 181b(tthē) 5 | mātusampadānikathā | (Here this ms. follows the text of BN PALI 862 that omits 3 stories found in BN PALI 630)

olas 185 to 190, bl.

ola 196b(da) 2 | rupakāyampamānādikathā |

- " 202b(de) 1 | pūjāvisesakathā |
- " 204a(dai) 2 | parinibbānakathā |

End., ola 210b(dhī) 1: ... buddho hutvā anuttaro dhammacakkam pavattetvā suvisuddham tamonuddam tāreyya pānino sabbe soham samsārasāgaro kusalena anekeva abyāpajjha averino sattā asesāsukhino khe pi no hontu sabbadā garūhi dinnam nāmena buddhaghoso ti vissuto kato therana amatadanam buddhagocaroti | iti upatissattherathapitā amattarasadhārāvannana nitthitā | ve budā aham

vandāmi sabbadā | olas 211b to 216, bl.

216 olas, 7 phūk, 540 × 45 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 70 char. - Script. Mūl - Numb. Mūl letters.

No date.

EFEO PALI 82 Amatarasadhārānāma — Anāgatabuddhavamsavannanā by Upatissa (CPD 4.4.1,2?)

Ola 1a: | anāgatavansa phūk 1 | olas 1b to 9, bl.

Beg., ola 10a(ka): jinena yena aditam lokassa amatamhitam tassa pādambujiam vande brahmā devinda vanditam ... = BN PALI 630

ola 25b(khī) 3 | bodhisambhārakathā |

- " 32a(kham) 1 | ketumatīpātukabhāvakathā | olas 34 to 38, bl.
- " 39a | anagatavansa phūk 2 | olas 31b to 35, bl.
- " 54a(ghe) 2 | ketumatinagaravannanākathā samattā |
- " 55a(ghē) 5 | jambudipavannanākathā | olas 60 to 63, bl.
- " 64a, au centre: | anāgatavansa phūk 3 | olas 64b to 65, bl.
- " 69b(nī) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā |
- " 72b(ne) 3 | pāsādasampadādikathā |
- " 76a(nam) 4 | pabbajjākathā |
- " 80a(ci) 1 | sāvakāsannipātakathā |
- " 87b(co) 1 | mātusampadādikathā |
- " 90a(cha) 2 | rūpakāyappamānādikathā |
- " 93b(chī) 3 | pūjāvisesakathā |
- " 94b(chu) 1 | parinibbānakathā |
- " 96a(che) 3 | anusāsanakathā |

End., ola 98b(chai) 4: dhammacakkam pavattetvā suvisuddham tamonuddham tāreyya pānino sabbasoham samsārasāgarā kusalena kadhā abyāpaijhā averino sattā asesa sukhino khemino honti sabbadāti | iti upatissatherena thapitā amatarasadhārānāma anāgatabuddhavamsavannana nitthitā | iminā lekkhattapuñena buddhapatthā

olas 99 to 100, bl.

100 olas, 580 × 48 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 60 char. —

Script. Mūl -- Numb. Mūl letters.

No date.

EFEO PALI 83 Amatarasadhārāţīkā — Anāgatavamsa by **Upatissa** (CPD 4.4.1.2)

Ola 1a: amatarasadhārātīkā anāgatavansa | phūk 1 | olas 1b to 6a, bl. Beg., ola 6b(ka) | namo tassa ... jinena yena ānitam lokassa amatam hitam tassa pādambujam vande brahmadevindavanditam | dassitam munirājena vande dhammadivakaram mohandakāram viddhamsi veneyyambajabodhanam | sobhitam sīlaramsīhi vande samghanisākaram pasādakamudam niccam bodhentam janamānase | natvā vatthuttayam evam yam puñam pasutam mayā ...

ola 22b(khu) 3 | nidānakathā |

- " 28b(kham) 5 | gunekadesakathā |
- " 35a | amatarasadhārātikā anāgatavansa phūk 2 | olas 35b to 39, bl. olas 64 to 68, bl. ola 69a; | amatarasadhārātīkā anāgatavansa phūk 3 | olas 69b to 72,bl.

ola 82b(nam) 4 | bodhisambhārakathā |

- " 89a(cū) 3 | ketumatipātubhāvakathā | olas 97 to 102, bl.
- " 103a | amatarasa ... phūk 4 | olas 103 to 107, bl.
- " 120a(ja) 2 | cakkavattipātubhāvakathā |
- " 126b(je) 4 | ketumatinagarayannanākathā | olas 132 to 135.

bl.

ola 136a | amatarasa ... phūk 5 | olas 136b to 140, bl.

- " 154b(ñā) 2 | bodhisattuppattikathā |
- " 158b(ñū) 3 | pāsādasampadādikathā |
- " 160b(ñē) 3 | mahābhinikkhamanakathā |
- " 163b(ñam) 1 | pabbajākathā |
- " 164b(ñaḥ) 5 | anupabbajjākathā | olas 165 to 169, bl.
- " 170a | amatarasa ... phūl 6 | olas 170b to 174, bl.
- " 178a(tī) 1 | paramābhisambodhikathā |
- " 179b(tu) 2 | dhammacakkappayattanakathā |

- " 181a(te) 2 | samkharaño pabbajjākathā |
- " 183b(tai) 1 | sāvakasannipātakathā |
- " 193a(tthe) 2 | parivārasampadādikathā |
- " 196a(ttho) 5 | mātusampadādikathā | olas 199 to 203, bl.
- " 204a; amatarasa ... phūk 7 | olas 204b to 208, bl.
- " 209b(da) 1 | rasmisampadakathā |
- " 218a(do) 5 | parinibbānakathā |
- " 223a(dhi) 5 | anusāsanakathā |

End.. ola 226a(dhū) 5 | iccevam sabbabuddhānam uppannānam gatoparam ... = BN PALI 630; ola 226b(dhū) 4: ... iti upatissattherena thapitā | amatarasadhārānitthitā | akkharam ekamekañca buddharūpam samam siyā tasmā paripandito poso likkheyya pitakattayam | olas 227 to 231, bl.

231 olas, 560 × 50 mm, gilt edges, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 63 char. — Script. Mūl - Numb, Mūl letters.

No date.

3. The manuscript of Samantabhaddhaţīkā

EFEO PALI 84 Samantabhaddhatīkā — Anāgatabuddhavamsavannanā (CPD 4.4.1,1?)

Ola 1a: samantabhaddhatīkā anāgatavansa phūk 1 | jrien script in black ink; olas 1b to 6a, bl. ola 6b, jrien.

Beg., ola 7a(ka) | namatthu | jinavamsam vidum buddham asamañeyya pāragum vanditvā amalam dhammam ganañca gunalankatam namamāno varam puñam yam labhim ratanattayam tantejenanihatvā nānā vidham upaddavam | bahussuto kaviñāni yo mahābodhināmako thero silena sampanno tenāham abhiyācito | anāgatañca yam vansam desesi munipungavo aggasāvakabhaddena pucchito pathamena so | atthabyanjanasampannam punussahakaram varam vannayissamitam sāram tam nisāmethasādhavoti | tattha anāgatavansoti kenatthena anāgatavanso na agatoti anāgato natāvasampattoti attho | yasmim kāle so metteyyo bhagavā uppajjissati so kālo idāni asampattabhāvena anāgatoti vuccati I vamso ti tanti paveni parampara anagate bhavitabbo vanso anāgatavamso yathā ājañarathoti | anāgato ca vamso ca anāgatavamso | athavā | anāgate buddho anāgato yathā rattīti kim vuttam hoti ... olas 25 to 29. bl.

ola 30a | bra samantabhaddhakā atthakathā anāgatavamsa phūk 2 On the righthand side in black ink jrien script; olas 30b to 34, bl.

ola 38a(gī) 5 | samattā nāti samāsabvāsavasenadipankarabuddha vamsakatthā |

ola 38b(gī) 2 | kondañabuddhavamsakathā |

- " 39a(gu) 5 | sumangala ...
- " 39b 3 | sumana ...
- 5 | revata ...
- " 40a(gū) 2 | sobhita ...
- 5 | anomadassī ...
- " 40b(gū) 2 | paduma ...
- 4 | nāra ...
- " 41a(ge) 2 | padumuttarasambuddha ...
- 2 | sujāta ...
- 5 | piyadassi ...
- " 42a(gē) 3 | atthadassi ...
- 5 | dhammadassi ...
- " 42b(gē) 2 | siddhattha ...
- 5 | tissa ...
- " 43a(gai) 2 | pussa ...
- 5 | vipassi ...
- " 43b(gai) 5 | vessabhūbuddha ...
- " 44a(go) 2 | kukkusandasambuddha ...
- 5 | konāgamana ...
- " 44b(go) 3 | kassapa ... olas 59 to 62, bl.
- " 63a | bra samantabhaddhakā atthakathā anāgatavansa phūk 3 | olas 63b to 67, bl. olas 92 to 96, bl. ola 97a: samanta° ... phūk 4 | in

black ink: 90 amatarasadhārāṭīkā anāgatavaṃsa 7 phūk + Siamese and: | pālī anāgatavaṃsasaṃkhepavitthāra 1 | 3 phūk ... aṭṭhakathā anāgatavaṃsa ... 7 phūk + Siamese; gilt edges with a middle band of vermilion, 2 cord-holes, 5 lines, 70 char. — Script. Mūl — Numb. Mūl letters.

No date.

Strongly encouraged by Ven. Saddhātissa shortly before his death, I propose, with a team of Pāli philologists, to establish the critical edition of these Pāli texts following the Paris mss. here described and adding the mss. in Pāli kept in the British Library, Oriental Collections, London, Or. 12008 (8–9) that I could identify recently as Anāgatavaṃsa (olas 307b to 312a) and Anāgatavaṃsavannanā-Samantabhaddikā (olas 317b to 401a).

In this event other original documents of the *Anāgatavaṃsa* in Eļu, Khmer, or Laotian could be sorted and studied in parallel by colleagues specialising in those languages:

- 1. There is a ms. in Elu at the Ethnographic Section of the Musée Historique in Bern (Switzerland), for which one may consult: C. Regamey, "Manuscrits sur feuilles de palmier", Jahrbuch des Bernischen Historischen Museums in Bern XXVIII, Jahrgang 1948, p. 20 n° 47: Sārasaddharmapadmākaraya, which seems to be the Sinhalese paraphrase of the Pāli text Dasabodhisatta-uddesa.
- 2. Some Khmer versions of the texts of the Anāgatavaṃsa are also attested at the BN in Paris by the mss. KHMER 207, Anāguttavuṅ and KHMER 235, Dassavuṅs. Their descriptions are to be found in Au Chhieng, Catalogue du fonds khmer, Bibliothèque nationale, Paris 1953, pp. 172–73 and pp. 190–91 which gives the concordance of this ms. with the Pāli text established by F. Martini (BEFEO XXXVI, 1937).
 - 3. There is a Laotian translation kept in its handwritten form in the

black ink jrien script; olas 97 b to 100, bl. olas 112 to 129, bl. ola 130a, | bra samanta° ... phūk 5; on right-hand side: ñāṇavijitra + jrien script; olas 130b to 133, bl. olas 158 to 163, bl. ola 164a: samanta° ... phūk 6; on right-hand side in ink jrien script; olas 164b to 168, bl. olas 193 to 196, bl. ola 197a: bra samanta° ... phūk 7; on right-hand side in ink jrien script; olas 197b to 202, bl.

End., ola 237a(nam): ettāvatā ca anāgatassa vamsassa vannanāya manohara-atirekatthaamattaya bhanavaraya paliya samantabhaddhakanāma subhāsāratthadipaka antarāyam vinā esā sannitthānamupāgatā kālavāsivihārenānārukkhapasobhite kelāsakūtakappehi pāsādehi alankate silādigunasampannayati samghanisevite nānāsakunasamghutthe bodhicetiyabhūsite dvārakotthakapākāramālakehi tahim tahim nānāsopānapantīhi samkinne rāmaneyyake kārite dhātūsenena rañālankārasāminā tattha dakkhinabhāgamhi pāsāde culake mayā nivasantena tam katvā yam pattam kusalam bahū tena pappotu lokuttaram desitam amatam padam sanarāmaraloko yam khemaniccam dhuvam sukham nānupaddavasamkinno loko yam anupaddavam gato yathā tathā dittham sankappayantu pāninam pālentu bhūmiyā sabbe dhammena kasinam mahikālam ñatvā navassantu vassam vassavalāhakā titthantu sammāsambuddhadesitam amatandadāyāvata santhitā lokam tiţthati tāvatā ime ti | nitthitä samantabhaddhakä näma säratthasucinitä anägatabuddhavamsavannanā | bhagavati dhammarāje parinibbutte ekunatimsadine ekamāse bāvisati vassasatasamvaccharādhike dvisahasse imam samantabhaddhakam nāma anāgatabuddhavansavannanapakaranam likkhitomhi samato sasasamvacchare āsalhe sukkhapakkhe cuddhasamītitthīyam candavāre | buddho bhavissāmi anāgate | bra samantabhaddakā phūk 7 | + jrien.

Olas 238 to 241, bl.

cf. Minayeff, *JPTS* 1886, pp. 37–39 text very similar to recension of the ms. described under III C; cf. also CPD 4.4.1,1 stating: Samantabhaddikā, Anāgatavamsa — atthakathā (Mahā-Upatissa)?

241 olas, 548 × 52 mm, + 2 olas slightly longer than those inscribed in

Gadelius Collection in the Royal Library of Copenhagen under the reference number LAOS 82 (Dasa)-Anāgata (buddha)vamsa, the detailed notice of which can be found in G. Cædes, Catalogue des manuscrits en pāli, laotien et siamois provenant de la Thailande, Copenhague 1966, pp. 97-98. This ms. dates from 1820.

There is a ms. of the Anagatavamsa indicated at the Bibliothèque Royale of Luang Prabang by L. Finot in "Recherches sur la Littérature laotienne", BEFEO XVII, Hanoi 1917, p. 177 under the reference number R. 373, 8 bundles, which leads one to think that it contains a long commentary rather than the text itself which is short.

There are three Laotian mss. of the Anāgatavamsa indicated by P.B. Lafont at Luang Prabang (Vat May and Vat Pakhe) in BEFEO LII, 2.

London

62

Jacqueline Filliozat

CHAPTERS	BN 630	BN 322	BN 323	BN 862	EFEO 26	EFEO 82	EFEO 83
nidānakathā gunekadcsakathā samkhacakavattinānishāvakathā	khu b 2 kham b 5			khu b 3 kham b 5	khu a 3	khaḥ a S	khu b 3 Kham b 5
jambūdipasirivamanākathā bodhisattuppattikathā	ghī a 2 gham b 2		gha b 5			ghē a 5 nī b 2	ñā b 2
bodhisambhārakathā ketumatipātubhāvakathā	naia S cīa S			ci b 1	nai a 5 cci a 4	khi b 3 kham a 1	nam b 4 cū a 3
cakkavattipātubhāvakathā	chah a 5		gam b 2	chah a 5	,	chah a 1	ja b 2
ketumatinagaravannanākathā bodhisattumattikathā	jū b 3 ña b 2		gaḥ b 3	jū b 3 ña b 2	ju b 4 ña b 2	ghe a 2	je b 4
pāsādasampadādikathā	ñu b 1		gho a 4	ñu b 1	ñu b 1	ne b 3	ñũ b 3
mahābhinikkhamanakathā	ñe b 2		,	ñe b 2	ñe b 2		ñe b 3
mahābhisekkhamakathā	•		gham a 3	2			1 1 1
pabbajjākathā	ño b l			no b I	no b 1	nam a 4	nam b I
anupabbajjākathā	ñam b 5			nam b S	nam 6 4		nan b S
paramābhisambodhikathā	tia]			ta I	ta a .		inia I
dhammacakkappavattanakathā samtharaño nakhaiisteathā	ti b I			tin 0.2 tina 1	in b S		te a 2
sāvakasannipātakathā	: :	cū a 1		: 1 1))	cia 1	tai b 1
parivārasampadādikathā	tthu b 4	cam a 1		tthu b 3	tthu b 3		tthe a 2
mātusampadādikathā	tihe b S	cah b 5		tthe b 5	tihe b 5	co b 1	ttho a 5
rūpakāyapamanakathā	tthai b 3	chi b 1				cha a 2	
nayanasampadādikathā rasmisampadādikathā	ttho a 3 tthah b 2						
rūpakāyappamāņādikathā	da b 2						da b 1
pūjāvisesakathā	de b 3	che a 1		de b S	de b 1	chi b 3	,
parinibbānakathā	dai b 3	che b 3		dai b 3	dai a 2	chu b 1	do a 5
aniccatāparidipanakathā	dam a 2	chē b 2		dam a 3		,	
anusāsanikathā		chai a 4				che a 3	

CORRECTIONS TO THE BOOK OF THE DISCIPLINE

I should like to suggest the following corrections to Miss I.B. Horner's translation of the Vinaya-piṭaka (= BD). Some are corrections of mistakes which have occurred in the course of translation or printing. Others are changes suggested to give clearer renderings.

Volume 1:

- a) p. 218, line 4 should be: "a grave offence together with an offence of wrong-doing.";
- b) p. 218, line 7 should be: "from <u>above</u> the collar bone to <u>below</u> the knee ...".

Volume 2:

- a) p. 132, lines 23-25 should be: "If he <u>doesn't</u> think that the seven days have elapsed when they <u>have</u> elapsed, there is an offence of expiation ... ";
- b) p. 133, lines 8–9 should be: "If he thinks that the seven days <u>have</u> elapsed when they have <u>not</u> elapsed, there is an offence of wrongdoing.";

Mistakes in the footnotes to Volume 2:

A) p. 24, note 6 should read: "Cf. Nissag. I, where ... ";

Journal of the Pali Text Society, Vol. XIX, 1993, pp. 65-68

- B) p. 141, note 1 is wrong the meaning is that it is an "offence of wrong-doing" to "tear away" a robe from one not ordained, as in lines 18-21 on the same page;
- C) p. 222, note 1 is wrong the meaning is that it is an offence of wrongdoing to tell one not ordained about an offence which is not very bad, as in lines 2-4 from the bottom of the text on the previous page;
- D) p. 244, note 3 should read: "... p. 47, n. 1.";
- E) p. 328, line 8 of note 4 should read: " ... food that is <u>not</u> left over because ...";
- F) p. 328, line 11 of note 4 should read: "not allowable to drink <u>sour</u> milk that is <u>not</u> left over, because ... ";
- G) p. 335, line 5 of note 1 should read: "... of six nuns went, the ...";
- H) p. 388, note 4 is wrong the meaning is that it is an offence of wrong-doing to tickle one not ordained, as in lines 18–20;
- I) p. 394, note 8 is wrong it is an offence of wrong-doing to be disrespectful to one not ordained, as in lines 6-11 from bottom of text;
- J) p. 397, note 1 is wrong it is an offence of wrong-doing to frighten one not ordained, as in lines 4–7;
- K) p. 416, note 1 is wrong it is an offence of wrong-doing to hide a requisite of one not ordained, as in the sentence referred to in note 7 on p. 415.

Volume 3:

a) p. 216, lines 7-8 should read: "... is forfeited is not <u>not</u> to be given back...";

Mistakes in the footnotes to Volume 3:

- A) p. 26, note 1: the passages mentioned are not "parallel passages" as they involve lay-people (thus resulting in offences of wrong-doing, cf. Volume 3, §§ H-K above), whereas this rule involves only monks;
- B) p. 48, note 4 is wrong it is an offence of wrong-doing to hit a layperson, as in lines 8–10 in the text;
- C) p. 52, note 2 is wrong it is an offence of wrong-doing to "defame" one not ordained, as in lines 12–14 of the text.

Volume 4:

- a) p. 44, line 10 from the bottom of the text: the page number for the Pali edition should be [33];
- b) p. 58, the last two lines of the text: the numbers for the footnotes should be "preceptor2" and "one who shares his cell3";

Mistakes in the footnotes to Volume 4:

- A) p. 276, note 1 should read: "... these three materials are <u>disallowed</u> for making needle-cases ...";
- B) p. 339, notes 9 and 8 should be notes 8 and 9, respectively.

Volume 5:

a) p. 180, line 2 from the bottom of the text: the footnote number should be "sunshade 10".

Suggestions for clearer renderings:

- 1) BD.1, p. 210, bottom paragraph: I suggest changing the word "emission" to "to be released", "to be let go", "freedom" as at BD.3, p. 48, line 2 from the bottom of the text;
- 2) BD.2, p. 345: the word udakadantapona is better translated as "water and teeth-cleaner";
- 3) Miss Horner has consistently misinterpreted the number of bhikkhus comprising a gaṇa and a saṅgha. A gaṇa consists of 2 or 3 bhikkhus, and a saṅgha comprises 4 bhikkhus or more: ref. BD.2, p. xii, lines 3 and 6; p. 7, notes 5 & 6; p. 8, note 6; p. 162, note 2; BD.3, p. 13, note 3;
- 4) In Theravāda countries aruņa is taken to be "dawn" rather than 7, line 8; p. 15, line 4 from bottom; p. 23 line 4 from bottom of text and the bottom of the text; p. 115, line 15; p. 132, line 12; p. 158, line 10 from

Thiradhammo Bhikkhu

Kandersteg

THERAVĀDIN LITERATURE IN TIBETAN TRANSLATION*

Contents

Introduction

introduction	
1.	Theravadin texts in the Kanjur
1.1–13	Thirteen paritta and other texts
	The position of the 13 texts in the Kanjur
	Translators and date of translation
	The 13 texts and modern scholarship
	The original language of the 13 texts
1.1	Dharmacakrapravartana-sūtra
1.2	Jātaka-nidāna
1.3	Āṭānāṭiya-sūtra
1.4	Mahāsamaya-sūtra
1.5	Maitrī-sūtra
1.6	Maitrībhāvanā-sūtra
1.7	Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa-sūtra
1.8	Giri-ānanda-sūtra
1.9	Nandopanandanāgarājadamana-sūtra
1.10	Mahākāśyapa-sūtra
1.11	Sūrya-sūtra
1.12	Candra-sūtra
1.13	Mahāmaṅgala-sūtra
1.14	Ārya-maitrī-sūtra-nāma
1.1–14	General remarks
1.15	Vimuttimagga, Chapter 3: Dhutaguṇa-nirdeśa

^{*} I am grateful to Heinz Bechert, Siglinde Dietz, Paul Harrison, Jens-Uwe Hartmann, Donald Lopez, Jampa Samten, Jonathan Silk, and Russell Webb for providing information and materials. Above all, I am indebted to E. Gene Smith, without whose assistance this article would be a much poorer thing, and to David Seyfort Ruegg for his comments.

70 Peter Skilling			
2.	Theravadin texts and tenets in the Tanjur		
2.1	Vimuttimagga in the Saṃskṛtāsaṃskṛta-viniścaya		
2.2	Miscellaneous citations in the Samskrtāsamskrta-		
	viniścaya		
2.3	*Buddhavamsa of the Abhayagiri in the Tarkajvālā		
2.4	Parallels to Pāli texts in the Udānavarga-vivaraņa		
2.5	Sources for Theravadin tenets		
2.5.1	The (Ārya) Sthaviras and their branches		
2.5.2	Sthaviras, Tāmraśāţīyas, Tāmraparņīyas, and		
	Tāmravarņīyas		
	a) Tāmraśāṭīyas and bhavanga-viññāṇa		
	b) Tāmraśāṭīyas and the constituents of nāma		
	c) Tāmraśāţīyas in the Tarkajvālā of Bhavya		
	d) Tāmraśāṭīyas in the Madhyamakaratnapradīpa of		
	Bhavya		
	e) Tāmraśāṭīyas in the *Karatalaratna of Bhavya		
	f) Tāmraśāṭīyas, Tāmraparņīyas, and the "heart-basis"		
	g) Tāmravarņīyas and the defintion of Akaniţţha		
	h) Conclusions		
2.5.3	Sthaviras and Vibhajyavādins		
2.5.4	Sthaviras and Mahīśāsakas		
2.5.5	Sthaviras, Kāśyapīyas, and Dharmaguptakas		
2.5.6	Sources for Theravadin tenets: conclusions		
3.	Modern translations of Theravadin texts		
3.1	The Dhammapada and Gedun Chomphel		
3.2	Abhidhammatthasangaha		
4.	Tibet and Ceylon		
Conclusion	_		
Abbreviatio	ns and bibliography		
Table 1	Location of the 13 texts after the arrangement of the		
	Tshal pa Kanjur		

Table 2	Location of the 13 texts after the arrangement of the
	Them spangs ma Kanjur
Table 3	"Epithets of insight" in the Dhammacakkappavattana- sutta
Table 4	Concordance of Uv, Dhp, GDhp, PDhp, and alternate
	nidānas in the Krodhavarga
Table 5	Contents of Abhidhamma and Suttanta according to the
	Maitreya-sūtra and Gedun Chomphel
Table 6	Occurrence of the term Tāmraśāṭīya in the Tanjur
Table 7	Affiliation of the Tamrasatiyas and related schools
	according to Tibetan sources

Theravādin literature in Tibetan translation

71

THERAVADIN LITERATURE IN TIBETAN TRANSLATION

Introduction

The Tibetan collection of Buddhist literature in translation is divided into two main parts: the Kanjur and the Tanjur. The Kanjur (bKa' 'gyur, "Word [of the Buddha] in translation") contains texts traditionally held to have been spoken by the Buddha; the Tanjur (bsTan 'gyur, "Treatises [bstan $bcos = ś\bar{a}stra$] in translation") comprises treatises and commentaries by Indian and other masters. While the bulk of the contents of the two collections belongs to the Mahāyāna or to the Vajrayāna, both of them also contain a fair number of works of the Śrāvakayāna. These include the voluminous Vinaya of the Mūlasarvāstivādin school, along with numerous and often voluminous commentaries; a miscellaneous collection of sūtras and avadānas, mostly again of the Mūlasarvāstivādin school; and a number of Abhidharma treatises, mainly presenting the tenets of the Vaibhāṣikas, an Abhidharma movement within the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin tradition.

In addition, both the *Kanjur* and the *Tanjur* contain translations of Theravādin literature, the former in the form of independent texts, the latter in the form of a duplication of one of the *Kanjur* texts and of citations or references within longer works. Beyond this, two texts have been translated from Pāli to Tibetan in this century.

1. Theravadin texts in the Kanjur 1.1-13 Thirteen paritta and other texts

The position of the 13 texts in the $Kanjur^1$

Thirteen texts, translated by the Sinhalese Ānandaśrī and the Tibetan Thar pa lotsava Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dpal bzan po (for whom see below), are the only *group* of Theravādin texts in the *Kanjur*. For this study, I have utilized the catalogues of twelve editions of the *Kanjur*, plus several *gSan yigs* ("*Records of Teachings Received*"). The editions fall into two groups, according to the arrangement of the two main lineages of the *Kanjur*, the Tshal pa and the Them spangs ma.

Bu ston Rin chen grub, in his History of Buddhism (Chos 'byun'), completed in 1322 or 1323 — as will be seen below only a decade or two later than the translations — describes the 13 texts as gsar du 'gyur ba, "newly translated". The Lithang xylograph, completed in 1614, the gSan yig of gTer bdag glin pa 'Gyur med rdo rje (1646–1714), the Derge xylograph, completed in 1773, and the Urga xylograph, completed in Ulan Bator in 1910, give them the same title. The modern Lhasa xylograph, completed in 1934, does the same, and also calls them

¹ I apologize to the reader unfamiliar with Tibetan, for the fact that in the following pages I must plunge directly into the thick jungle of *Kanjur* studies and Tibetan history. It is necessary to do so in order to understand the transmission of the texts, and to clarify the considerable confusion that has arisen concerning them.

² Lithang Catalogue (Imaeda 1984, p. 26): ses rab kyi pha rol tu phyin pa ses rab sna tshogs dan | mdo gsar 'gyur gyi bskor pu sti gcig; gsar 'gyur gyi mdo la; TD, p. 645 ult; Derge Catalogue (sDe dge bka' 'gyur dkar chag), folio 118b1 and Urga Catalogue (Bethlenfalvy 1980, p. 11): ses rab sna tshogs dan mdo gsar 'gyur gyi skor pu sta ka gcig la. At least one other text (Urga 361, which is not related to the texts studied here) bears the marginal marking mdo sde gsar 'gyur, ki: Bethlenfalvy, Introduction, p. 12. For this text see Baron A. von Staël-Holstein, "The Emperor Ch'ien-Lung and the Larger Śūramgamasūtra", in HJAS I, 1936, pp. 137–46.

the "Group of Thirteen Sūtras" (mdo tshan bcu gsum po). The catalogues of the Peking and Narthang Kanjurs do not give them any general title; they simply list the titles without further detail. ²

The first group consists of eight editions which, in terms of their arrangement of the texts in question, are based primarily on the Tshal pa Kanjur, a manuscript compiled in 1347–51 A.C. One of these is a manuscript, that kept at Berlin, while the remaining seven are xylographs. The second group consists of four manuscript editions which agree in general arrangement with the Them spangs ma manuscript Kanjur prepared at rGyal rtse in 1431,³ supplemented by two Records of Teachings Received (gSan yig) which describe the Them spangs ma tradition. It will be seen that the two groups differ in their classification and arrangement of the 13 texts.

Table 1 gives the location of the 13 texts according to the arrangement of the Tshal pa *Kanjur*. In all eight editions, the 13 texts occur at the very end of their respective volumes. The table shows that they occur in the same sequence, as numbers 13 to 25, in all editions except the Urga and the Lhasa (modern xylographs completed in 1910 and 1934 respectively). In the Berlin, Derge, Lhasa, Lithang, Peking, and Urga editions the volume in question is the last volume of the Śer phyin

or Prajñāpāramitā divison; in the Cone it is the sixth of the eight volumes of Ser phyin.

In the Narthang the 13 texts come at the end of the last volume of the mDo or Sūtra division.² Lhasa follows N. In the gSan yig of gTer bDag glin pa, they are appended to volume ah, the last of the Sūtra division;³ otherwise the contents of volume ah agree with volume ah of the Lithang and Peking Kanjurs, in which it is also the last. (gTer bdag glin pa's gSan yig agrees with the Lithang and Peking Kanjurs on the order and contents of the Sūtra division; like them, it includes the Parinirvāṇa-sūtra under Sūtra volumes ña and ta, against the Narthang which treats it as a separate division, following the Sūtra. Like the Narthang, it places the 13 texts at the end of Sūtra; the Tantra [rgyud] division of Narthang agrees with the gSan yig against that of either the other Tshal pa or the Them spangs ma Kanjurs.)

¹ Catalog of the Lhasa Kanjur, reproduced by Lokesh Chandra from the collection of Prof. Raghuvira (Sata-piṭaka series 324), New Delhi, 1983, 438a7 (p. 875): ser phyin sna tshogs dan | mdo gsar 'gyur skor; 439a5 (p. 877) mdo tshan bcu gsum po.

² Peking Tripiṭaka, Vol. 151, Dkarchag I, 13a4-5; Catalogue of the Narthang Kanjur, reproduced by Lokesh Chandra (Sata-Piṭaka Series Vol. 323), New Delhi, 1983, dkar sdus 9a1-4; dkar chag ka 103a5-b1.

³ The dates of the Tshal pa and Them spangs ma manuscripts and of the Kanjurs referred to below are from Eimer 1989, pp. 24–25. For the history of the Kanjur and bibliographies of Kanjur studies, see Helmut Eimer, Ein Jahrzehnt Studien zur Überlieferung des Tibetischen Kanjur, Vienna, 1992, and Paul Harrison, Druma-kinnara-rāja-pariprechā-sūtra, Tokyo, 1992.

¹ They follow the same arrangement in the Mongolian translation of the *Kanjur*: see Ligeti 1942–44, §§ 779–91: end of Vol. 47, the last volume of Śer phyin.

² The Narthang, which is traditionally described as a Tshal pa Kanjur (see for example KD II, p. 283) agrees with the arrangement of the Lithang and Peking xylographs only in part: in this case it does not. It agrees with the Them spangs ma tradition (see below) in classing the texts under Sūtra, but not in terms of volume number or arrangement. The discrepancy in classification between Peking (Q) and the Berlin Ms (B) on the one hand and Narthang (N) on the other was noted by Lalou in 1929 (pp. 87–88) and in 1949 (JA 1949, p. 352): (in the Mongolian Kanjur) "les 13 textes traduits du pāli sont groupés à la fin de la section Prajñāpāramitā, comme dans la collection tibétaine de Pékin et le manuscrit de Berlin, tandis qu'ils sont rangés à la fin de la section Mdo dans les éditions de Narthang et de Kumbum". The discrepancy between Derge, Lithang, Cone, and Peking against Narthang and Lhasa was noted by Imaeda (1982, pp. 18–19); cf. also Paul Pelliot, "Notes à propos d'un catalogue du Kanjur", JA, July-August 1914, p. 146.

³ TD, p. 645 ult. The text implies that the 13 texts made up a separate volume, to which it does not ascribe a number: mdo gsar 'gyur pu sti gżan du bżugs par

Table 2 gives the location of the 13 texts after the arrangement of the Them spangs ma Kanjur. The four manuscripts that follow this order all place the 13 texts in the same position in volume 36 (chi), the second last volume of the Sūtra division. Although the texts are divided into two groups, they otherwise maintain the same internal order as that of the Tshal pa Kanjurs: numbers 1 to 8 occur as numbers 1 to 8 of the volume, while numbers 9 to 13 occur as numbers 15 to 19 of the volume. Two Records of Teachings Received (gSan vig), one by Jayapandita Blo bzaň 'phrin las (born 1642) and one by 'Jam dbyaňs bźad pa'i rdo rje (1648-1721), which describe the Them spangs ma Kanjur, give the 13 texts in the same volume (chi) and the same order. The Them spangs ma editors do not class the texts as Mahāyāna or Śrāvakayāna: while the intervening texts (Stog §§ 297–302) belong to the latter, the two texts that follow the second group are described in their titles as Mahāyāna sūtras (Stog §§ 308-9). Since this is the general pattern in the Them spangs ma, which places texts of Mahāyāna and Śrāvakayāna side-by-side throughout the Sūtra division, the question of classification does not arise.

A reason for the different position of the 13 texts in Narthang may now be suggested. In the Tshal pa Kanjurs, apart from Narthang-Lhasa, the 13 texts are placed at the end of the Ses rab sna tshogs or "Miscellaneous Prajñāpāramitā" volume. In terms of contents and order, this volume exists in four different versions: Them spangs ma (HLNST, plus the gSan yig of Jayapandita); Tshal pa (BCJQ); Derge (which follows Bu ston's History of Buddhism); and Phug brag, which is unique. Only the Tshal pa Kanjurs (BCJQ, including here D) place the texts at the end of Ses rab sna tshogs; the Them spangs ma Kanjurs do

not. We know that the carving of the blocks of the Narthang Kanjur began at Lhasa in the time of the 6th Dalai Lama, but was interrupted after only 24 or 28 volumes were completed. The Kanjur was finally completed by Pho lha nas, who had the remaining blocks carved at Sel dkar on the basis of a descendent of the Them spangs ma kept at Sel dkar chos sde. The Narthang Ses rab sna tshogs volume must belong to the later set; it therefore follows the Them spangs ma tradition, and does not include the 13 texts in that volume. As in the gSan yig of gTer bdag glin pa, the Narthang editors placed the 13 texts at the end of the Sūtra division; to complicate matters, they took them from a manuscript tradition belonging to the Them spangs ma rather than the Tshal pa lineage, since the individual colophons agree with those of the former (see below).

One other recension of the *Kanjur*, the Phug brag manuscript, differs in contents and arrangement from other known *Kanjurs*. The 13 texts are not found in this edition.³ The recently noted O rgyan glin *Kanjur* shares certain texts with the Phug brag against the Tshal pa and Them spangs ma *Kanjurs*;⁴ this edition, however, includes the 13 texts in the last volume of the Prajñāpāramitā division, "Miscellaneous

¹ For an earlier note on this volume, see Lalou 1929.

² The volume is missing in U: see Bethlenfalvy 1982, p. 16. The gSan yig of Klon rdol bla ma, which describes N, agrees with N except that it omits text no. 4: KD II 286.

¹ 24 according to KD II 453, but 28 according to the Narthang dkar chag, 54b2.

² The Narthang dkar chag (loc. cit.), however, states that the blocks carved at Lhasa started with the Prajñāpāramitā (śer phyogs). This is clearly not the case for the "Miscellaneous" volume, which agrees in order and contents with the Them spangs ma.

³ See Samten 1992; cf. also the same author's "Preliminary Notes on the Phugbrag bKa'-'gyur: A Unique Edition of the Tibetan Buddhist Canon", in Ihara and Yamaguchi 1992, pp. 115–20.

⁴ See Jampa Samten, "Notes on the Bka'-'gyur of O-Rgyan-Gling, the Family Temple of the Sixth Dalai Lama (1683–1706)", paper delivered at the Sixth International Conference of the International Association for Tibetan Studies, Fagernes, August 1992 (unpublished).

Prajñāpāramitā", 1 and thus agrees in this case with the Tshal pa tradition. The texts do not seem to be included in the extant volumes of the incomplete manuscript Kanjur from Batang kept in the Newark Museum.

In Bu ston's list of canonical Tibetan translations the 13 texts are catalogue numbers 369 to 380;³ here too they come at the end of the last section of the Sūtra collection properly speaking.⁴ This is Section VII, which comprises "Miscellaneous Mahāyāna Sūtras" (theg pa chen po'i mdo sde sna tshogs). After listing the texts, Bu ston expresses doubt about two points: whether or not the texts were duplicates of earlier

translations, and whether they belonged to "the Greater or the Lesser Vehicle".1

Doubts about the first point most probably arose from the fact that early translations of (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin counterparts of some of the 13 texts do in fact exist.² Here we may turn to the colophons of the 13 texts in the Them spangs ma tradition as represented by the London, Narthang, Stog Palace, and Tokyo Kanjurs.³ For the Mahāsamaya-sūtra (1.4 in the present study), the colophon notes that "the present text agrees completely with the early translation".⁴ The "early translation" must refer to the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin recension, the Mahāsamāja-sūtra, translated ca. 800 by Jinamitra and others. The colophon to the Maitrī-sūtra (1.5 in the present study) notes that the text deals with the same subject as the early translation [entitled] Maitreya-vyākaraṇa.⁵ The colophon to the

¹ Ses phyin sna tshogs, 206a-340b: I am grateful to Jampa Samten for providing this information (letter of 23 October, 1992).

² This Kanjur awaits analysis and cataloguing. The texts are not listed in the tables of contents attached to 12 of the 15 extant Sūtra volumes, and I did not notice them in a cursory examination of the remaining three volumes. They may, of course, have been included in one of the missing Sūtra volumes, or in the "Miscellaneous Prajñāpāramitā" volume, which is also missing.

³ Bu ston gives them in an order different from that of the Tshal pa *Kanjurs*, and omits number 12, the *Candrasūtra*. That the omission is the result of a scribal error is clear from the fact that Bu ston refers elsewhere to 13 texts (see below).

⁴ Section VII; this is followed by VIII, a collection of prayers and auspicious verses (bsno ba smon lam bkra śis), which are placed at the end of Kanjurs as benedictions, and section IX, a list of texts "which were definitely translated in the early period but were not included in the Kanjur" at the time of Bu ston's writing (snar 'gyur nes pa den san gi bka' 'gyur du ma tshud cin ma rñed pa). The catalogue numbers and sections are from the romanized edition of Nishioka (1980). There is a misprint on p. 76, where the texts in question are given as 367–80, for which read 369–80. This — and the fact that Bu ston lists only 12 titles — has given rise to an error in Szerb 1990, note 19 to p. 106, which lists the texts translated by Ñi ma rgyal mtshan (for whom see below) as Nishioka 368–80, thereby including Nishioka 368 = Q 787, a version of the Mahāparinirvāna-sūtra translated from Chinese. His statement "there are no translators indicated in the Peking catalogue except for [Q] 787. Here Ñi ma rgyal mtshan is not mentioned" may be disregarded.

¹ 'Di rnams snar gyi dan zlos mi zlos theg pa che chun gan yin dpyad par bya'o. Sumpa mkhan po Ye ses dpal 'byor more or less reproduces Bu ston's text, listing 12 titles in abbreviated form, and then noting mdo rnams sna ma dag dan zlos mi zlos dan theg pa che chun dpyod: Sarat Chandra Das, Pag Sam Jon Zang, [Calcutta, 1908] Kyoto, 1984, p. 415 (with some misprints). The Derge, Lhasa, and Urga Kanjurs also paraphrase Bu ston's statement, as cited below in note 1 on page 82.

² Full bibliographical details are given below under the appropriate titles. In the present context "early translations" (sna 'gyur) refers to those done in the "early period of diffusion of the dharma" (sna dar), from the 8th to the first half of the 9th centuries.

³ For the first three I have consulted the actual texts (Skorupski's transcription of the Stog colophons [Skorupski 1985] contains a few minor inaccuracies), except for in a few cases when I had access only to Skorupski's catalogue for Stog. I am grateful to Jonathan Silk (Kyoto) for checking the Tokyo Manuscript colophons.

⁴ Tshig 'dir yod kun snar 'gyur dan mtshuns: L XXXVI(4), 115b7; N 350, 564b2; S 292, 124a1; T 289, 114a2.

⁵ Shar (LNS: sha T and Skorupski for S) 'gyur byams pa lun bstan dan don cig (LN: gcig T and Skorupski for Stog: partly effaced ga evident in S): L XXXVI(5), 124a4; N 351, 574b6; S 293, 133b7; T 290, 122b2. The Maitreyavyākaraṇa is a different Maitreya text, probably of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin school, for which cf. Lévi 1932, pp. 355-402 and Schopen 1982, pp. 228-35.

Candra-sūtra (1.12 in the present study) notes that "there is also an early translation". That this refers to the anonymous translation of a (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin recension of the same title is clear from the colophon to the latter, which in the Stog Palace and Tokyo editions notes that "it is evident that this is the same sūtra as that translated by Thar pa lo tsa [ba]". The Them spangs ma editors thus realized that the two Candra-sūtras were related. The colophon to the Mahāmangala-sūtra (1.13 in the present study) also notes the existence of an early translation; the reference is presumably to the anonymous translation of a Devaparipṛcchā-mangalagāthā of unknown school. The Them spangs

Oddly enough, the Maitreya-vyākaraṇa is not included in the Them spangs ma Kanjurs (London, Stog, Ulan Bator), nor in Derge, Lithang, or Cone. Nonetheless, it was known and available at rGyal rtse, since the biography of Situ Rab brtan refers to a painting based on the Byams pa lun bstan pa'i mdo: see Rab brtan kun bzan 'phags kyi rnam thar, Bod ljons mi mans dpe skrun khan, 1987, p. 95. The colophon describes it as an early translation by Jinamitra and dPal brtsegs rakṣita; it is listed in Bu ston's Catalogue (§ 83, under Hīnayāna, Theg chun), and included in the Phug brag (F 30, in Vol. ca of Avataṃsaka!), Peking (B[82]5, mdo a; Q 1011, mdo hu), Narthang (N 329, mdo sa), and Lhasa (H 350, mdo sa) Kanjurs. It is not clear whether the 'Phags pa byams pas lun bstan pa listed in the IDan dkar Catalogue as translated from Chinese (Lalou 265) is a version of the text.

ma colophons also say that there is an early translation of the Mahākāśyapa-sūtra (1.10 in the present study); I do not know to which text this might refer. The Them spangs ma editors do not note that the Dharmacakrapravartana- and Āṭānāṭiya-sūtras — numbers 1.1 and 1.3 of the present study — also have early translation counterparts. The colophon to the Dharmacakra-sūtra — the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin early translation counterpart of 1.1 — does, however, note that "it is apparent that this is the same sūtra as that translated by Thar pa lo tsa ba". In the case of 1.3, the omission may be due to the fact that the Tibetan titles of the two versions are quite different: ICan lo can gyi pho bran gi mdo for the Theravādin Āṭānāṭiya, and mDo chen po kun tu rgyu ba dan kun tu rgyu ba ma yin pa dan mthun pa'i mdo for the Mūlasarvāstivādin Āṭānāṭīya.

(In addition to those cited here, the Them spangs ma colophons — as represented by London, Stog, and [when it is based on the Them spangs ma] Narthang — occasionally give brief notes on the nature of other texts. Another example is "this belongs to the first dispensation" [that is, the Śrāvakayāna] noted for the Dīrghanakhaparivrājakapariprcchā, the Nandapravrajyā-sūtra, the Mahāśūnyatā-nāmamahāsūtra, and the *Vāsistha-sūtra.³ The notes are not systematic, since

¹ Shar (LNT: sha S) 'gyur yan yod: L XXXVI(18), 196b2; N 358, 595b7; S 306, 217a5; T 303, 195a1.

² Skorupski § 63, T 63, 232b3-4, 'di dan thar pa lo tsas (S: tshas T) bsgyur ba de mdo gcig (S: cig T) tu snan. The remark most probably occurs in L, which I was unable to consult. It is not found in N 316 (mdo la, 409b), which here follows the Tshal pa lineage. For the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin version, see below, § 1.12; for Thar pa lo tsa ba as a name of Ñi ma rgyal mtshan, translator of the 13 texts, see below.

³ Shar (LNT: sha S) 'gyur yan yod: L XXXVI(19), 197b3; N 359, 597a4; S 307, 218b1; T 304, 196a2. For the Devapariprechā-mangalagāthā (lHas źus pa'i bkra śis kyi tshigs su bead pa) see below § 1.13. The text does not seem to be included in the Them spangs ma Kanjurs. It is not S 279, since the final line cited by Skorupski (n. 2) is different (I was unable to check L). It is not among the group of svasti-gāthās at the end of the Sūtra division (mdo ji) in the London (ji, 379a1-385a3) or Stog Palace (§§ 328-32, ji 385a5-391a7) Ms Kanjurs, and

Jonathan Silk has informed me that it seems to be missing in the Tokyo Ms. The *lHas żus pa'i bkra śis kyi tshigs bcad* listed in Bu ston (§ 400) is presumably the same text.

¹ Snar (LNT: sna S)'gyur yod: L XXXVI(16), 195a1; N 356, 594a4; S 304, 215b3; T 301, 193a8.

² Skorupski § 208, T 208, 5a7, thar pa lo tsa bas (S: tstshas T) bsgyur ba dan (T adds |) mdo'i (T adds no [!]) gcig tu snan. I was unable to consult London. The remark is not in N 322 (mdo la, 434a4) which here follows the Tshal pa lineage. For the Dharmacakra-sūtra see below, § 1.1.

³ 'Di bka' dan por gtogs so, or variants thereof: Skorupski §§ 54, 57, 202, 206, respectively. For the Mahāśūnyatā-nāma-mahāsūtra (S 202) — I have been unable to check the others — the remark also occurs in London (źa 310a2) and Tokyo (źa 309b7), as well as in the as yet unstudied Nes Don collection

no such remark is made for other "first dispensation" texts such as the remaining *Mahāsūtras*, which belong to the Mūlasarvāstivādin tradition. A study of these brief remarks would contribute to our understanding of the Them spangs ma tradition. The fact that two of the texts referred to in the colophons studied here — the *Maitreya-vyākaraṇa* and the *Devaparipṛcchā-maṅgala-gāthā* — are not included in the Them spangs ma tradition raises interesting questions.)

Bu ston's doubts about the second point — whether the 13 texts belong to the Mahāyāna or the Hīnayāna — can be laid to rest, since we know that they belong to the Theravādin school, and hence the Hīnayāna or Śrāvakayāna. Although most Tshal pa editions place the texts in the Prajñāpāramitā divison, the collection of Mahāyāna sūtras of the "Perfection of Wisdom" class, they were not considered to be Prajñāpāramitā texts. This is noted in the catalogue (dkar chag) of the Derge Kanjur; the note is reproduced in the Urga and Lhasa catalogues. "Although the [13] newly translated sūtras do not belong to the Prajñāpāramitā, the translations of the later period were formerly placed together at the end of this volume [that is, the "Miscellaneous Prajñāpāramitā"]. It appears that they were [so] placed without considering whether they belong to the Great or the Lesser Vehicle, or whether or not they are duplicates of early translations. Here we have done the same". The 13 texts may have been placed there simply due to

(typescript by Gene Smith, Vol. II, text na). The statement is not found in Narthang — which in this case follows the Tshal pa transmission — or in any other Kanjur (BCDHJQ) including Phug brag and the incomplete manuscript Kanjur from Batang kept in the Newark Museum, New Jersey (va, 238a6).

exigencies of space: the volume in question contains a number of short Prajñāpāramitā texts (180 folios in the Derge edition), not enough to fill a volume. It is also possible that the editors of the Tshal pa *Kanjur* recognized their status as *paritta*, and placed them there as an auspicious conclusion to the division, just as they placed various prayers and auspicious verses at the end of other divisions.¹

¹ Derge 119a1, Bethlenfalvy 1980, pp. 13-14, Lhasa 439a2 (p. 877) (with a few minor variants): gsar 'gyur gyi mdo rnams ni ses phyin du gtogs pa ma yin mod kyi | dus phyis 'gyur ba rnams phyogs gcig tu snar nas glegs bam 'di'i gsam du bkod 'dug cin | theg pa che chun gan yin dan | sna 'gyur dan zlos pa yod med sogs kyan ma brtags par bżag snan ba bżin | 'dir yan de ltar byas pa. See also the remarks in Ryoei Tokuoka, "The Comparison of the Lha-sa Edition with the

Sde-dge and Peking Editions", Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies, XV, 2 (March, 1967), p. 59.

¹ For this practice, see Skilling 1992, pp. 129–35.

Translators and date of translation

Information about the translators of the 13 texts is given in colophons. For this study, I will utilize the colophons of the Derge (D) edition, in comparison with those of the Berlin (B), London (L), Narthang (N), Peking (Q), and Stog Palace (S) editions. The colophons in these editions may be divided into four groups, given here according to the numbers assigned to the 13 texts in the present article.

1) Colophon to 1.1 in DLNS; no colophon in BQ:

The colophon states that the translation was done "at the behest of the Za lu sKu źan Grags pa rgyal mtshan, Du dben śa, who had unbreakable faith in the Buddha's teaching, who was accomplished in the two gtsug lag, and who had great prestige and authority, like the waxing moon".

Grags pa rgyal mtshan was the fourth ruler (dpon) of the Za lu myriarchy in gTsang province — one of the 13 myriarchies of the period — and a cousin-in-law of the Sa skya pa patriarch bZan po dpal (1262–1322, for whom see below). Sku żan ("respected uncle") is a title unique

to the nobles of Za lu, signifying that they gave daughters in marriage to the Sa skya pas, then rulers of Tibet.¹ In this case, a sister of Grags pa rgyal mtshan was married to bDag ñid chen po bZan po dpal, and one of his daughters to Sa skya lama Don yod rgyal mtshan (1310–1344).² Du dben śa, which transcribes the Mongol du uen sha from the Chinese tu-yüan shuai, was a title conferred by the Mongols.³

The encomium is not an exaggeration: Grags pa rgyal mtshan was indeed a man of considerable power. Vitali notes that "no other clan in Tibet was in a similar position of strength and authority...than the Za lu pa". Furthermore, Grags pa rgyal mtshan, whose power was enhanced through marriage into the powerful Tshal pa clan, was, according to Vitali, "the greatest sku żan of them all". He was invested with his fief by Oljadu, successor to Qubilai Khan (Öljäitü = Ch'eng tsung, reigned 1294–1307), from whom he received the title Gu śrī (imperial advisor). I have not found a source that gives the dates of Grags pa rgyal mtshan's life or when he became sku żan or received his other titles (note that the colophon does not describe him as gu śrī). Vitali (p. 100) suggests that he became sku żan in 1306; if this is so, then the translations (or at least the colophon) would date to 1306 or later. Sources describe Grags pa rgyal mtshan as "a faithful donor considered to be a manifestation of the

¹ For DLNQS, I have consulted the original texts: D in the "Karmapa edition"; S in the facsimile edition, compared with Skorupski's catalogue (which gives the colophons in full); L and N at the Oriental and India Office Collections of the British Library, London. (For the latter I have used the boxed edition [Tib. E 30]). For B I have used the Beckh's catalogue, which gives summaries of the colophons only. For the final colophon (4) I have also consulted Cone (C): I am grateful to Susan Meinheit (Washington, D.C.) for providing a copy.

² This seems to be a stock phrase: see Kun mhkyen 'jigs med dban po, Co ne'i bstan 'gyur dkar chag, Kan su'u mi rigs dpe skrun khan, 1989, p. 441, where it is applied to Kun dga' don grub.

³ I have been unable to find a definition of the gtsug lag gñis: "two principles"?

⁴ For Za lu (also spelt Zva lu), see Tucci 1989–91, pp. 70–72; Ferrari 1958, p. 60 and note 426 (p. 143); Vitali 1990, pp. 89–122; for Grags pa rgyal mtshan, see Tucci 1949, Vol. II, Table XVI; Tucci 1989–91, p. 87, and "List of the Princes of Zha-lu", facing p. 90; Ruegg 1966, pp. 9–10, 17, 31–32.

¹ Tucci 1989-91, p. 84, note 2; Ruegg 1966, pp. 9-10 and notes thereto; Vitali 1990, pp. 98-99.

² Tucci 1989–91, p. 80.

³ cf. Tucci 1949 p. 33; p. 696, note 393; Ruegg 1966, p. 168, note 1; and Luciano Petech, "Yüan Official Terms in Tibetan", in Ihara and Yamaguchi 1992, Vol. 2, p. 670: "commanding general in a circuit (*lu*), ranking 2-b. In Central Tibet there were two *tu-yūan-shuai* commanding the Mongol units stationed in the country. In the 14th century this title was freely granted to Tibetan noblemen."

⁴ Tucci 1989–91, p. 84; Vitali 1990, pp. 99, 100. Tshal pa, in the central province of dBus, was another of the 13 myriarchies.

⁵ Vitali p. 100; Tucci 1989–91, p. 87.

Great King Vaiśravaṇa". He and his son, Kun dga' don grub, were the immediate patrons of Bu ston Rinpoche, who spent much of his career as abbot at Ża lu. They sponsored renovations to the gSer khan at Ża lu; these are described in the biography of Bu ston (Ruegg 1966, pp. 89–94, the most important passage on Grags pa rgyal mtshan in the biography).

The colophon goes on to describe the translators as "the Great Paṇḍita Ānandaśrī² — from the isle of Ceylon, a journey of 600 yojanas to the south of Vajrāsana, the Bodhimaṇḍa (i.e., Bodh Gayā), who had properly entered the religious life (i.e., taken lower ordination) from a brāhmaṇa family, who had taken full ordination and thoroughly mastered the Tripiṭaka — and the learned (bahuśruta) translator, the Śākya bhikṣu³ Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dPal bzan po", and states that the translation was done "at the seat of translators, the Great Monastery (mahāvihāra) of the glorious (śrī) Thar pa glin".4

- 2) Colophons to 1.2-9 in DLNS; no colophons in BQ:

 Here the colophons are an abridged form of the preceding, giving only the names of the translators and the place of translation.¹
 - 3) Colophons to 1.10–12 in LNS only; no colophons in BDQ: Here LNS repeat the "abridged colophon" as in 1.2–9.

4) Colophons to 1.13 in BCDLNQS:

The final colophon in BCDQ is a collective colophon for all 13 texts.² It begins with a six-line verse *praṇidhāna*, followed by a list of the 13 titles. After this it is close to the first or longer colophon of DLNS, giving further details about the sponsor. Grags pa rgyal mtshan was lord of the "Holy Self-originated Lokeśvara Monastery" at Tshon 'dus 'Gur mo, the commercial centre of the Ñan ro valley in rTsan (gTsan) in the

¹ Ruegg 1966, p. 89 and folio 14a6, bstan pa gus pas mchod pa'i sbyin bdag rgyal po chen po rnam thos sras kyi sprul par grags pa.

² The name is sometimes transliterated as Ānanta- or Ananta-śrī. The colophons in DLNQ clearly read Ānanda-. For variants in the editions of Bu ston's *Chos' byun*, see Szerb 1990, pp. 106 notes 21, 22 and 112 notes 15, 16.

³ The epithet Śākya *bhikṣu* is already met with in early Indian inscriptions; according to some it means an adherent of the Mahāyāna.

⁴ D 183a4; L 7b8; S 6b6 (I was unable to check N 431b because the pages were stuck together; such as I could see seemed the same): sans rgyas kyi bstan pa la mi phyed pa'i dad pa dan ldan žin | gtsug lag gñis la thugs legs par byan ba | dpal 'byor dban phyug dam pa dkar phyogs kyi zla ba ltar 'phel ba'i ža lu ba sku żan (L 8a) grags pa rgyal mtshan du dben śa'i bka' lun gis byan chub kyi sñin po rdo rje'i (S 7a) gdan las | lho phyogs su dpag tshad drug brgya tsam bgrod pa'i gnas | sin gha glin pa bram ze'i rigs las legs par rab tu byun žin | bsñen par rdzogs pa sde snod gsum la thugs legs par byan pa'i pandita chen po ā nanda śrī'i žal sna nas | man du thos pa'i lo tsha ba śākya'i dge slon ñi ma rgyal mtshan dpal bzan pos | skad gñis smra ba rnams kyi gdan sa | gtsug lag khan chen po dpal thar pa glin du bsgyur cin žus te gtan la phab pa'o. A rather inaccurate attempt at a translation of the whole colophon (from N?) was made by Feer (1870, pp. 353–55).

¹ Paṇḍi ta (LN 1.5–13 add chen po | [|| in LN 1.5,6,11]: not in LN 1.2–4) ānanda śrī'i źal sna nas | (LN 1.2 add here dan |) man du thos pa'i lo tstsha ba śākya'i dge slon ñi ma rgyal mtshan dpal bzan pos || skad gñis smra ba rnams kyi (kyis LN for 1.3) gdan sa | gtsug lag khan chen po dpal thar pa glin du bsgyur cin zus te gtan la phab pa'o. (I have listed a few selected variants to show the close agreement of L and N, which suggests that N copied the 13 texts from the Śel dkar Ms, even though it placed them in a different volume [see above]. The figures following the variants refer to numbering of the sūtras in the present paper.)

² Beckh's catalogue of B gives only a brief summary of the colophon; however, since B and Q both belong to the Peking branch of the Tshal pa tradition, I assume here that the colophons are identical. For a translation of the colophon from the Mongolian *Kanjur*, see Bischoff 1968, pp. 337–40; for further notes see de Jong 1972, pp. 536–37 (§ 791).

³ 'Phags pa ran byun 'jig rten dban phyug gi gtsug lag khan (*Ārya-svayambhūlokeśvara-vihāra): for the "self-originated" Lokeśvara at Ża lu, "found by a miraculous white goat with a turquoise beard", see Vitali 1990, p. 97, Ferrari 1958, loc. cit., and the description in the biography of Bu ston: byan phyogs kha ba can gyi ljons | gans ri dpal dan ldan pas bskor ba'i dbus | rje btsun spyan ras gzigs kyi sku gzugs ran byon bźugs pa'i gnas ... (Ruegg 1966, folio 14a4–5; tr. p. 90). The monastery had three other famed Lokeśvara statues housed in the same chapel (Vitali, 92, 97). For this temple see Ruegg 1966, pp. 17–18 and 34.

Land of Tibet,¹ the Mass of Snow Mountains, [which lies] 100 *yojanas* to the north-east of Vajrāsana, the Bodhimaṇḍa [Bodh Gayā] in the Āryadeśa at the centre of Jambudvīpa.²

LNS, of which LS, as seen above, divide the 13 texts into two groups, give here only the "abridged colophon" — identical to those of LNS for texts 1.2–12 — in place of the "collective colophon".

It is now clear that there are only two different colophons: the Them spangs ma colophon, represented by DLNS in (1) above — of which (2) and (3) are an abbreviation — and the Tshal pa colophon, represented by BCDQ in (4). Although they convey much the same information, often in the same words, they are not identical. Without going into too much detail, the reasons for the difference in the assignation of colophons are most likely as follows. The Tshal pa Kanjurs kept the 13 texts together; hence B and Q, which follow the

Tshal arrangement, have only the final, collective colophon. The Them spangs ma Kanjurs divide the 13 texts into two groups; therefore L and S, which follow the Them spangs ma arrangement, give colophons for each text.² For the Sūtra division. D follows the Tshal pa arrangement: while the editors of D based themselves primarily on the Lithang recension of the Tshal pa (J), they also consulted a manuscript belonging to the Them spangs ma tradition — as stated in the catalogue (dkar chag) of D itself, and confirmed by text-critical studies. In the present case D adopts the Them spangs ma colophons for 1.1-9; hence its agreement with L(N)S. For some reason, D reverts to the Tshal pa tradition for 1.10-12, and gives no colophons. Finally, since it follows the Tshal pa tradition in treating the 13 texts as a single group, it ends with the collective colophon of that tradition at 1.13. In the present case N follows the Them spangs ma in terms of textual transmission, and thus gives a colophon for each text. In terms of arrangement, however, it agrees with the Tshal pa in keeping the 13 texts together at the end of the (albeit different) volume.

The verse colophon to text 1.14 further describes Ānandaśrī as "virtuous, foremost among the many thousands [of monks] in the sampha of the land of Sinhala; the disciple of Dīpaṃkara (?),3 who

¹ For the geography of 'Gur mo in Lower Ñan (Ñan — also spelt Myan — smad) see Tucci 1989–91, pp. 47 foll. Bu ston took *upasampadā* at "the market town of gTsan called Tshon 'dus 'Gur mo" in 1312: Ruegg 1966, p. 77 and folio 9b, gtsan tshon 'dus 'gur mo.

² C 317a3, D 284a4, Q 301b5, de ltar mdo bcu gsum po 'di rnams ni 'dzam bu'i glin gi dbus | 'phags pa'i yul | byan chub kyi sñin po rdo rje'i gdan las dpag tshad brgya tsam byan śar du (CD: Q om. du) bgrod pa'i bod yul | gans ri'i khrod | rtsan ñan ro tshon 'dus 'gur mo'i sa cha | 'phags pa ran byun 'jig rten dban phyug gi gtsug lag khan gi bdag po || (CQ: | D) sans rgyas bcom ldan 'das kyi bstan pa la lhag par mos śin | dpal 'byor dan chab srid du ma la dban phyug dam pa'i go 'phan thob pa'i żal bu (CQ: ża lu D) pa sku żan grags pa rgyal mtshan du dben śa'i bka' lun gis | (from here on the text agrees with colophon [1]). A similar description of the relation of Tibet, in this case Lhasa, to Bodh Gayā is used by the Fifth Dalai Lama: cf. Macdonald 1963, p. 57 and p. 111, note 24, 'phags yul rdo rje gdan nas byan phyogs su dpags tshad brgya bgod pa na gdan sa chen po dpal ldan sa skya dan zun du 'brel ba lha sa Vajrāsana is taken as the point of reference because it is traditionally held to be the centre of the universe.

¹ It is likely that the Lithang (J) and Cone (C — which follows J) do the same. For Cone I can confirm that it has the final collective colophon, but not whether it omits the earlier colophons.

² It is likely that the Tokyo (T) and Ulan Bator Them spangs ma (U) manuscripts do the same.

³ Mar me mdzad = $d\bar{\imath}pamkara$ could be taken as an epithet, followed by slob $[dpon] = \bar{a}c\bar{a}rya$, to mean "illuminator, teacher". However, such a use of $d\bar{\imath}pamkara$ seems unusual. The passage is in verse: slob alone can equal śaikṣa, as can slob pa; it can also stand for slob ma = antevāsin, śiṣya. Since it is a common practice to establish one's teacher's credentials or prestige by naming his teacher(s), rather than his disciples, I have taken it in the latter sense. The name D $\bar{\imath}$ pamkara is known in Sri Lanka: a Coliya D $\bar{\imath}$ pamkara was a disciple of a Vanaratna Ānanda: G.P. Malalasekera, The P $\bar{\imath}$ li Literature of Ceylon, Colombo, [1928] 1958, p. 220.

resides in Vajrāsana; the great paṇḍita Ānandaśrī, the virtuous; the monk endowed with the vision of the dharma (dharmacakṣu, "eye of the dharma"), skilled in the two languages; one who seeks the benefit of the [Buddha's] dispensation (śāsana), the excellent one".

The second translator, the Tibetan Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dPal bzan po, is well known as one of the teachers of the famous scholar Bu ston Rin chen grub (1290–1364). In his History of Buddhism (Chos 'byun'), Bu ston states: "My teacher (guru) Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dPal bzan po studied in Nepal for fourteen years; he translated 13 sūtras — the Giriānanda-sūtra, etc.3 — with Paṇḍita Ānandaśrī. Furthermore, he made many other fundamental translations and corrections to translations."

Bu ston's biography, composed by his "spiritual son" (thugs sras) Rin chen rnam rgyal and completed in 1366,5 does not state exactly

when he studied under Ñi ma rgyal mtshan. It is clear, however, that he did so sometime between 1312, when he was ordained at the age of 23, and 1320, when he arrived in Ża lu to become abbot. During this period he stayed mainly at Khro phu, but also undertook several journeys. The biography states that he visited Ñi ma rgyal mtshan for fourteen months continuously, and also for periods of two, three, or four months, over a period of four years, and that "for this bla ma he had special regard, holding him to be the Buddha himself". It describes him as "the great upadhyāya renowned as the 'Translator from Thar pa [glin]', famed in the East, West, and Centre of India as Tibet's chief bhadanta, who had mastery over the profound meaning of spiritual power, a translator (lotsava) who was the eye of the world (lokacaksu)".

Ñi ma rgyal mtshan is credited with the translation of several other Kanjur texts, all in the Tantra (rgyud) division. He translated the $Sarvatath\bar{a}gata-us,\bar{n}\bar{s}avijaya-n\bar{a}ma-dh\bar{a}ra,\bar{n}\bar{k}alpa$ single-handedly (rangis bsgyur ba), that is, without the assistance of an Indian pandit), also at Thar pa glin. In the colophon he is again described as the "learned translator" (mandu thos pa'i lo tsa ba) and also as "the elder" (gnasbran = sthavira), Śrī Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dPal bzan po. Bu ston, in his Tantra Catalogue, describes him as "the great preceptor" $(mkhan chen = mahopadhy\bar{a}ya)$. In the Stog Palace and Derge Kanjurs, he is credited with the revision of the $Sr\bar{i}$ -Vajrabhairavakalpa-tantrar $\bar{a}ja$, "having learned it from the great accomplished one (grub thob chen po) Karṇaśri". In the Phug brag Kanjur only, he is credited with the

¹ N 328, mdo sa, 477a2, Q 1010, hu 311b3, yon tan dan ldan sin ga glin yul gyi || ston phrag man po'i dge 'dun kun gyi gtso || mar me mdzad slob rdo rje gdan bźugs pa || pan chen ā nan da śrī yon tan can || dge slon chos kyi spyan can skad gñis mkhas || bstan la phan 'dod bzan po (de ñid dan ||). The colophon is translated from the Mongolian in Bischoff 1968, pp. 537–38; for further notes see de Jong 1972, pp. 537, 543–45.

² BA II 793; cf. also p. 800. Ruegg 1966, pp. 80–86, Thar pa lo tsā ba. Gene Smith (oral communication, January, 1993) informs me that according to the $\tilde{N}a\dot{n}$ chun, $\tilde{N}i$ ma rgyal mtshan belonged to the dPyal family, which owned Thar pa glin, and that the monastery was named by the Kashmiri pandita Śākyaśrībhadra (1140s–1226). (For the dPyal family and the teachings that they transmitted, see BA I 395–97.)

³ The list of texts in Bu ston's catalogue begins with this sūtra (Nishioka 369).

⁴ Lhasa xylograph ya 140b2: bdag gi bla ma ñi ma rgyal mtshan dpal bzan pos bal por lo bcu bźir sbyans pa mdzad | ri'i kun dga'i mdo la sogs mdo bcu gsum tsam paṇḍita ā nanta śrī spyan drans te bsgyur ro || gźan yan gźi 'gyur dan 'gyur bcos man po mdzad do ||. (For variants, see Szerb 1990, pp. 106,8–107,2.) Obermiller (1932, p. 224) translates "fourteen sūtras"; all editions consulted by Szerb give thirteen (bcu gsum tsam); cf. also Nishioka III (1983), p. 70, where Bu ston refers to kho bo'i bla ma skad gñis smra ba ñi ma'i mtshan can (sic).

⁵ Ruegg 1966, pp. 41, 178.

¹ The date of ordination is from Ruegg 1966, p. 77, the date of Bu ston's arrival in Za lu from p. 93. Bu ston's studies under Ñi ma rgyal mtshan are described at pp. 80–86.

² Ruegg 1966, p. 80 and folio 11a1.

³ S 551 (colophon in Skorupski, p. 270); D 598, Q 200.

⁴ Eimer 1989, § 225, p. 98.

⁵ D 470, S 433; Q 106 seems to be the earlier, unrevised translation. Bu ston's *Tantra Catalogue* (Eimer 1989, § 103) does not name the translators.

translation of the $\dot{S}r\bar{\imath}$ -Guhyagarbhatattvavini \dot{s} caya-mah \bar{a} tantra, which he did at Thar pa gli \dot{n} at the behest of bCom ldan ral gri (who was active in the compilation of the Old Narthang Manuscript Kanjur in the early 14th century). ¹

The bulk of his translations are found in various divisions of the *Tanjur*: the Collection of Eulogies (*bstod tshogs*), the Tantra Commentaries (*rgyud 'grel*), Grammar (*sgra mdo*), Medicine (*gso rig*), and Miscellaneous (*sna tshogs*). A number of these were translated during his stay in the Kathmandu Valley in Nepal;² others at Thar pa glin,³ at the Cun pa Monastery in Ñan ro,⁴ or at the Ser Monastery.⁵ The Nepalese or Indian masters with whom he worked or studied include "the great grammarian" (*sgra pa chen po*) Jetakarṇa (in Nepal),⁶ *paṇḍita* Mañjuśrī,⁷ *paṇḍita* Gautamabhadra of Magadha,⁸ *paṇḍita* Puruṣottama of Vārāṇasī,⁹ *paṇḍita* Buddhaśrī of Eastern India,¹⁰ and *paṇḍitas* Gomaśrī and Buddhaśrījñāna.¹¹ In several places Ñi ma rgyal mtshan is described as "accomplished in the divine language", that is, Sanskrit.¹²

Thar pa glin, "Island of Liberation" (*Moksadvīpa) is a monastery located in gTsan in Central Tibet, not far south of Za lu. As seen above, the colophons to the texts studied herein describe it as "the great monastery" (gtsug lag khan chen po = mahāvihāra) and the "seat of translators" (skad gñis smra ba rnams kyi gdan sa). In later literature, such as the two Records of Teachings Received referred to above, the monastery and Ni ma rgyal mtshan were identified with each other: he was called "the translator from Thar [pa glin]" (Thar lo [tsa ba]),2 and the monastery was famous as "the residence of the Thar pa lotsava". In early December, 1783, Captain Samuel Turner, emissary of Warren Hastings, visited Thar pa glin. Chapter IX of his account describes his departure from Teshoo Loombo (Tashilhunpo) and journey to Terpaling (Thar pa glin) (via Tsondue [= Tshon 'dus] where he and his companion, Mr Saunders, "enjoyed the distinction of having been the first of our nation, that ever signalized themselves by skating in Tibet"). At "Terpaling" he had an audience with the infant "Teshoo Lama" (the 18 month old fourth reincarnation of the Panchen Lama), to whom he delivered the Governor General's greetings and presents ("a string of pearls and coral"). He notes that the young reincarnation "conducted himself with astonishing dignity and decorum". At that time there were 300 monks at the monastery, "appointed to perform religious service with the Teshoo Lama". Chapter X describes Turner's departure from Thar pa glin and return to Bengal.4

¹ F 754; cf. Samten 1992, pp. xxi-xxii.

² Bal po yam bu'i gron khyer du: at least D 1114, 1234, 4385–86.

³ D 1259-60, 1299-1300, 1585, 2026-27, 2035, 2489, 2615, 3054-56, 3125, 3732-33.

⁴ D 1577–78.

⁵ D 2719, 4306.

⁶ See references in note 2 above, plus presumably D 1273, although the location is not mentioned, and D 1585, translated at Thar pa glin after "hearing" the text from Mañjuśrī and Jetakarṇa, and D 3732–33, also translated at Thar pa glin after "hearing" the text from rGyal ba'i sñan = Jetakarṇa; D 4270 (location not mentioned); D 4306, where he is described as a *brāhmaṇa*.

 $^{^7}$ D 1237, 1585 (where he is described as Nepalese, *bal po'i*); cf. de Jong 1972, & 5.

⁸ D 1562–64.

⁹ D 3054–56, 3125.

¹⁰ D 4306, rgya gar gyi śar phyogs kyi paṇḍi ta

¹¹ Derge Catalogue śrī 462a4.

¹² D 2026–27, 2035: lha'i skad la legs par żugs pa.

¹ For Thar pa glin, see Tucci 1989–91, p. 70 and Vitali 1990, p. 103. For its location, see Ferrari 1958, endpiece map; *The Nyingma Edition of the sDe dge bKa' 'gyur and bsTan 'gyur*, Dharma Publishing, Berkeley, 1981, Vol. 93, map at front, "Gzhis-ka-rtse, Historic Sites".

 $^{^2}$ The two gSan yigs call him Thar lo ñi ma rgyal mtshan. See also BA I 104 and II 792.

³ Ferrari 1958, p. 60 and p. 144, notes 436, 437.

⁴ Captain Samuel Turner, An Account of an Embassy to the Court of the Teshoo Lama, in Tibet; Containing a Narrative of a Journey through Bootan, and Part

Ānandaśrī translated one other text, the Ārva-maitrī-sūtra, 8 1.14 in the present study. It will be seen below that this is a different translation of a text similar but not identical to § 1.5, the Maitrī-sūtra. According to the colophon of 1.14, Anandaśri collaborated on the translation with Kun dga' rgyal mtshan Thub bstan dpal bzan po ---"monk, lineage-bearer, successor to the great translators, who through good fortune met Ānandaśrī" — at Sa skya [the seat of the Sa skya pa school]. The work was completed in "the bright half of the first month of winter of a fire-sheep (me lug) year, 1850 years and 10 months after the passing away of the Teacher [the Buddha], when Dharmaraia Ha shang was on the throne". The calculation uses the Theravadin Buddhist era. and is equivalent to 1307 A.C.² The use of the Theravadin era most probably comes from Ānandaśrī himself; the era was, however, already known in Tibet, particularly among the Sa skya pas, from the time of the Kashmiri Śākyaśrībhadra (Kha che pan chen).3 The phrase "when Dharmarāja Ha shang was on the throne" may refer to the fact that the Sa skya patriarch bDag ñid chen po bZan po dpal (1262-1324) had been installed on the throne of Sa skya Monastery in 1306 at the age of 45, after 16 years of exile in southern China (from 1282-98) and eight years

of confinement in Tibet.¹ The title "Ha shang", "[Chinese] monk", suggests that he may have received Chinese ordination at some point, but this presents problems because he had children between 1299 and 1312. He received Tibetan ordination in 1313. According to Tucci, he "was only vested with temporal authority and had no religious authority or rank up to the age of 52", that is, 1313.²

The colophon gives the name of the patron or sponsor, Kun dga' sen ge.³ As the elder brother of 'Jam dbyans Rin chen rgyal mtshan (1258–1306) — in whom power was vested during bZan po dpal's external and internal exile — Kun dga' sen ge was the paramount religious noble of the Śar pa Bla bran, one of the main ministerial families of the Sa skya pas. In 1307 he would have been the *de facto* power at Sa skya, and this must be why he is mentioned as patron. The *Blue Annals* (II 633) states that he was at Sa skya in 1309.

The colophon closes with non-historical verses and $pranidh\bar{a}nas$.

of Tibet, London, 1800. For the historical background, see Tsepon W.D. Shakabpa, Tibet: A Political History, [Yale, 1967] New York, 1988, pp. 154–56.

N 328, sa, 477a3–b4; Q 1010, hu, 311b5–6 (continuation of text given above in note 1 on p. 90) de'i legs gtugs dge slon lun (N: Q — altered? — looks like yun) rigs 'dzin || skad gñis smra ba mchog gi rjes 'jug pa || kun dga' rgyal mtshan thub bstan dpal bzan pos || ston pa 'das nas lo ston brgyad brgya dan || lina bcu lhag pa'i zla ba bcu 'das dus || chos kyi rgyal po ha śan mna' gsol tshe || me lug dgun zla ra ba'i yar no la || dpal ldan bla ma kun dga' sen ge yi || sku drin la brten dpal ldan sa skyar ni || sgra don ji bźin legs par bsgyur ba yin ||.

² This date is given by Haarh (1962), p. 205. The calculation of the Buddhist Era, 1850 minus 543 = 1307 would seem to agree with the Siamese calculation, unless the details of the months show otherwise; the Ceylonese calculation would be 1308.

³ For the various calculations of the Buddhist era known in Tibet, see Macdonald 1963, Vogel 1991, and Ruegg 1992, pp. 263–90.

¹ cf. BA I 213, Tucci 1949, vol. 2, p. 684, and Vitali 1990, p. 118, note 129; cf. also L. Petech, "Princely Houses of the Yüan Period Connected with Tibet", in Tadeusz Skorupski (ed.), Indo-Tibetan Studies: Papers in honour and appreciation of Professor David L. Snellgrove's contribution to Indo-Tibetan Studies, Tring, 1990, p. 259.

² I am grateful to Gene Smith (Jakarta) for supplying copies of relevant source materials and for guiding me through the historical maze. In a letter dated 12 July, 1992; he notes that "only a careful study of the numerous sources for this extremely complicated period can solve the puzzle".

³ Beckh (1914) p. 68, mistakenly describes "Lama Kun-dgah-sen-ge" as the translator. Kun dga' sen ge is mentioned in the *rGya bod yig tshan* of dPal 'byor bzan po (Chen du, Si khron mi rigs dpe skrun khan, 1985, p. 352).

Some gSan yigs and Kanjur catalogues1 mistakenly identify Anandaśri's co-translator with the famous Sa skya Pandita, fourth patriarch of the Sa skya pas, who lived from 1182 to 1251, and whose full name is Kun dga' rgyal mtshan dPal bzan po. This was also done by Sylvain Lévi, who therefore interpreted the me lug year as 1247/8.2 The identification and date are clearly wrong. Firstly, it is evident from the common elements of the colophons to 1.1, 1.13, and 1.14 that this is the same Ānandaśrī; I have shown above, on the basis of the contemporary evidence of Bu ston, that Anandaśri was active in the early part of the 14th century. (Note also that in the roughly chronological lists of Indian scholars and Tibetan translators given by Bu ston in his History of Buddhism, completed in 1322 or 23, Anandaśrī [Szerb 1990, p. 112,5] and Ni ma rgyal mtshan [ibid., p. 119,2] are fourth last.) Secondly, the name of the co-translator, though partly identical, contains the element Thub bstan, which I have not come across in the name of Sa skya Pandita.³ Thirdly, even if one wishes to consider the difference in names as a poetic embellishment of the verse colophon, and hold that Ānandaśrī was active in Tibet for nearly 70 years, Sa skya Paṇḍita was not at Sa skya in 1247/8: summoned by the Mongols, he had left in 1244, and by 1247 he was at the Mongol court in Liang-chou. He never returned to Sa skya, since he died at Liang-chou in 1251. Fourthly, neither Sa skya Paṇḍita nor his biographers refer to Ānandaśrī. Finally, as shown above, the patron Kun dga' sen ge was at Sa skya in the early 14th century.

The 13 gsar 'gyur are some of the latest sūtra translations, and the latest group of sūtras, to enter the Kanjur. When and how did they, along with the Maitrī-sūtra, come to be included? Why do the former occur in two different arrangements, with variant colophons, and why is the latter missing in some Kanjurs? I cannot give a satisfactory answer. I can only note that one of the sūtra collections used in the compilation of the first comprehensive Kanjur, the Old Narthang manuscript collection, was that of Ża lu, the residence of Ñi ma rgyal mtshan's pupil Bu ston, not far from Thar pa glin. Contact between Narthang and Thar pa glin itself is shown in the fact that the Tantra Collection of dGe bśes 'dar phyar of Thar pa glin was used in the compilation of the Old Narthang Tantra division, and that one of the compilers, bCom Idan ral gri, requested Ñi ma rgyal mtshan to translate a Tantra (see above).

Nothing else is known of Ānandaśrī. We do not know how or when he came to Tibet, or how long he stayed; all we can say is that he collaborated on the translation of fourteen texts in about the first decade of the 14th century: 13 at Thar pa glin with Ñi ma rgyal mtshan, and one at Sa skya with Kun dga' rgyal mtshan. It is not reported that Bu ston,

¹ Gsan yig of the Fifth Dalai Lama (Records of Teachings Received: the gsan-yig of the Fifth Dalai Lama Nag-dban-blo-bzan-rgya-mtsho, Delhi, Nechung and Lakhar, 1971, p. 374), Ānandaśrī and Lo tsa ba 'Jam dbyans Sa paṇ; Lhasa Kanjur Catalogue, p. 916, byams pa'i mdo | ā nan ta śrī dan | 'jam dbyans sa paṇ gyi 'gyur. Note that the identification with Mañjughoṣa ('Jam dbyans) Sa [skya] paṇ [dita] is made only in catalogues and gsan yigs and never in the colophons themselves. It is presumably based on a hasty reading of the colophons with their mention of Sa skya and the similarity of the names, without looking further into the dates or other historical details.

² Lévi 1932, pp. 379–80. Lévi transcribes and translates the greater part of the colophon to 1.14. It is not clear whether he was influenced by the *Kanjur* catalogues. The mistaken identification and date are perpetuated in de Jong 1972, pp. 537 and 543.

³ Sa skya Pandita himself gives his name as Śākya Bhikṣu Kun dga' rgyal mtshan dpal bzan po: see Jackson 1987, I (text) 298, 299, II (translation) 366, 367. The dpal bzan po = śrībhadra of Sa skya Pandita's name indicates that he was ordained by the Kashmiri master Śākya Śrībhadra (see Ruegg 1966, p. 42 note 1, and Jackson 1987, I 27); in general (as in the case of Ñi ma rgyal mtshan

dpal bzań po) it means ordained within the Vinaya tradition established by Śākyaśrībhadra.

¹ Jackson 1987, I 28–29 and 31; Ruegg 1966, p. 4.

² Samten and Russell 1987, p. 31,7.

³ Samten and Russell 1987, p. 32,25.

who studied at Thar pa glin sometime after 1312, met the *paṇḍita*. The latter's second co-translator, Kun dga' rgyal mtshan, is not credited with any other translations in the *Kanjur* or *Tanjur*, and is otherwise unknown.

It is interesting that Bu ston's biography mentions that at Thar pa glin Bu ston learned "the various systems of writing of India, east and west", including that of Sinhaladvīpa (Sin ha glin), and that a Sinhalese manuscript of the Karmavibhāgaya was photographed by Rāhula Sānkṛtyāyana at Sa skya. These are probably part of Ānandaśrī's legacy in the Land of Snows. The colophon to 1.14 suggests that he was prominent in his homeland; since, however, Ānanda was a popular name in Ceylon (particularly from the Polonnaruva period on, and paricularly among the Araññavāsins), I will not attempt to identify the great pandita with any of the Sri Lankans of the same name.

The 13 texts and modern scholarship

The first reference to the 13 texts in European scholarship was made by the great pioneer of Tibetan studies, the Hungarian Alexander Csoma de Körös, who listed and summarized them in his "Analysis of the Mdo" (based on the Narthang xylograph), published in 1836–39.⁴ In his French translation of the preceding, Léon Feer, another pioneer of *Kanjur* and Buddhist studies, described them as "textes traduits du Pāli", and noted their Pāli counterparts.⁵ He published full translations of eight

of the texts (1.1, 6-10, 12, 13, plus a part of 1.2) in 1883, comparing them with the Pāli parallels, when available, and also noting other parallels within the Kanjur. In 1929 Lalou gave a tabular list of the 13 texts as found in the Narthang and Peking Kanjurs, with cross-references to the Berlin manuscript, Pāli parallels, the paritta, and Feer's translations in AMG. The texts are discussed briefly in L'Inde classique, and referred to and listed in the Encyclopaedia of Buddhism and in Crystal Mirror VII. Their Sanskrit, Tibetan, and Mongolian titles, as given in the Mongolian Kanjur, are listed by Bischoff, who also translates the

¹ Ruegg p. 81, text folio 11a7.

² See H. Bechert, P. Kieffer-Pülz, K. Küster, and J. Matsumura, "An Ancient Sinhalese Manuscript Discovered in Tibet and Preserved in Peking", in *The Journal of Pāli and Buddhist Studies* (*Pārigaku-Bukkyō-Bungaku*), Vol. 4, Nagoya, May, 1991, pp. 67–83.

³ cf. DPPN I, Ananda, nos. 11–14, and EB I/4, p. 537, Ananda (14).

⁴ Originally published in *Asiatick Researches*, Vol. 20, Calcutta; reprinted in A. Csoma de Körös, *Analysis of the Kanjur*, Delhi, 1982, pp. 181-82.

⁵ AMG II (1881), 288–90.

¹ AMG V; Feer also described 1.11, which is virtually identical to 1.12. References are given under the appropriate section. I am unable to do justice to the entirety of Feer's work, spread through many issues of *Journal asiatique*, not available to me. See for example Feer 1870, and Imaeda 1982 p. 18 (184) note 17 for a reference to *JA* 1871. Imaeda also refers to a study in Japanese by Enga Teramoto, "Chibetto den no agon-kyō ni tsuite", in *Shūkyō Kenkyū*, New Series, 2, 1929, pp. 505–28.

² Lalou 1929, pp. 99–102.

³ Louis Renou, Jean Filliozat, et al., *L'Inde classique*, tome II, Hanoi, 1953, § 2039.

⁴ EB III/1, p. 153.

⁵ Dharma Publishing, Berkeley, 1971, pp. 295-97. Gregory Schopen has devoted a long footnote to them (Schopen 1982, p. 231, note 9; note that the description "13 short texts" is wrong: §§ 1.3-4 are long, and 1.2 very long.). Nos. 1.6, 8, 10, and 13 are discussed (in Japanese) by Yamaguchi Tsutomu, "On Pāli Scriptures in the Tibetan Canon - Peking numbers 752, 754, 756, and 759", in the Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies, Vol. XXXI, no. 1, Dec. 1982, pp. 95-98 (391-388). Nattier (1991, p. 59) remarks that "only a handful of texts known to the Theravadin tradition can be matched with equivalents in the Tibetan Kanjur"; in note 80 she lists most of the 13 gsar 'gyur, followed by Peking numbers 955-58, 962-63, 966, 982, 997, and 1005. This statement needs clarification. The 13 gsar 'gyur are themselves Theravadin texts, while the other texts listed by Nattier are all (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin texts (which indeed have Pāli parallels). Furthermore, Peking numbers 959-60, 971, 979, 981, 992, 1003, and 1021, as well as a few others, are also (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin texts with Pāli parallels, and nos. 1010 and 972 (= §§ 1.14 and 15 in this article) are Theravadin texts. A concordance of Śrāvaka literature in Tibetan translation is a desideratum.

colophons from Mongolian.¹ Pāli parallels of 1.1–4 and 1.13 were noted in the Tohoku Catalogue, published in 1934;² the Pāli parallels of 1.1–4, 1.6 (part A only), and 1.8–13 were given in the Otani and Peking Reprint Catalogues, published in 1930–32 and 1961.³ The Pāli parallel of 1.5 and 1.14 was noted by Sylvain Lévi in 1932.⁴ (As far as I know, the Pāli counterpart of 1.7.A is identified here for the first time.) Thus the 13 gsar 'gyur have caught the attention of modern scholarship from the time of Feer up to the present; brief remarks have been made by Conze, Lévi, Lalou, Pelliot, Imaeda, Ruegg, Schopen, and Nattier (and no doubt others whose work has escaped my attention), as shown in the notes.

The Tohoku and Otani catalogues also give Chinese parallels, when available. According to the concordance of the Derge and Korean *Tripitakas* given in Lancaster's *Korean Buddhist Canon*, only D 39 (no. 1.9 below) has a true Chinese parallel, in that it might be a translation of a Theravādin version. Derge numbers 31, 33, 34, 36, and 42 (below 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, and 1.12) also have parallels in Chinese, but these are versions of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins, Dharmaguptakas, or other schools.⁶

The original language of the 13 texts

The Tibetan titles state that the 13 texts were translated from "the language of India" (rgya gar skad), which generally means Sanskrit. It seems to have been Feer who first averred that they were translated from Pāli. What should have been only a hypothesis took on the force of fact, and the statement has been repeated in later works. The opposite extreme was taken by Edward Conze, pioneer of Prajñāpāramitā studies, who stated that "[the 13 texts] are sometimes said to be translated from the Pāli, but they differ too much from the Pāli text, and on closer investigation they turn out to represent Hīnayāna Sūtras from the Canon of the Sarvāstivādins and other Hīnayāna sects in contact with Tibet". It will be seen below that the 13 are without doubt Theravādin texts: perhaps Conze confused them with their (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin counterparts found elsewhere in the Kanjur, although only five texts have such counterparts, and they are not in the Śer phyin section.

In accordance with Kanjur tradition, the titles are transliterated in Sanskrit at the head of each text; they may, however, have been Sanskritized by the translators or by later editors. It is significant that the

¹ Bischoff 1968, §§ 779–91, pp. 333–40; see also § 1105 (pp. 537–39) for § 1.14 with its titles in the same three languages and its colophon translated from Mongolian. Note that all of the texts dealt with in this article — both *Kanjur* and *Tanjur*, but excepting the modern translations of § 3 — are also available in Mongolian translation. For a rare notice that Theravādin literature exists in that language, see David Seyfort Ruegg, "Some Observations on the Present and Future of Buddhist Studies", *JIABS* 15/1 (1992), pp. 110–11 and note 5, referring to the 13 gsar 'gyur.

² Ui et al. 1934, pp. 225–29.

³ A Comparative Analytical Catalogue of the Kanjur Division of the Tibetan Tripitaka, Otani Daigaku Library, Kyoto, 1930–32, pp. 225–29; Peking Reprint, Vol. 165, Catalogue I, pp. 94–96.

⁴ Lévi 1932.

⁵ KBC, p. 697.

⁶ The concordance lists KBC 650 (22.8) for both Derge 41 and 42; this seems to refer to the Sūrya- and Candra-sūtras as parallels of a sole Chinese Candra-

 $s\bar{u}tra$, presumably because the texts are nearly identical. See KBC p. 245, and below 1.11 and 1.12.

¹ He describes them as "traduits du pali" at several places under the references cited below; in AMG II (1881), pp. 288–90, he refers to "un avertissement inseré entre le texte no. 12 et le texte no. 13, au folio 427 [of the Narthang]" as his source. There is no such remark in the edition of Narthang that I consulted, and I have not found any reference to the language of the texts in the colophons (and indeed wonder what the Tibetan equivalent of Pāli would be). It may be that Feer based his statement on the fact that Ānandaśrī is described as a Sinhalese. See also Lévi, "Les saintes écritures du bouddhisme", in Mémorial Sylvain Lévi, Paris, 1937, p. 78: "treize sūtra ... qui se présentent eux-mêmes comme traduits du pali", and Schopen's remarks (1982, p. 231, note 9), which go to the opposite extreme.

² See, for example, *L'Inde classique*, *loc. cit.*, "une suite de 13 textes traduits du pāli", and p. 352; Bischoff 1968, p. 333, "13 Pāli-Texte".

³ E. Conze, The Prajñāpāramitā Literature, 2nd ed., Tokyo, 1978, p. 25.

titles of the Āṭānāṭiya- and Mahāsamaya-sūtra (1.3,4) are given in the Pāli forms Āṭānāṭiya- and Mahāsamaya against the Āṭānāṭika/Āṭānāṭīya and Mahāsamāja of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin versions. The fact that Ānandaśrī was a Sinhalese suggests that the originals were indeed in Pāli; this is supported by the fact that the texts agree with the canonical Pāli versions and that Bu ston learned the Sinhalese script at Thar pa glin. Since even a novice in Ceylon would know the paritta by heart, Ānandaśrī might well have transmitted the paritta texts (at least 1.1, 3–6, 8, 10–13) orally. While it is not impossible that some Theravādin texts were circulated in Sanskrit in India, it is quite unlikely that a senior Sinhalese monk, who knew the paritta by heart, would have transmitted these canonical texts in Sanskrit, although he may well have used Sanskrit when he explained the texts to his Tibetan co-translators. It is possible, however, that some of the longer texts were in Sinhalese.

In the following, I briefly discuss the contents of the 13 texts and their relationship to their Pāli counterparts. For each text I first give the Sanskrit title, as given at the head of the Tibetan text, followed by the Tibetan translation of the title, and an English translation. The next line gives the catalogue number of the Derge edition (D), which I have utilized for this study; the Derge folio numbers; and, in parentheses, the length of the text in "folio pages", counting one side of a folio as one folio page. The next line gives the catalogue numbers for eleven other editions: Berlin (B), Cone (C), Lhasa (H), Lithang (J), London (L), Urga (M), Narthang (N), Peking (Q), Stog (S), Tokyo (T), and Ulan Bator (U), plus that of Bu ston's *Chos 'byun* (Bu ston). The next line gives the

title and location of the Pāli counterpart; here I give both its position in the *Tipiṭaka*, and, for those texts that are also *parittas*, their number in a Ceylonese *paritta* collection, the "expanded" *Cātubhāṇavāra* or *Maha Pirit Pota*. Bibliographical information about non-Theravādin, i.e. (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin, counterparts in the *Kanjur*, when such exist (that is, for 1.1, 3, 4, 12, 13), is given in square brackets [...] at this point. The next line gives the location of the *sutta*, by whom it was spoken, and to whom it was addressed. After that I briefly compare the Tibetan and Pāli versions. In general I use Pāli equivalents of the Tibetan rather than Sanskrit; this is only a device for ease of comparison with the Pāli, and does not absolutely imply that the texts were translated from Pāli.

1.1. Dharmacakrapravartana-sũtra / Chos kyi 'khor lo rab tu bskor ba'i mdo⁴

Sūtra on the Turning of the Wheel of the Dhamma D 31, 180b1–183a6 (6 folio pages)

B (40).13, C 1014, H 32, J 26, L XXXVI(1), M 31, N 347, Q 747, S 289, T 286, U 335, Bu ston 378

Dhammacakkappavattana-sutta, Saṃyuttanikāya V 420.25—424.11; Maha Pirit Pota 22

Translated by Feer, JA 1870 pp. 363 foll. and AMG V 110-22.

¹ For this study I have had continual access to only two editions, Derge (D) and Peking (Q); information about other editions derives from the catalogues listed in the bibliography. While I have used the Derge as the basic edition for the study of the 13 texts, in occasional consultation with the Peking, I have relied on the Peking for the study of 1.14, which is not available in the Derge, for the study of the *Tanjur* texts, and for certain other references. The edition used should be clear from the notes or references.

¹ References (by page and line) are to the editions of the Pāli Text Society (PTS), unless otherwise noted.

² Lionel Lokuliyana, Catubhāṇavārapāli, the Text of the Four Recitals or the Great Book of Protections Sinhala-Maha Pirit Pota, Colombo, n.d. Reference is by text number.

³ Sanskrit equivalents are based on the lexicon composed by a committee of Indian and Tibetan scholars around the year 800, the *Mahāvyutpatti* (Mvy). For these I give the Pāli counterpart.

⁴ The title in the colophon to DLNS is Chos kyi 'khor lo bskor ba'i mdo; the title in the final collective colophon in CDQ is 'Phags pa chos kyi 'khor lo bskor [bkor Q] ba'i mdo.

[The Kanjur also contains an anonymous translation of a (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin recension, entitled Dharmacakra-sūtra / Chos kyi 'khor lo'i mdo: Q 1003, Vol. 39, mdo sna tshogs, śu 283b1–285a7. The text is also incorporated into the Vinaya ('Dul ba) of the Mūlasarvāstivādins and into the Abhiniṣkramaṇa-sūtra, both in Tibetan translation. These versions were translated jointly by Feer side-by-side with the Theravādin versions as embodied in the present text and the Pāli Samyuttanikāya (see references above).]

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken by the Buddha at Bārāṇasī, Isipatana, Migadāya, to the "group of five monks" (pañcavaggiye bhikkhū).

The Tibetan agrees closely with the Pāli. At 181a1, equivalent to S V 421,21, the Tibetan omits soka-parideva-dukkha-domanassupāyāsā pi dukkhā, given in the PTS edition on the basis of one Burmese manuscript (B1), but, according to note 2, omitted in two Sinhalese (S¹⁻³) and one Burmese (B²) manuscripts. 1 It is also not found in the Siamese edition,² the Burmese Chatthasangiti edition,³ or the Ceylonese Maha Pirit Pota (p. 72,2). The third and final insight into each of the four truths — that they are pariññātam, pahīnam, sacchikatam, and bhāvitam (S V 422,3-30) — is missing in the Tibetan. The omission must derive from a faulty manuscript or translation: since the insights are an essential part of the sutta, their omission cannot be deliberate or redactional. At the end of the sutta, the progression of the gods who announce that the Buddha has turned the wheel of the dhamma is the same in Tibetan and Pāli; while the Tibetan (182a3-183a1) gives the formula in full for each group of gods, the PTS (p. 423,28), Siamese (p. 532,2), and Burmese (p. 371,13) editions abbreviate the passage, giving only the names of the gods.

In order to demonstrate that the Tibetan represents a Theravādin recension, I will contrast a few passages with the Mūlasarvāstivādin version, as preserved in Sanskrit in their Sanghabhedavastu (Sanghabh), and with the Mahāsāṃghika Lokottaravādin version, preserved in "Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit" in their Mahāvastu.¹

Table 3 shows the "epithets of insight" according to four recensions: the first column gives the Tibetan, the second column a Pāli translation of the Tibetan, the third column the Pāli of the Samyuttanikāya, the fourth the Sanskrit of the Sanghabhedavastu, and the fifth the Sanskrit of the Mahāvastu. The Tibetan and Pāli agree in giving the same five synonyms of insight in the same order. The Mūlasarvāstivādin version gives only four, of which three are common to the Tibetan and Pāli, while the Lokottaravādin version gives seven, comprising all five of the Theravādin version, but in a different order, plus two others, one of which is common to the Mūlasarvāstivādin version.²

The first class of gods to proclaim the turning of the wheel of the dhamma is the "gods of the earth" (sa'i lha, 182a4; bhummā devā, S V 423,18; bhūmyā devā, Mahāvastu 443,13) in Tibetan, Pāli, and the Mahāvastu, but "yakṣas of the earth" (bhaumā yakṣāḥ, Saṅghabh 136,24) in the Mūlasarvāstivādin version. In the Tibetan and Pāli the naming of Koṇḍañña occurs at the very end of the sutta; in the Mūlasarvāstivādin version it comes before the gods' announcement of the turning of the wheel (Saṅghabh 136,15); in the Mahāvastu it does not occur at all.

¹ See S V, Introduction, pp. vii-viii, for the manuscripts utilized by the editor.

² Syāmratthassa Tepitakam, Vol. 19, Third edition, Bangkok, 2523, p. 529,1.

³ Mahāvaggasamyuttapāli, p. 369,15.

¹ R. Basak, Mahāvastu Avadāna, Vol. III, Calcutta, 1968.

² The numbers given in parentheses after the Sanghabhedavastu and Mahāvastu entries are those of the Tibetan-Pāli entries.

At the end of the Tibetan and Pāli versions, just before the naming of Koṇḍañña, the sutta states that the earth quaked. The Tibetan (D 183a2) and Pāli (S V 424,4) correspond almost exactly:

"This ten-thousand world-system (Tib. 'dir yan 'jig rten gyi khams ston phrag bcu = Pāli ayañ ca dasasahassī lokadhātu) quaked (yan dag par g'yos so = samkampi), shook (yan dag par rab tu g'yos so = sampakampi), [and] trembled (yan dag par rab tu ldeg go = sampavedhi)."

The Lokottaravādin version (Mahāvastu 443,2) has iyam ca mahāpṛthivī atiriva ṣaḍvikāram kampe chinnam iva sampravedhe. The Mūlasarvāstivādin version does not contain the passage.

The few examples given here show that the Tibetan version agrees with the Pāli against the Sanskrit versions of the Lokottaravādins and the Mūlasarvāstivādins; many more could be cited.

1.2. Jātaka-nidāna / sKyes pa rabs kyi glen gźi²
Introduction to the Jātaka

D 32, 183a7-250a5 (137 1/2 folio pages)

B (40).14, C 1015, H 33, J 27, L XXXVI(2), M 32, N 348, Q 748, S 290, T 287, U 336, Bu ston 379

Jātaka-nidāna: Jātaka-aṭṭhakathā, Vol. I 2,1-94,30

Partial translation by Feer, compared with the Pāli, AMG V 321-61.

The Tibetan does not contain the opening verses of the Pāli, which belong to the *Jātaka Commentary* as a whole rather than just the *Jātaka-nidāna*. The Tibetan opens with prose:

When the Lord was staying in Anāthapiṇḍika's Pleasance in the Jeta Grove at Sāvatthī, the Great Elder (mahāthera) Atthadassī (gnas brtan chen po don mthon) went to the Lord, paid homage, circumambulated him, sat to one side, and addressed the Lord: "Sir, I would like to hear the teaching on the lineage of the Lord Buddhas (sans rgyas bcom ldan 'das kyi rgyud kyi chos)". [The Lord] replied, "Pay attention, Atthadassī, and I will speak".

This introductory passage (nidāna), which accords the following text with the canonical status of a sutta, is not found in the Pāli, which is, of course, a commentary. The Pāli opens with verses in which the commentator explains his reasons for composing the work. In verse 7, he states that he was requested to do so by the Elder (thera) Atthadassī, along with (vv. 8, 9) Buddhamitta and Buddhadeva. Since Atthadassī is not one of the early elders mentioned in the suttas; since the Tibetan prose reflects in other ways the opening Pāli verses; and since the text is not a sutta, the prose introduction must be a later concoction or a mistranslation, based on but altering the sense of the Pāli verses.

After the prose introduction, the Tibetan corresponds to the *Jātaka-nidāna* text. As in Pāli, the career of the bodhisatta is divided into three phases (183b2). These are defined as in Pāli, and lend their structure to the text:

¹ The 'dir yan ("here", "then" = tatra?) of the Tibetan does not exactly correspond to the ayan ca of the Pāli. The Tibetan prefixes yan dag par = sam, rab tu = pa.

² The colophon — D 250a3, L 99a8, N 543a3, S 140b6 — gives the title as sKyes pa rabs kyi glen gźi (LNS: D [altered from gźi'i?] reads gźi ri) bśad pa; the final collective colophon in CDQ reads sKyes pa rabs kyi glen gźi'i (CD: bźi'i Q) bśad pa.

¹ cf. DPPN I 55-56.

rin po'i glen gźi = dūrenidāna bar pa'i glen gźi = avidūrenidāna¹ D 183b5 foll., *Jātaka* 2,12 foll. D 216a1 foll., *Jātaka* 47,20 foll.

ñe ba'i glen gźi = santikenidāna

D 237a1 foll., Jātaka 77,3 foll.

The Tibetan ends, as does the Pāli, with the donation of the Jetavana, verses on the advantages of donating monasteries, and a description of how the past Buddhas also had monasteries on the same spot. The two versions show some differences on the size of the monasteries.

I have not been able to make a complete comparison of the two versions. Such comparison as I have made convinces me that they represent fundamentally the same text: the Tibetan follows the progression of the Pāli throughout, and the passages that I have checked, including many of the verses, agree word for word with the Pāli. This is only natural since the Jātakanidāna is a uniquely Theravādin text.

1.3. Āṭānāṭiya-sūtra / ICan lo can gyi pho bran gi mdo
Āṭānāṭiya Sūtra ²
 D 33, 250a5-259b4 (19 folio pages)
 B (40).15, C 1016, H 34, J 28, L XXXVI(3), M 33, N 349, Q 749, S 291, T 288, U 337, Bu ston 380
 Āṭānāṭiya-sutta, D 32, Vol. III 194-206; Maha Pirit Pota 29

[A (probably) Mūlasarvāstivādin parallel, translated ca. 800 by Jinamitra, Prajñāvarman, and Ye šes sde, occurs twice in the Kanjur: Āṭānāṭīyasūtra-nāma-mahāsūtra / mDo chen po kun tu rgyu ba daṅ kun tu rgyu ba ma yin pa daṅ mthun pa'i mdo, Q 333 (rgyud ba), Q 687 (rgyud ya). Extensive Sanskrit fragments of a (probably) Sarvāstivādin recension from Central Asia were published by Helmut Hoffmann, and compared with the Tibetan, Chinese and Pāli versions, in Bruchstücke des Āṭānāṭikasūtra aus dem Zentralasiatischen Sanskritkanon der Buddhisten (Kleinere Sanskrit-texte Heft V), Leipzig, 1939, reprinted Stuttgart, 1987, in Lore Sander (ed.), Nachträge zu "Kleinere Sanskrittexte, Hefte III–V", along with further Sanskrit fragments (pp. 193–207). Page references are to the reprint edition.]

Tibetan, Pāli: at Rājagaha, Gijjhakūṭa Mountain; the first part is spoken by Vessavaṇa to the Buddha, the second part by the Buddha to the monks in general.

Like the Pāli, the Tibetan is divided into two parts. The first part ends at D 254b7, lcan lo can gyi pho bran gi mdo las skabs rab mchog dan po, in Pāli at D III 206,4, paṭhama-bhāṇavāra; the second part at D 259b2, skabs rab mchog gñis, in Pāli dutiya-bhāṇavāra. Skabs rab mchog, a compound otherwise unknown to me in Tibetan, must somehow correspond to the Pāli bhāṇavāra, "recitation". Skabs translates a number of Sanskrit terms, such as avakāśa, sthāna, kāṇḍa, and pariccheda, and is probably a gloss. Both rab and mchog are used in Tibetan to translate vara; thus rab mchog may represent either a misreading (twice) of vāra as vara, or a correct translation of a manuscript that read (twice) vara for vāra.

¹ Tibetan bar pa translates Sanskrit madhyama = Pāli majjhima. At 237a1, the phrase is rendered as glen gži bar ma; bar ma can translate antara as well as madhyama. If the translation is not a gloss, antara-nidāna seems more likely than majjhima-nidāna.

² Āṭānāṭiya, according to the Pāli commentary, derives from Āṭānāṭa, a city mentioned in the sutta (D III 200,24). The Tibetan interprets the title in the same way: lcan lo can = aṭakavatī / alakavatī Mvy 4137 (lcan lo, "curl, lock" to Sanskrit alaka) + pho bran = "residence, town" (dhānī, Mvy 5510, pura Mvy 5511). But there are further complications, for which see Hoffmann (bibliographical information in text) pp. 22-24.

¹ cf. Chatthasangīti edition, p. 166,14.

In the Tibetan version, both parts are given in full, without abbreviation; the PTS version abbreviates the second part to six lines (D III 206,7-14), the Siamese edition to two and a half pages (219,5-221,11), while the Burmese Chatthasangīti edition gives it in full. The correspondence is very close. The Tibetan and Pāli include sections not found in the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin versions: the verses of homage to the seven Buddhas (D 251a3 foll. = D III 195,27-196,17); the prose passage on the spirits (amanussa) who do not heed the Four Great Kings, with the simile of the dacoits who do not heed the King of Magadha (253b3 foll. = D III 203,24-204,20); and the prose passage which describes the various ways in which the vakkhas take leave of the Buddha (254b4 foll. = D III 205,21-206,4). Conversely, the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin versions contain passages not found in the Theravadin version, Tibetan or Pali: the opening verse of homage (Hoffmann 48-49), the verse lists of gandharvas (72-73), kumbhāndas (78-79), nāgas (66-67), and so on.

1.4. Mahāsamaya-sūtra / 'Dus pa chen po'i mdo
Sūtra of the Great Assembly
D 34, 259b4–263a4 (7 folio pages)
B (40).16, C 1017, H 35, J 29, L XXXVI(4), M 34, N 350,
Q 750, S 292, T 289, U 338, Bu ston 374
Mahāsamaya-sutta, D 20, Vol. II 253–62; Maha Pirit Pota 23

[A (probably) Mūlasarvāstivādin parallel, translated ca. 800 by Jinamitra, Prajñāvarman, and Ye ses sde, occurs twice in the Kanjur: Mahāsamājasūtra-nāma-mahāsūtra / mDo chen po 'dus pa chen po'i mdo, Q 332 (rgyud ba), Q 687 (rgyud ya). Comparative studies of some of the lists of deities were undertaken by J. Przyluski and M. Lalou in their "Notes de mythologie bouddhique": (1) "Yakṣa et gandharva dans le Mahāsamaya-suttanta", HJAS 3 (1938), pp. 40–46, and (3) "Les fils de Brahmā", HJAS 4 (1939), pp. 69–76. Extensive Sanskrit fragments of a

(probably) Sarvāstivādin recension from Central Asia were published by Ernst Waldschmidt, and compared with two Chinese versions, the Pāli, and the Tibetan, in *Bruchstücke Buddhistischer Sūtras aus dem Zentralasiatischen Sanskritkanon* (Kleinere Sanskrit-texte, Heft IV), Leipzig, 1932, pp. 149–206 (repr. Wiesbaden, 1979). A revised edition of the Sanskrit, based on further manuscript fragments, was published by the same author with English translation in his "Central Asian Sūtra Fragments and their Relation to the Chinese Āgamas", in Bechert 1980, pp. 148–62. The latter was reprinted in Lore Sander (ed.), *Nachträge zu "Kleinere Sanskrit-texte, Hefte III-V"*, along with further Sanskrit fragments (pp. 159–79).]

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken by the Buddha among the Sakkas at Kapilavatthu, Mahāvana, to the monks in general.

A romanized edition of the Tibetan *Mahāsamaya-sūtra* has been published, side-by-side with the Pāli, by Y. Miyasaka, with introduction and comments in Japanese. The Tibetan and Pāli are very close. The Tibetan omits six lines of verse (Miyasaka, p. 117), and adds a single line of verse in a refrain: *min ni gcig tu thos pa ste* (Miyasaka 118,1, 12, 23, 34). The line is not found in the Pāli *Mahāsamaya-sutta*, but occurs in the corresponding verse refrain in the Pāli *Āṭānāṭiya-sutta*²: *ekanāmā ti me sutam*.

1.5. Maitrī-sūtra / Byams pa'i mdo
Sūtra on [the Next Buddha], Maitreya³

¹ Miyasaka Yusho, "A Critical Study on the Mahāsamaya-sūtra", in *Acta Indologica* I, Narita, 1970, pp. 109-35.

² D III 197,10, etc.

³ The Sanskrit title in Tibetan transcription for both this and 1.14, *maitrī*, is not the proper name but the abstract noun for "friendliness". The usual Sanskrit form of the name is Maitreya (cf. *BHSD*, p. 440 for variant forms). The Tibetan equivalent for both the name and abstract noun is *byams pa*. The Sanskrit title should properly be *Maitreya-sūtra*. In modern Newari, Maitreya is pronounced

D 35, 263a4–270a1 (13 1/2 folio pages)
B (40).17, C 1018, H 36, J 30, L XXXVI(5), M 35, N 351,
Q 751, S 293, T 290, U 339, Bu ston 375

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken at Kapilavatthu, Nigrodhārāma, on the

In this section I will also discuss 1.14, which bears the same title prefixed by $\bar{A}rya$. This is a different translation of a similar but not identical text.² The basis of the two Tibetan versions is a text corresponding at least in part to the non-canonical Pāli *Metteyya-sutta*, a prose text mixed with the verses of the *Anāgatavaṃsa*. In 1886, Prof. J. Minayeff published an edition of the *Anāgatavaṃsa*, a verse text in 142 verses on the future Buddha, Metteyya.³ In 1919, a new edition was published by E. Leumann.⁴ A Burmese manuscript utilized by Minayeff and labelled by him manuscript B is in mixed prose and verse; according to the colophon it is entitled *Metteyyasutta Anāgatavaṃsa*. Minayeff cites

Maitrī, whether it is written Maitreya or Maitrī; since Ñi ma rgyal mtshan spent 14 years in Nepal, the spelling in at least the present title may derive from the Newari pronunciation. This does not explain the title of 1.14, unless the cotranslator Kun dga' rgyal mtshan Thub bstan dpal bzan po also had studied in Nepal. Note that other *Kanjur* titles containing the name Maitreya, such as the *Maitreya-vyākaraṇa* discussed above, use the standard form Maitreya.

*Metteyya-sutta

bank of the Rohinī River.1

several portions and summarizes the *sutta*, which I will refer to in the following as *Metteyya-sutta*.

(A text [or texts?] of this title is referred to by Louis Finot, "Recherches sur la littérature laotienne", BÉFEO XVII/5, [1917], pp. 64—65; in G. Coedès, Catalogue des manuscrits en pāli, laotien et siamois provenant de la thailande, Copenhagen, 1966, p. 28; and in Charles F. Keyes, "New Evidence on Northern Thai Frontier History", in Tej Bunnag and M. Smithies (edd.), In Memoriam Phya Anuman Rajadhon, Bangkok, 1970, p. 247, item 24. As far as I know, the Metteyya-sutta is known only in South-east Asia and not in Ceylon; it is therefore interesting that it was taken to Tibet by a Sinhalese monk. The Metteyya-sutta — along with the present text[s] — is related to the Metteyya chapter [Ch. 1] of the Dasabodhisatta-uddesa, for which see François Martini, "Dasa-bodhisatta-uddesa", BÉFEO XXXVI, [1936], pp. 287—413, and Supaphan 1990, pp. 190–204. Note that the Maitreya-vyākaraṇa referred to above [p. 79 and note 5 thereto] is a different, non-Theravādin [probabaly (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin] Maitreya text.)

For comparison, I will give the opening (nidāna) of the two Tibetan versions and of the Pāli Metteyya-sutta.

1.5, Maitrī-sūtra (D 35, 263a5)

ston pa dus gcig na ser skya'i gźi dań | nya gro dha'i kun dga' ra ba daṅ | chu kluṅ ro hi ni'i 'gram na bźugs so || de nas tshe daṅ ldan pa śā ri'i bus ma 'oṅs pa'i rgyal ba de'i phyir bcom ldan 'das la źus pa |

At one time the Teacher ($satth\bar{a}$) was staying at Kapilavatthu, at the Nigrodha Pleasance ($\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$), on the banks of the Rohini river. Then Venerable Sāriputta, for the sake of the Conqueror (jina) of the future, asked the Lord

¹ cf. DPPN II 762 for the location.

² The two texts are briefly discussed in Lévi 1932, pp. 377-80; cf. also Nattier 1991, p. 59.

³ JPTS II (1886), pp. 33–53.

⁴ E. Leumann, *Maitreya-Samiti, das Zukunftsideal der Buddhisten*, Strassburg, 1919, pp. 184–226. This work was not available to me for this study; the reference is from Saya U Chit Tin, assisted by W. Pruitt, *The Coming Buddha Ariya Metteyya*, Heddington near Calne, 1988, which reproduces Leumann's text (p. 33, note).

1.14, Ārya-maitrī-sūtra (Q 1010, 304a5)1

'di skad bdag gis thos pa dus gcig na | bcom ldan 'das ston pa ser skya'i gnas nya gro dha'i gstug lag khan ro hi ni'i 'bab chu'i 'gram na bźugs so || de nas tshe dan ldan pa śā ri'i bus bcom ldan 'das la dri ba 'di skad ces gsol to ||

Thus I once heard: the Lord, the Teacher ($satth\bar{a}$), was staying at Kapilavatthu, at the Nigrodha Monastery ($vih\bar{a}ra$), on the banks of the Rohinī river. Then Venerable Sāriputta asked the Lord this question

Metteyya-sutta (Minayeff p. 33)

evam me sutam ekam samayam bhagavā kapilavatthusmim viharati nigrodhārāme rohaniyā nāma nadiyā tīre. atha kho āyasmā sāriputto anāgatajanam (sic: correct to jinam) ārabbha bhagavantam pucchi

Thus I once heard: the Lord was staying at Kapilavatthu, at the Nigrodha Pleasance $(\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma)$, on the banks of the Rohini river. Then Venerable Sāriputta questioned the Lord on the topic of the future Conqueror (jina)...

While the translation of 1.5 is awkward, that of 1.14 is quite smooth. 1.14 and the Pāli open with "thus I once heard", not given in 1.5. The latter, however, agrees with the Pāli in using $\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$, against the vihāra of 1.14, and the ma 'ons pa'i rgyal ba de'i phyir of 1.5 probably corresponds to the anāgatajinam ārabbha of the Pāli, not found in 1.14. These few lines suggest that the unravelling of the relationship between the various versions promises to be a complex task.

The Maitri-sūtra (D 263a5) then gives two verses, the first spoken by Sāriputta, the second by the Buddha, corresponding to Anāgatavaṃsa verses 2cd-5. Minayeff's Metteyya-sutta gives a "history of the previous existences of Metteyya", not found in the Tibetan versions. The latter (D 263b1 foll., Q 304b1 foll.) open with the five periods (bar gyi dus) of the decline of the Buddha's teaching, parallel to the five "disappearances" (antaradhāna)¹ of the Metteyya-sutta (Minayeff p. 34):

'bras bu'i bar gyi dus *phala-antara-kāla = adhigama-antaradhāna
 bsgrub pa'i bar gyi dus *paṭipatti-antara-kāla = paṭipatti-antaradhāna
 luṅ gi bar gyi dus *āgama-antara-kāla = pariyatti-antaradhāna
 rtags tsam gyi bar gyi dus *liṅgamatta-antara-kāla = liṅga-antaradhāna
 sku gduṅ gi bar gyi dus *dhātu-antara-kāla = dhātu-antaradhāna

These are then defined. Under (3), lun gi bar gyi dus, it is said that the Tipiṭaka will disappear, starting with the Abhidhamma. "When the Abhidhamma Piṭaka has disappeared, the Suttanta Piṭaka will disappear....Then only the Jātaka (sKyes rabs) along with the Vinaya ('Dul ba) will remain....First the Vessantara-jātaka (Thams cad sgrol gyi skyes pa'i rabs²) will disappear; finally the Apaṇṇaka-jātaka (D Lo ma med pa, "without leaf" (paṇṇa); Q A pa rna ka: note the Sanskritic form) will disappear." The seven books of the Theravādin Abhidhamma and the four of the Suttanta are listed, with several severe mistranslations and an unconventional order. These are given in Table 5.3

 $^{^{1}}$ cf. 1.14 below for full bibliographical information. Since 1.14 is not available in D, I have used Q.

¹ While bar gyi = antara, dus (time, period) = $k\bar{a}la$, samaya, etc., and is hard to reconcile with $-dh\bar{a}na$. I have given *antara- $k\bar{a}la$ as a tentative equivalent.

² Thams cad sgrol = Viśvamtara, Mvy 32.

³ The list of the books of the *Abhidhamma* in the original was probably one long compound; both D and Q confuse the titles by merging them or breaking them up with the addition of dan = ca, "and", given in parentheses in the table. In the table I have given for comparison the titles as translated by the 20th century scholar Gedun Chomphel (for whom see below, § 3.1), which are correct.

(This description of the decline of the Buddha's dispensation seems to be unique to the Theravādins. The closest parallels that I know of are those given by Daśabalaśrīmitra, without naming his source, in his Samskṛtāsamskṛta-viniścaya and by Bu ston from a Ṭīkā on the Śatasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā, which speak of 500 years divided into ten somewhat similar periods.¹ Nattier [1991, p. 59] suggests that the "standard figure" of 5000 years accepted in Tibet for the duration of Śākyamuni's dispensation is derived from the Maitrī-sūtra. This is incorrect: the source is the Śatasāhasrikā-ṭīkā ['Bum ṭīk], as seen from Bu ston's citation and from other citations in Tibetan literature, which never refer to the Maitrī-sūtra with its unfamiliar list of Theravādin literature, particularly in Siam, where it is given for example in the Pathamasambodhi, the Sārasangaha, and the Sangītiyavamsa.³)

Minayeff (p. 31) then states, "Immediately after this there follows an account of the destruction of the Kappa". This seems to agree with the Tibetan versions, which give here a description of the three antarakappa (bskal pa bar ma: D 264b7 foll., Q 306a5 foll.).

The stage now being set, the two Tibetan versions go on to describe the aeon that ushers in Metteyya, the main theme of the work, as does the Pāli version. Both D and Q close with variations of the stock sutta ending: "When the Lord had spoken thus, the monks applauded the Lord's teaching" (D 269b6); "Thus spoke the Lord; the entire assembly

together with Venerable Sāriputta was uplifted, and applauded the Lord's teaching" (Q 311b2).

Like the *Metteyya-sutta*, the two Tibetan versions are in prose and verse. Most of the verses that I have traced have counterparts in the *Anāgatavaṃsa*. Some examples from 1.5, the *Maitrī-sūtra* are:

265b7-267a2	= Anāgatavaṃsa vv. 15-42	2
267b57	= Anāgatavamsa vv. 100-1	102
268a1	= Anāgatavaṃsa v. 57	
268a56	= Anāgatavaṃsa vv. 110-1	111
269b5	= Anāgatavamsa vv. 141ca	1, 142

1.6. Maitrībhāvanā-sūtra / Byams pa bsgom pa'i mdo
Sūtra on the Cultivation of Friendliness
D 36, 270a1-b7 (2 folio pages)
B (40).18, C 1019, H 37, J 31, L XXXVI(6), M 36, N 352,
Q 752, S 294, T 291, U 340, Bu ston 376
Translated by Feer, AMG V 221-23; translated by W. Rockhill,
Indian Antiquary 12, 1883.¹

The Tibetan combines two Pāli texts, both of them *parittas*, under one title and one *nidāna*. These will be discussed here as 1.6.A and 1.6.B.

1.6.A. D 270a2-7

Metta-sutta, A V 342,1–14; Maha Pirit Pota 11, Mettānisaṃsa-sutta

 $^{^1}$ Daśabalaśrīmitra (see below, § 2.1), $\tilde{n}o$, 265b4–266a5; Vogel 1991, pp. 405–6; Obermiller 1932, pp. 103–4. cf. also Macdonald 1963, pp. 62–66, and especially the table on p. 65.

² See e.g. Ruegg 1992, pp. 268, 284–89, and accompanying notes.

³ cf. Supaphan 1990, pp. 165, 269. For further references, and for the theory of decline in general, see Nattier 1991.

¹ Information from Crystal Mirror Vol. VII, Berkeley, 1971, p. 296.

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken by the Buddha at Sāvatthī, Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika's Pleasance, to the monks in general.

The *sutta* lists eleven benefits derived from the practice of friendliness (*metta*); these are the same and occur in the same order in Tibetan and Pāli.

1.6.B. D 270a7-b6

Mūgapakkhajātaka, Jātaka 538, Ja VI 14,5*-25* (vv. 12-21) Mittānisamsam, Maha Pirit Pota 12

The Tibetan counterpart of the *Mittānisaṃsa* follows directly upon the preceding, as it does in the *Catubhāṇavāra*, where, however, it is a separate text. The Tibetan versions are linked by a statement made by the Buddha: "Furthermore, monks, I will expound the benefits of friendliness" (*byams pa'i phan yon = mettānisaṃsa*). The ten verses of the Tibetan correspond closely to the ten of the Pāli. The latter, however, deal with friendship (*mitta*). The Pāli verses end with the single-line refrain *yo mittānaṃ na dūbhati*, "he who does not deceive friends"; the corresponding refrain in Tibetan is *gan gis byams pa ma spans na'o*, "he who does not forsake friendliness". "Forsake" (*spans*) could correspond to Pāli *cajati*, *jahati*, *pajahati*, *vajjeti*, and so on.

The Tibetan (270b6) ends with the stock formula, "Thus spoke the Lord; the monks applauded his teaching", not found in either the Jātaka or the Maha Pirit Pota.

1.7. Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa-sūtra / bSlab pa lha'i phan yon gyi mdo¹
Sūtra on the Benefits of the Five Trainings
D 37, 271a1-276a5 (10 folio pages +)
B (40).19, C 1020, H 38, J 32, L XXXVI(7), M 37, N 353,
Q 753, S 295, T 292, U 341, Bu ston 377
*Pañcasikkhā-ānisaṃsa-sutta
Translated by Feer, AMG V 230-43.
This text consists of two parts, labelled here 1.7.A and 1.7.B.

1.7.A. D 271a1-b3

Samajīvin, A II 61.15-62.11²

The Tibetan opens with 'di skad bdag gis thos pa dus gcig na | bcom ldan 'das garga ra dan | chu srin byis pa gsod kyi ri dan | sman gyi nags ri dags rgyu ba'i gnas na bźugs so. In order to understand this, we must first compare the Pāli: ekam samayam bhagavā bhaggesu viharati suṃsumāragire bhesakalāvane migadāye: "At one time the Lord was staying among the Bhaggas, at Mt. Suṃsumāra, in the Bhesakalā Grove, in the Deer Park". The Tibetan terms correspond to the Pāli as follows:

gargara	(transliteration)	bhagga
chu srin byis pa gsod kyi ri	*suṃsumāramakaragiri	suṃsumāragiri
sman gyi nags	*bhesajja-vana	bhesakalāvana
ri dags rgyu ba'i gnas	*migadāva	migadāya

¹ It is not uncommon, however, for Pāli manuscripts to give *metta*- rather than *mitta*- in the title: see Oskar von Hinüber, "The Pāli Manuscripts kept at the Siam Society, Bangkok, A Short Catalogue", JSS 75 (1987), pp. 21 (*mettāparitta*), 32 (*mettānisamsa*).

¹ The colophons to D (276a4), L (132a1), N (584b3), and S (143a3) and the final collective colophon to CDQ give the title as *Tshul khrims lna'i phan yon bstan pa'i mdo* = **Pañcaśīlānuśaṃsa-sūtra*. See also Beckh p. 12, note 1. This might be the correct title.

² The title is from the *uddāna*, A II 65,23, *dve ... samajīvino*.

³ For these toponyms, see *DPPN* II 1172–73.

There is a fair correspondence for all but the first, the transcription gargara equivalent to the Pāli bhagga. The text itself corresponds to the Pāli closely, giving a prose introduction followed by verses:

In the prose of both versions, the Buddha, donning his outer robe (chos gos = cīvara) and carrying his alms-bowl (lhun bzed = patta) goes in the morning to the home of the householder "Father Nakula" (Pha na ku la'i khyim bdag = Nakulapitā gahapati). There he is addressed first by Nakulapitā, and then by the latter's wife, "Mother Nakula" (Ma na ku la'i khyim bdag mo = Nakulamātā gahapatānī). The gist of their statements is the same in the two versions (there are clearly problems with the Tibetan translation): they have been faithful ever since they were brought together, and they wish to see each other in future lives just as they do at present. The Tibetan then gives the phrase, de nas bcom ldan 'das kyis bka' stsal pa, "Then the Lord spoke", not found in the Pāli. The Buddha's reply (271a7) is that such is possible, if a couple is "equal in faith, equal in virtue, equal in giving, and equal in wisdom". Here the terms correspond directly:

dad pa mñam pa = samasaddhā tshul khrims mñam pa = samasīla gton ba mñam pa = samacāga śes rab mñam pa = samapaññā The Buddha then addresses the couple in verse. Here the Tibetan (271b1) introduces the verse with the phrase, yan tshigs su bcad pa 'di bka' stsal te, "then [the Buddha] uttered these verses", not found in the Pāli. The verses are similar.

1.7.B. D 271b3-276a5

No Pāli parallel traced.

The Pāli sutta ends with the verses. The Tibetan continues, with the Buddha speaking in prose:

"Therefore, you should guard the five types of training (bslab $pa = sikkh\bar{a}$):

- 1) refraining from killing living beings;
- 2) refraining from theft;
- 3) refraining from sexual misconduct;
- 4) refraining from false speech;
- 5) refraining from drinking intoxicating beverages."

These are of course the five precepts, which give their title to the Tibetan version. The monks, who have not been previously mentioned, ask the Buddha about the benefits ($phan\ yon = \bar{a}nisamsa$) of the five virtues ($tshul\ khrims = s\bar{\imath}la$) (271b4). The Buddha addresses the monks (271b6): "The killing of living beings should be regarded as like a poisonous snake: bound up with many sufferings, leading to rebirth among petas, animals, and hell-beings". He then describes the suferings of the Sañjīva Hell ($yan\ sros$). If the person is reborn as a human being, he will be short-lived, unattractive, and unintelligent. The section contains a verse of four lines on the faults ($\tilde{n}es\ pa = dosa$) of killing (272a4), and verses on the twenty benefits ($yon\ tan = guna$) of refraining from killing (272a4–7).

¹ For this couple, renowned for their mutual devotion, see *DPPN* II 3-4. Nakula(-pitṛ) figures in the Chinese *Ekottarāgama*: see *BSR* 7/1-2 (1990), pp. 86-89 (parallel to S III 1-5).

¹ cf. *DPPN* II 1001.

The second section (272b1 foll.), on theft, describes rebirth in the Roruva Hell ($\dot{n}u$ 'bod), which is of two types: Jālaroruva ('bar ba'i $\dot{n}u$ 'bod) and Dhūmaroruva (du ba'i $\dot{n}u$ 'bod). If the person is reborn as a human, as a result of his previous theft he will lose his possessions, and have difficulty in obtaining the necessities of existence. "Theft is like a poisonous serpent" The section contains a verse of four lines on the faults of theft (272b7), and verses on the twenty benefits of refraining from theft (273a1-4).

The third section (273a4 foll.) deals with sexual misconduct, which leads to rebirth in the Mahāroruva Hell (nu 'bod chen po),² of which the torments are described in prose and verse (273b2). "Sexual misconduct, monks, is like a poisonous serpent" The section contains a verse of four lines on the faults of sexual misconduct (273b4), and verses on the twenty benefits of refraining from sexual misconduct (273b7–274a3).

The fourth section (274b1 foll.) deals with lying, which leads to the Kāļasutta Hell (thig nag),³ of which the torments are described. "Lying, monks, is like a poisonous serpent...." The results if the sinner is reborn as a human are described (274b4). A verse of four lines describes the faults of lying (274b6), while thirty-three benefits of refraining from lying are given in verse (274b6–275a2).

The fifth and last section (275a6 foll.) deals with intoxication, which leads to the Tapana Hell (tsha ba'i dmyal ba).⁴ The results if the person is reborn as a human are described (275b3). The section contains

a four-line verse on the faults of drink (275b4), and verses on the thirty-six benefits of refraining from drink (275b5-276a1).

In conclusion (276a2), the Buddha states, "These five virtues ($tshul\ khrims = s\bar{\imath}la$) should be guarded: a man or a woman who does not guard or develop virtue will, at the breaking up of the body, after death, be reborn in the evil destinies, the evil realms, the downfall; those who guard and cultivate virtue will, at the breaking up of the body, after death, be reborn in the happy realms, the heavens, the worlds of the gods". The sutta closes with the stock ending, "Thus spoke the Lord; the monks applauded the Lord's teaching". No mention is made of the devoted Nakulas.

The second part of the sutta, which gives the text its title, has no counterpart in the Pāli canon. The five sections on the five transgressions and their opposites have a similar structure: description of the allotted hell; description of the results if the sinner is reborn as a human; comparison of the transgression to a poisonous snake; a verse of four lines on the faults of the transgression; and verses on the many benefits of its opposite. The style of both prose and verse is late, and may be compared with that of cosmological texts such as the *Lokapaññatti*.

1.8. Giri-ānanda-sūtra / Ri'i kun dga' bo'i mdo¹
Sūtra for Giri Ānanda
D 38, 276a5-279a2 (5 folio pages +)
B (40).20, C 1021, H 39, J 33, L XXXVI(8), M 38, N 354, Q 754, S 296, T 293, U 342, Bu ston 369

¹ cf. *DPPN* II 758–59.

² cf. *DPPN* II 550.

³ cf. *DPPN* I 580.

⁴ cf. *DPPN* I 991.

¹ The title given in the colophons at D 279a1, L 135b4, N 588b6, and S 147a6, and in the final collective colophon in CDQ is *Tshe dan ldan pa* (DQ: pa'i C) ri'i kun dga' bo'i mdo = Pāli *Āyasmā-giri-ānanda-sutta.

Theravadin literature in Tibetan translation

125

Giri, A V 108,18–112,18, Girimānanda-sutta, Maha Pirit Pota 20¹

Translated by Feer, AMG V 145-50.

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken by the Buddha at Sāvatthī in the Jetavana, Anāthapindika's Pleasance, to Ānanda.

The Tibetan lists and then deals in detail with the same ten notions ('du śes bcu, dasa saññā) as does the Pāli. The sixth notion, 'dod chags dan bral ba'i 'du śes = virāgasaññā, is incomplete in the Derge, London, Narthang, Peking, and Stog versions, and merges with the seventh, 'gog pa'i 'du śes = nirodhasaññā.² At D 276b1, the Tibetan adds de nas bcom ldan 'das kyis tshe dan ldan pa kun dga' bo la bka' stsal pa = "Then the Lord said to venerable Ānanda", not found in the Pāli. In the description of "notion of the unpleasant" (mi gtsan ba'i 'du śes = asubhasaññā), reference is made to "the thirty-two impure items" (277a3, mi gtsan ba'i rdzas sum cu rtsa gñis = *dvattiṃsa-asubhavatthu); this is not found in the Pāli.

1.9. Nandopanandanāgarājadamana-sūtra / Klu'i rgyal po dga' bo ñer dga' 'dul ba'i mdo³

Sūtra on the Vanquishing of the Serpent King Nandopananda D 39, 279a2–281b1 (5 folio pages)

B (40).21, C 1022, H 40, J 34, L XXXVI(15), M 39, N 355, Q 755, S 303, T 300, U 349, Bu ston 370

*Nandopandanāgarājadamana-sutta, Visuddhimagga XII § 106–16; Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā (PTS edition) III 177,4– 179,20

Translated by Feer, AMG V 414-19.

Occurs at Sāvatthī, Jetavana, Anāthapiņdika's Pleasance.

The account of Mahāmoggallāna's taming (damana) of the powerful Nāga Nandopananda by means of a dramatic magical contest is not found in the Theravādin Tipiṭaka. It is related in almost identical terms in the Visuddhimagga and in the Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā; in both cases it is given as a citation, without naming the exact source, although the event is described in both texts as Nandopanandadamana. A Sinhalese version, also very similar, is found in the fourteenth chapter of the Amāvatura, a life of the Buddha composed by Guruļugōmī at about the end of the 12th century. There is also a Chinese parallel, styled sūtra (ching) in the title, in an early (pre-Buddhaghosa) translation: the Lung wang hsiung ti ching, translated between 223 and 253 A.C.³

¹ The A title is from the *uddāna*, 112,22.

² D 277b4-5; L 134a1-3; N 586b7-587a2; Q 294b1-3; S 145b1-3. Since the lacuna occurs in representatives of both Them spans ma (LNS) and Tshal pa (DQ), it almost certainly occurs in other known editions.

³ The final collective colophon in CDQ reads ... dga' bo dan ñer (DQ: ñe C) dga' ...; LNS read as above (but ñer LN, ñe S).

¹ Theragāthā Aṭṭhakathā III 177,3, 179,19; Visuddhimagga (Harvard Oriental Series) 338,5.

² cf. C.E. Godakumbara, Sinhalese Literature, Colombo, 1955, pp. 56–61, 49–50 (date of author); cf. translation in Spence Hardy, A Manual of Buddhism, repr. Varanasi 1967, pp. 302–3 (from the Pūjāvaliya, according to note on p. 141). Feer, pp. 414–15, mentions a parallel in the anthology Sārasaṅgraha, composed at the end of the 13th or early 14th century (K.R. Norman, Pāli Literature, Wiesbaden, 1983, p. 173).

³ Taishō 597; KBC no. 780. I am grateful to Prof. Heinz Bechert for this reference. For the translator, Chih-ch'ien, see Étienne Lamotte, La concentration de la marche héroïque (Śūramgamasamādhisūtra), Brussells, 1965, pp. 76-79; Prabodh Chandra Bagchi, Le canon bouddhique en Chine I, Paris, 1927, pp. 283-300 (Tche-k'ien); E. Zürcher, The Buddhist Conquest of China, Leiden, 1972, pp. 48-51.

The Tibetan is described as a sūtra in the title, and indeed opens with the traditional formula, "Thus I once heard: the Lord was staying at Sāvatthī", and closes with the traditional formula, "Thus spoke the Lord; those monks applauded the Lord's teaching". The latter, however, is hardly apt, since the text contains no sermon as such, and since the last words are spoken by Anāthapiṇḍika, who offers to provide the Buddha and the monks with food for seven days. Otherwise, the Tibetan, which in this case is quite clearly rendered and relatively free of error, corresponds almost exactly to the *Visuddhimagga* and *Theragāthā Atthakathā* accounts.

Reference is made to the vanquishing of Nandopananda in the Jayamangalagāthā, a popular non-canonical paritta that invokes protection through eight victories. The Tīkābāhum, a commentary on the verses, therefore includes the account of the vanquishing of the Nāga king. I have not come across any references to the Nandopanandadamana as an independent sutta in the various catalogues of Pāli manuscripts that I have consulted. The tale is popular in Burma, where it is represented pictorially by Nandopananda and Mahāmoggallāna in the form of nāgas coiled around Mt. Sumeru.

1.10. Mahākāśyapa-sūtra / 'Od srun chen po'i mdo¹
Sūtra on Mahākāśyapa
D 40, 281b1-282a6 (-2 folios)
B (40).22, C 1023, H 41, J 35, L XXXVI(16), M 40, N 356,
Q 756, S 394, T 301, U 350, Bu ston 371
S V 79,18-80,18, Gilāna;² Maha Pirit Pota 17,
Mahākassapattherabojjhangam
Translated by Feer, AMG V 150-52.

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken at Rājagaha, Veļuvana, Kalandakanivāpa.

The Tibetan gives the text in full, without abbreviation; the Pāli of the PTS and Maha Pirit Pota editions is abbreviated. The two versions are very close. The Tibetan (281b4) adds one phrase not found in Pāli (cf. S V 80,1): de nas tshe dan ldan pa 'od srun chen pos gsol pa, "Venerable Mahākassapa then said".

1.11. Sūrya-sūtra / Ñi ma'i mdo

Sūtra on the Sun

D 41, 282a6-b6 (1 folio page)

B (40).23, C 1024, H 42, J 36, L XXXVI(17), M 41, N 357,

Q 757, S 305, T 302, U 351, Bu ston 372

S I 51, 1-24, Suriya-sutta; Maha Pirit Pota 15, Suriyaparitta

Tibetan, Pāli: spoken at Sāvatthī in the Jetavana, Anāthapiņḍika's Pleasance.

The Tibetan and the *Maha Pirit Pota* give the Sāvatthī *nidāna* in full; in the *Saṃyutta Nikāya* (PTS) there is no *nidāna*. Where the Pāli, in

¹ C.E. Godakumbura, *Catalogue of Cambodian and Burmese Pāli Manuscripts*, Copenhagen, 1983, pp. 42–46. A summary (in Thai) from a Thai manuscript is given in Supaphan 1990, p. 308.

² Supaphan (1990) describes the text as -sutta, a Thai translation by Nāgapradīpa, Tīkā-jayamangala-aṭṭhaka-desanā (Bāhuṃ), Bangkok, 2470 [1927], repr. 2520 [1977], pp. 160–81, as -sūtra. Godakumbura, op. cit., p. 43, refers to Nandopanandanāgarājasutta in his list of contents of the Tīkā-bāhūni, but transcribes the title as Nandopanandanāgarāja (sic) only on p. 45.

¹ The colophons to DLNS and the final collective colophon in CDQ give the title as gNas brtan 'od srun chen po'i mdo = Pāli *Mahākassapatthera-sutta.

² The title is from the *uddāna*, p. 83.4, *gilānā apare tayo*.

both this and the following sutta, has bhagavantam anussaramāno only, the Tibetan of this and the following text (Ni ma 282b1; Zla ba 283a1) have bcom ldan 'das rjes su dran pa yid la byas te, which seems to translate bhagavantam anussaramāno manasikaronto. The Tibetan introduces the last verse with des smras pa, "he [Rāhu] said". An equivalent phrase is not found in Pāli. Otherwise the prose and verse of the two versions is similar.

1.12. Candra-sūtra / Zla ba'i mdo

Sūtra on the Moon

D 42, 282b6-283a5 (1 folio page)

B (40).24, C 1025, H 43, J 37, L XXXVI(18), M 42, N 358, O 758, S 306, T 303, U 352, Bu ston *deest*

S I 50,15-35, Candima-sutta; Maha Pirit Pota 14, Candaparitta Translated by Feer, AMG V 410-13, conjointly with the Pāli, side-by-side with a translation of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin version.

[The Kanjur also contains an anonymous translation of a (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin recension: Candra-sūtra / Zla ba'i mdo, Q 997, Vol. 39, mdo sna tshogs, śu 268a2-b3. A fragmentary (probably) Sarvāstivādin recension from Central Asia was published by E. Waldschmidt, "Buddha Frees the Disc of the Moon", in the Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies (BSOAS), XXXIII/1, 1970, pp. 179-83 (cf. p. 179 notes 2 and 3 for a full bibliographic account of Feer's work). The verses of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin version are incorporated into one of the Pañcarakṣā texts in Tibetan translation, Mahāmantrānudharaṇi, D 536, 154b4-7: cf. Skilling 1992, p. 142.]

The Tibetan and the Maha Pirit Pota give the Sāvatthī nidāna in full; the Saṃyutta Nikāya (PTS) abbreviates it as Sāvatthiyaṃ viharati. The Tibetan (283a5) introduces the last verse with sgra can 'dzin gyis

smras pa, *rāhu āha. An equivalent phrase is not found in the Pāli. Otherwise the Tibetan and Pāli are similar.

In Pāli, the Suriya- and Candima-suttas are identical, but for the substitution of suriya /candima where appropriate and the addition of one extra verse in the Suriya-sutta; similarly, in Tibetan the Sūrya- and Candra-sūtras are identical, but for the substitution of $\tilde{n}i$ ma = suriya / zla ba = canda, and the addition of the extra verse. Chizen Akanuma, The Comparative Catalogue of Chinese Āgamas & Pāli Nikāyas (Nagoya, 1929, p. 178), lists Chinese parallels for the Pāli Candima-sutta but not for the Suriya-sutta. Thus while the former is well represented in the versions of at least two schools (the Theravādins and the [Mūla-]Sarvāstivādins), the latter is not, and may be unique to the Theravādins.

1.13. Mahāmangala-sūtra / bKra šis chen po'i mdo

Sūtra on the Great Blessing

D 43, 283a5-284a1 (1 folio page +)

B (40).25, C 1026, H 44, J 38, L XXXVI(19), M 43, M 360, N 359, O 759, S 307, T 304, U 353, Bu ston 373

Khuddakapāṭha V, pp. 2,25-3,26, Maṅgala-sutta; Sn pp. 46,10-47,22, Mahāmaṅgala-sutta; Maha Pirit Pota 7, Mahāmaṅgala-sutta

Translated by Feer, AMG V 224-27.

[The Kanjur contains an anonymous translation of a recension of another, unknown school: Lhas żus pa'i bkra śis kyi tshigs su bcad pa / Devapariprcchā Mangalagāthā, Q 442 (rgyud tsha), Q 721 (rgyud ya),

¹ In the Urga edition only, the text (as M 360) closes the Sūtra Division of the *Kanjur* (cf. Bethlenfalvy 1980, Introduction, p. 12). The colophon is the same as for M 31–43, with the addition of *bu ston phab pa'o*, "edited by Bu ston". This remark is not found in any of the other editions.

Theravādin literature in Tibetan translation

Q 1053 ('dul ba phe). This was translated by Feer side-by-side with the present text, under the rubric "Version du Nord".]

Tibetan, Pāli: located at Sāvatthī, Jetavana, Anāthapiņḍika's Pleasance.

The prose opening is the same, except that for the Pāli aññatarā devatā, "a certain deity", singular, the Tibetan has *lha du ma rnams*, "many deities", plural. Both versions open with a verse question spoken by the god(s), asking about blessings (maṅgala). Before the first verse of the Buddha's reply (Suttanipāta 259), the Tibetan gives an extra verse (283b1), not found in the Pāli:

lha'i yan lha yis bka' stsal pa ||
sdig pa thams cad rnam par 'joms ||
'jig rten kun la phan pa'i don ||
bkra śis de rnams khyed la bśad ||

The god of gods (*devātideva*) [the Buddha] replied: "I will teach you those blessings (*maṅgala*) which overcome all evil (*sabbapāpa*) and bring benefit (*hita*) to all the world (*loka*)".

Otherwise the eleven verses spoken by the Buddha are the same and occur in the same order, with, as usual, many problems of translation. The Tibetan (283b7) ends with, "Thus spoke the Lord; those gods applauded the Lord's teaching". The ending is not given in the Pāli. The other Tibetan version, the *Devapariprcchā Mangalagāthā*, is a recension of another school, and hence differs in order and number of verses.

1.14. Ārya-maitrī-sūtra-nāma / 'Phags pa byams pa'i mdo žes bya ba
Sūtra on Holy Maitreya (or) Holy Sūtra on Maitreya
Q 1010, mdo, hu 304a5-311b3 (15 folio pages, excluding colophon)

The Arya-maitrī-sūtra was translated by Ānandaśrī and Kun dga' rgyal mtshan Thub bstan dpal bzan po at Sa skya in the year 1307 (see above). As far as I have been able to determine, it is available in only four of the Kanjurs used for this study:1

Berlin	(82).4	Vol. 82	mdo a (30), no. 4
Lhasa	349	Vol. 74	mdo sa (28), no. 3
Narthang	328	Vol. 74	mdo sa (28), no. 3
Peking	1010	Vol. 91	mdo hu (30), no. 4

The text is not listed in Bu ston's catalogue. As seen above, it is closely related to 1.5, and has at least a partial parallel in the Pāli *Metteyya-sutta*. The first modern note of the sūtra was made by Csoma de Körös in his "Analysis of the Mdo", published in *Asiatick Researches* (Calcutta, 1836–39) in the form of a generally accurate summary. The text was referred to by Sylvain Lévi along with 1.5.² There is also a Mongolian translation.³

Numbers 1.1. to 1.14: General remarks

Nos. 1.1-13 all open with 'phags pa dkon mchog gsum la gus pas phyag 'tshal lo, 1.14 with dkon mchog gsum la gus par phyag 'tshal lo, "I respectfully pay homage to the (Holy) Three Gems (ariya-

¹ cf. Haarh 1962, p. 205; Takasaki 1965, p. 31.

² Lévi 1932, pp. 377–80.

³ Ligeti $\S 1105 = \text{Vol. } 90 = mdo (eldeb) XXXI, no. 4.$

tiratana)". They seem to be the only texts in the Kanjur to do so, the usual formula of homage for sūtras being dkon mchog gsum la phyag 'tshal lo = namo ratnatrayāya. Numbers 1.1-1.7 in the Derge edition and numbers 1.2-7 and 1.9-13 in the London, Narthang, and Stog Palace editions end with a short praṇidhāna, not found at all in the Peking edition, sa'i sten du ni (ma dan) zla (ba) ltar gyur cig, "May the surface of the earth be like the sun and moon", also not met with elsewhere in the Kanjur.²

I hope in the foregoing to have established that all fourteen texts belong to the Theravādin school. For those texts which have no known counterpart in the canons of other schools, I have tried to show the similarity between the Tibetan and Pāli versions. For those texts that have such a counterpart, I have mentioned the differences between the versions by way of contrast.

Nine out of the 13 texts (nos. 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13) are included in paritta collections such as the Maha Pirit Pota. Since number 6 comprises two parittas, ten Pāli parittas are in fact represented. (Feer was presumably the first to recognize their relationship to paritta, which is also noted in the Otani catalogue, p. 94, note) Three texts — the Jātakanidāna and the two versions of the *Metteyyasutta — deal extensively with the past lives and final life of the Buddha of the present age, Sakyamuni, and with the future Buddha, Metteyya, respectively; together they constitute a complete Theravādin Buddhology. It is unlikely that either of these, or the Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa-sūtra, were classed as paritta; the first part of the latter, the Samajīvin (1.7.A) is not classed as such by the Theravādins. The Jātakanidāna is precluded by its length, while the other two do not have the characteristics of paritta (note, however, that the "Buddhavaṃsa-sutta" was chanted to bring rain in the

Shan state of Jengtung in the 14th and 15th centuries¹). They may have been selected for translation on the basis of popularity, since the life of the Buddha was perennially popular, and the Metteyya cult and cosmological (the latter and main part of the *Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa*) texts were popular at the time in question. The *Nandopanandadamana*, though composed in rather unwieldy prose, might have had *paritta* status, since Mahāmoggallāna's taming of the Nāga King is one of the "eight victories" of the *Jayamaṅgalagāthā*.²

Only two of the fourteen texts are popular among Tibetans today. These are the Sūrya-sūtra (1.11) and the Candra-sūtra (1.12), which are included in popular collections of mantras and rakṣās such as the mDo man.³ Here the Theravādin version of the Candra-sūtra is chosen over the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin version, also found in Tibetan translation. The same two are popular in Theravādin countries, being included in the Maha Pirit Pota (nos. 15 and 14) of Ceylon and in the Catubhāṇavāra collections.⁴

A considerable portion of one text, the *Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa*, has not been traced in Pāli; all the others are available in Pāli (assuming that the **Metteyya-sutta* [1.5, 1.14] does correspond to a Pāli text of the same name).

¹ This was noted by Beckh (1914), p. 13, note 1.

² The information for Stog is from Skorupski 1985, pp. 158–60.

¹ Sao Sāimöng Mangrāi, The <u>Pādaeng Chronicle and the Jengtung State Chronicle Translated</u>, Ann Arbor, 1981, <u>Pādaeng §§ 194–95</u>, Jengtung § 112.
² Maha Pirit Pota, p. xlii, verse 7.

³ Marcelle Lalou, Catalogue du fonds tibétain de la Bibliothèque Nationale, quatrième partie, I.—Les Mdo Man, Paris, 1931, nos. 117, 118 (pp. 46–47); R.O. Meisezahl, "Über Zwei Mdo Man Redaktionen und Ihre Editionen in Tibet und China", Zentralasiatische Studien 2, Wiesbaden, 1968, p. 36 (LXIV.tu 111, 112); p. 96 (LXIV.tu 139, 140); p. 107 (LXIV.tu 138, 139); p. 121 (LXIV.tu 110, 111); Concordance, 7a, nos. 176, 177.

⁴ For the latter, see Skilling 1992, pp. 118–20.

Under the individual sūtras I have noted a few discrepancies between the Tibetan and Pāli versions. Some, such as the supplying of a complete opening (nidāna) in the Tibetan against an abbreviated or omitted opening in the Pāli sutta versions are only to be expected: in the sutta versions the opening occurs earlier on in the collection; as independent texts, the Tibetan versions give the complete nidānas, as do the Pāli paritta versions. Other discrepancies such as the introduction of a phrase "so and so said" are relatively minor redactional variants.

All of the fourteen texts show many problems of translation, some of which have been mentioned above. A few further examples:

- 1.1. (D 183a2) bag yod par gyur, *appamādo, "heedful" = S V 424,5 appamāno, "limitless";
- 1.3. (D 250b1) sñin po dan sñin po med pa'i gtam, *katham sārāsāranīyam (?) = D III 194,14 katham sārānīyam;
- 1.3. (D 253a3, etc.) dran sron chen po, Skt. mahārṣi, Pāli mahesi = D III 203,1, etc. mārisa, "sir":
- 1.8. (D 277b2) mi bsgom par 'gro ba, *anabhāvanaṃ gacchati, "is not cultivated" = A V 110,16 anabhāvaṃ gameti, "disappears";
- 1.8. (D 277a1 etc.) dge slon kun dga' bo 'di ni "Bhikkhu Ānanda, this..." = A V 109,19, etc. idh' ānanda bhikkhu, "Here, Ānanda, a monk ...";
- 1.11. (D 282b1), 1.12.(D 283a1): thams cad myur du grol bar gyis = S I 50,20-51,5 vippamutto si sabbadhi; since myur du corresponds to Sanskrit kṣipra, Pāli khippa, the Tibetan seems to translate *khippamutto.

Many more examples could be cited. At a few places, transliterated letters suggest Sanskrit forms:

- 1.3. (D 252b2) lcan lo can dan ku śa'i gron || pha rol ku śa'i gron dan ni || nāṭa'i gron dan pha rol gyi || ku śi ta'i gron yin no || = D III 200,24, āṭānāṭā, kusināṭā, parakusinātā, nāṭapuriyā, parakusitanāṭā.
- 1.8. (D 277a6) bitsartsika, (Q 294a4) bi tsar rtsi ka = Sanskrit vicarcika; A V 110,6 vitacchikā.

Mistranslations can arise from a correct translation of a faulty Indic original, or a wrong translation of a correct Indic original. In the present case, let us suppose that the manuscripts were in Pāli in Sinhalese script, and that Ānandaśrī did not know Tibetan nor Ñi ma rgyal mtshan Pāli or the Sinhalese script. Since the latter had spent fourteen years in Nepal, and since the former is said to have resided in Bodh Gayā (and at any rate would have travelled through Northern India and perhaps Nepal to reach Tibet), they may well have communicated in a mixture of Sanskrit and the North Indian *lingua franca* of the day. This could have given rise to both the mistranslations and the Sanskrit forms. A thorough analysis of the Tibetan versions in comparison with the Pāli might reveal whether the mistranslations arose from a Sanskrit or a Pāli text, or even whether the original was in the Sinhalese script, if it can be shown that the mistranslation was caused by a misreading of that script.

1.15. Vimuttimagga, Chapter 3: Dhutaguṇa-nirdeśa

Vimuktimārga-dhutaguṇa-nirdeśa-nāma / rNam par grol ba'i lam las sbyans ba'i yon tan bstan pa żes bya ba

A. Included in the Kanjur

A.1.	Following the arrangement of the	Tshal pa Kanjur:

Berlin	(80).6	Vol. 80	mdo sa (28), no. 6
Cone	945	Vol. 52	mdo sa (28), no. 6
Derge	306	Vol. 72	mdo sa (28), no. 6
Lhasa	309	Vol. 72	mdo la (26), no. 6

136		Peter	Skilling
Lithang	246	Vol. 67	mdo sa (28), no. 6
Narthang	291	Vol. 72	mdo la (26), no. 6
Peking	972	Vol. 89	mdo śu (28), no. 6
Urga	306	Vol. 72	mdo sa (28), no. 6
A.2.	Follow	ing the ar	rangement of the Them spangs ma
Kanjur:			
London	XXV(2)		mdo ra (25), no. 2
Stog	244	Vol. 76	mdo ra (25), no. 2
Tokyo	244	Vol. 81	mdo ra (25), no. 2
Ulan Bator	292	Vol. 78	mdo ra (25), no. 2
JB	_	_	mdo ra (25), no. 2
JP	_	_	mdo ra (25), no. 2
A.3.	Phug b	rag <i>Kanju</i>	r:
Phug brag	206	Vol. 82	<i>mdo sa</i> (29), no. 6 ¹
Phug brag	327	Vol. 89	mdo khu (36), no. 15
A.4.	Newar	k <i>Kanjur</i> :	
Newark			mdo ra (25), no. 5 ²
A.5.	Accord	ding to Bu	ston's History of Buddhism:
Bu ston	40	-	

Cone			'dul ba su¹
Derge	4143	Vol. 167	'dul ba su
Golden		Vol. 178 ²	'dul ba u
Narthang	3635	Vol. 178	'dul ba u
Peking	5644	Vol. 178	'dul ba u

The lists show that the text is no. 6 of the 28th volume of Sūtra (mDo) in the Tshal pa tradition, except for in N and (following N) H, in which it is in the 26th volume. The Mongolian translation (Ligeti § 1066) is no. 6 of the 29th volume of Sūtra. The text is no. 2 of the 25th volume of Sūtra in the Them spangs ma tradition. The work occurs twice in the unique Phug brag Kanjur; although attributed to the same translators, the two translations differ.3 This is interesting in the light of Bu ston's remarks about the existence of an earlier translation not accessible to him (see below). In the Newark Kanjur it is the last text of volume ra. In the Tanjur it is classed under Vinaya ('Dul ba), in the last volume.

The Tibetan text has been edited on the basis of four editions (BCDN) in the Devanāgarī and roman scripts and translated into English by P.V. Bapat, who also discusses the relations between the Tibetan, the Vimuttimagga in Chinese translation, and the Pāli Visuddhimagga.⁴ A romanized edition with Japanese translation and extensive notes has been published by Genjun H. Sasaki.5

¹ sa is Vol. 29 because two volumes are labelled ra. Although the text is sa no. 6 in most of the Tshal pa Kanjurs as well, this is a coincidence: apart from the first text (the Abhiniskramana-sūtra), the contents of volumes sa in the Tshal pa and Phug brag Kanjurs are otherwise different.

² The last text in the volume: information from the table of contents at the end of Vol. ra, which should be Vol. 25 of the Sūtra section, if there were no irregularities in numbering of volumes.

¹ Folios 161b2–172b7.

² Reprint Vol. 79: see P. Skilling, "A Brief Guide to the Golden Tanjur", JSS 79/2 (1991), pp. 138-46.

³ Samten 1992, p. xviii.

⁴ P.V. Bapat, Vimuktimärga Dhutagunanirdeśa, Bombay, 1964.

⁵ Genjun H. Sasaki, Vimuktimārga Dhutanganirdeša, Kyoto, 1958, based on HNQ, and several other editions not clear to me.

This text, a chapter of the *Vimuttimagga*¹ dealing with the 13 purifying practices (*dhutaguṇa* or *dhutaṅga*),² was translated into Tibetan by the Indian preceptor (*upadhyāya*) Vidyākaraprabha and the Tibetan translator dPal brtsegs, well-known scholars active around 800 A.C. The colophon states:³

rnam par grol ba'i lam las sbyans pa'i yon tan bstan pa zes bya ba ste kun nas btus pa gsum pa rdzogs so ||

"The Exposition of Purifying Virtues" (*Dhutaguṇanirdeśa*) from the *Path of Liberation* (*Vimuktimārga*), Chapter 3, is completed.⁴

Here (as in the translation of the title) the compound Sanskrit title *Vimuktimārga-dhutaguṇa-nirdeśa*, which shows no case endings, has been rendered as "Exposition of Purifying Virtues <u>from</u> (*las*) the

Path of Liberation". This shows that the translators knew they were dealing with an excerpt from a work entitled $Vimuktim\bar{a}rga$ and not an independent text. Otherwise they would probably have rendered the title as "Exposition of Purifying Virtues of" (gyi) or perhaps "in" or "in relation to $(la)^1$ the Path of Liberation", taking the last as a common noun. The chapter in question is indeed the third of the Chinese translation of the $Vimuttimagga.^2$

Since the text is in fact a treatise (śāstra) and not the word of the Buddha (Buddhavacana), its proper place is the Tanjur rather than the Kanjur. Bu ston (§ 40) classifies the text in Section II (Hīnayāna) of the Word of the Buddha (bka'); he notes that "in the great catalogues this is classified as a sūtra, but some hold that it is a śāstra" ('di dkar chag chen mo dag tu mdor byas la kha cig bstan bcos su 'dod). A similar statement is made in the catalogues of the Derge and Urga Kanjurs. Bu ston (§ 98) also lists a *Dhutaguṇanirdeśa-sūtra (sByans pa'i yon tan bśad pa'i mdo) in Word of the Buddha, Section III, "Texts unavailable at present but definitely translated in the early period" (snar 'gyur nes pa da lta ma rñed pa). Under śāstra (§ 793) he refers to a *Dhutaguṇa-anuśaṃsa (sByans pa'i yon tan gyi phan yon) in 100 ślokas composed by Ācārya Nāgārjuna (Slob dpon Klu sgrub) which "should be sought" (btsal bar bya'o). I doubt whether the last-named is related to our text.

Why the text was selected for translation is not clear. Since the Indian translator, Vidyākaraprabha, worked on Mūlasarvāstivādin Vinaya texts such as the *Vinayavastu*, *Ksudrakavastu*, and *Bhiksunī*-

¹ The complete Vimuttimagga is extant only in Chinese translation (Taishō 1648, KBC 968). It has been rendered into English by Ehara et al. ([1961] 1977). For a recent note on the Vimuttimagga, see H. Bechert, "Vimuttimagga and Amatākaravaṇṇanā", in N.H. Samtani and H.S. Prasad (ed.), Amalā Prajñā: Aspects of Buddhist Studies (Professor P.V. Bapat Felicitation Volume), Delhi, 1989, p. 11. For discussion of the school affiliation of the Vimuttimagga, see K.R. Norman, "The Literary Works of the Abhayagirivihārins", in V.N. Jha (ed.), Kalyāṇa-mitta: Professor Hajime Nakamura Felicitation Volume, Delhi, 1991, pp. 41–50, and P. Skilling, "Vimuttimagga and Abhayagiri: The Formaggregate according to the Saṃskṛtāsaṃskṛta-viniścaya" (forthcoming).

² For these see *BHSD* 285b, *dhuta*, *dhutaguna*, *dhutadharma*; *PTSD* 342a, *dhuta*; *EB* IV/4 580–85 ("Dhutanga").

³ S 244, Skorupski, p. 139; Q 972, *mdo*, śu, 149a2; Newark, *mdo*, *ra*, 249b6. The Newark *Kanjur* lacks the translators' colophon. A cursory examination of the last folio (*ra* 249b) suggests that it is the same translation.

⁴ Kun nas btus pa, which I have taken here in the sense of chapter, is equivalent to the Sanskrit samuccaya. Since the Vimuttimagga is not available in the original, whether Pāli or Sanskrit, I cannot say whether this term was used in the original text.

¹ Some of the Tibetan transcriptions of the Sanskrit title read -mārge.

² Ehara et al. [1961] 1977, pp. 27–38.

³ Derge Catalogue, Lakṣmī, 134b4; Bethlenfalvy 1980, p. 76, 'di la'an kha cig bstan bcos su dogs pa skyes kyan | snon gyi dkar chag chen mo rnams las mdor bśad pa ñid khuns su che'o.

⁴ The Lithang and Lhasa *Catalogues* and the Urga colophon in fact add $mdo = s\bar{u}tra$ to the title.

vinayavibhanga, it is unlikely that he was a Sthavira. Perhaps such an exposition of the 13 dhutanga was lacking in the Mūlasarvāstivādin or other traditions, causing the Vimuttimagga chapter to be adopted by other schools.

2. Theravadin texts and tenets in the Tanjur

The Theravādin literature in the *Tanjur* occurs in the form of citations within larger works; with the exception of the duplicated *Vimuttimagga*, no independent Theravādin texts are found.

2.1. Vimuttimagga in the Samskrtāsamskrta-viniścaya

The most extensive and significant *Tanjur* source for Theravādin tenets is the *Saṃskṛtāsaṃskṛta-viniścaya* of Daśabalaśrīmitra, lost in the original Sanskrit and preserved in Tibetan translation only. In an earlier article I have attempted to show that the author most probably lived in North-eastern India in the 12th or 13th century A.C., and have pointed out that the text is accurately and clearly translated, and that the author's sources, when traceable, are accurately cited. ²

Daśabalaśrīmitra devotes three full chapters, numbers 13 to 15, to the tenets of the Theravādins; although in each case he names his source as "the tradition ($\bar{A}gama$) of the $\bar{A}rya$ Sthavira school (Sthaviranikāya)", 3 in all three cases the chapters are direct citations from the *Vimuttimagga*. Two other passages from the same work are also cited by

Daśabalaśrīmitra. The concordance of the citations and the Chinese *Vimuttimagga* (in English translation) is as follows:

1) Daśabalaśrimitra, ch. 13, gNas brtan pa'i sde pa'i tshul lugs phun po skye mched khams rnam par nes pa, 90b3-98b7, *Sthaviranikāya-naya-skandhāyatanadhātu-viniścaya:

"An analysis of the aggregates, bases, and elements according to the Sthavira school", equivalent to *Vimuttimagga*, ch. 11, section 1, pp. 237–59;

2) Daśabalaśrimitra, ch. 14, gNas brtan pa'i sde pa'i tshul gyi rten cin 'brel bar 'byun ba rnam par nes pa, 98b7-106a4, *Sthaviranikāya-naya-pratītyasamutpāda-viniścaya:

"An analysis of dependent arising according to the Sthavira school", equivalent to *Vimuttimagga*, ch. 11, section 1, pp. 259–68;

3) Daśabalaśrīmitra, ch. 15, 'Phags pa gnas brtan pa'i sde pa'i tshul lugs las 'phags pa'i bden pa la mkhas pa rnam par nes pa, 106a4–127a3, *Ārya-sthaviranikāya-naya-āryasatyakauśalya-viniścaya:

"An analysis of mastery of the Four Truths of the Noble according to the Exalted Sthavira school", equivalent to *Vimuttimagga*, ch. 11, section 2, pp. 269–82 (ch. 12, section 1 omitted), and ch. 12, section 2, pp. 301–26;

- 4) Daśabalaśrīmitra, 177b2–178b4, equivalent to *Vimuttimagga*, p. 6; cf. also p. 10;
- 5) Daśabalaśrīmitra, 179a4–183a1, equivalent to *Vimuttimagga*, ch. 10, pp. 229–36, complete citation.

2.2. Miscellaneous citations in the Samskṛtāsamskṛta-viniścaya

Daśabalaśrīmitra cites the views or interpretations of the Sthaviras in ten other cases:

¹ Stobs beu dpal b\u00e3es g\u00e3en, 'Dus byas da\u00en' 'dus ma byas rnam par \u00eaes pa, Peking Tanjur 5865, Vol. 146. References in this section are to this edition.

² cf. P. Skilling, "The Samskṛtāsamskṛta-viniscaya of Dasabalaśrīmitra", in *BSR* 4/1 (1987), pp. 3–23.

³ 90b3, 'phags pa gnas brtan pa'i sde pa'i lun las 'di ltar rnam par bźag ste.

- 1) the length of the *dhanu*, *kosa*, *gavuti*, and *yojana* (in verse), 18a3-4:
- 2) the sixty-four destructions (samvattanī) of the universe by fire, water, and wind, 24a1-5;
 - 3) the maximum life-span is unlimited, 25b6-7;
- 4) the "lesser" and "greater" incalculable aeons (asamkheyya-kappa), 37a7-b1;
- 5) the Buddhas revered by Sakyamuni as a bodhisatta during twenty great incalculable aeons plus 100,000 [lesser] aeons; the future Buddha Ajita Metteyya; the three types of individual (puggala): predominant in faith, in energy, or wisdom (saddhādhika, viriyādhika, paññādhika), 38a4-40b6;
- 6) the seven precious things (sattaratana) of a universal emperor (cakkavatti); the ten species of elephant (hatthikula); the four species of horse (assakula); the six types of universal emperor who go to the heavens (*devalokagāmin), 41b5—42a5;
 - 7) five Buddhas arise in a bhaddakappa, 42b5;
- 8) five types of aeon in which Buddhas arise (sāra, maṇḍa, vara, sāramaṇḍa, bhadda), 43a2-5;
 - 9) the five levels of meditation (jhāna), 188b8-189a3;
- 10) where Sakyamuni spent the eighty years and vassas, 266a8-b7.

2.3. *Buddhavaṃsa of the Abhayagiri in the Tarkajvālā

Bhavya (c. 500-570?), in Chapter 4 of his *Tarkajvālā*, Śrāvakatattvāvatāra, gives brief citations from various scriptures of 17 schools, in order to demonstrate that in the Śrāvakayāna

as in the Mahāyāna homage is to be paid to bodhisattvas.¹ The seventh citation is of four verses from the "Twelve-thousand Lineage of the Buddhas of the Ārya Sthavira Abhayagirivāsins" ('Phags pa gnas brtan pa 'jigs med ri la gnas pa rnams kyi sans rgyas kyi rigs khri ñis ston). The title may be tentatively rendered into Pāli as *Dvādasa-sahassa-buddhavamsa; it is not clear whether "12000" refers to the number of Buddhas or the number of ślokas.² The 16 lines of verse, which I have been unable to trace in Pāli, state that a bodhisatta "should be honoured by all the world".³ The work was translated by Dīpaṃkaraśrījñāna (Atīśa) and Tshul khrims rgyal ba at Lhasa in the first half of the 11th century.

2.4. Parallels to Pāli texts in the Udānavarga-vivaraņa

The *Udānavarga-vivaraṇa*, composed by Prajñāvarman at an uncertain date and preserved in Tibetan translation only (done in the 11th century by Paṇḍita Janārdana and Śākya Blo gros), is a commentary on the *Udānavarga*, the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin counterpart of the Pāli *Dhammapada*. Like the *Dhammapada*, the *Udānavarga* contains only verses; in his commentary, Prajñāvarman states the occasion (*nidāna*) upon which each verse, or set of verses, was spoken. First he gives the "official" *nidāna* of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin tradition; in some cases, he

 $^{^1}$ For Bhavya and his date, see Ruegg 1981, pp. 61–66. There is some question about the author and date of the $Tarkajv\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ (see Ruegg 1990), which might bring the date forward to the 8th century. This does not affect the authority of the $Tarkajv\bar{a}l\bar{a}$, which is an extraordinarily learned and encyclopedaic work.

¹ Q 5256, Vol. 96, dbu ma'i sñin po'i 'grel pa rtog ge 'bar ba, dbu ma, dza: ñan thos kyi de kho na ñid la 'jug pa, 192b2-6; D 3856, dbu ma, dza, 177a7-b2.

² I do not know of any texts which describe a group of 12000 Buddhas. The Sambuddhe verses, popular in South-east Asia, refer to the late Theravādin figure of 512,028 Buddhas as 28 + 12,000 + 500,000 (sambuddhe aṭṭhavīsañ ca dvādasañ ca sahassake | pañcasata sahassāni ...), but I suspect that the figures are so given for reasons of metre, since related prose texts group the numbers differently. See Peter Skilling, "A Note on the Sambuddhe Verses and Later Theravādin Buddhology", Journal of the Secretarial Office of His Holiness the Supreme Patriarch, I/2 (Jan.-Mar. 1993), pp. 73-85.

³ For an edition and translation of the verses, see P. Skilling, "A Citation from the *Buddhavaṃsa of the Abhayagiri School", JPTS XVIII (1993), pp. 165–75.

also briefly cites alternate *nidānas*, which he ascribes simply to "others" (*gżan dag*). Some of these alternate *nidānas* are identical or similar to the brief *nidānas* that open the lengthy stories for each verse or set of verses in the *Dhammapada Commentary*. Here I will give four examples from UvViv Chapter XX, *Krodhavarga* ("On Anger"), equivalent to Dhp Chapter XVII, *Kodhavagga*.¹

1) UvViv II 584-85, commenting on Uv XX,1:

The "official" (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin *nidāna* states that "a naked ascetic (*nirgrantha*), who rejoiced in non-attachment (*asaṅgarata*), asked the Lord ...". Prajñāvarman also gives an alternate *nidāna* (584,23):

gźan dag ni nya gro dha'i kun dga' ra ba na rgyal rigs kyi bu mo snar ma źes bya ba las brtsams pa'o źes zer ro ||

Others say that this was spoken at the Nigrodha Pleasance $(\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma)$, with reference to $(\bar{a}rabbha)$ a khattiya girl named Rohinī.

This agrees almost perfectly with Dhp-a III 295,3-5 on Dhp XVII,1:

imam dhammadesanam satthā nigrodhārāme viharanto rohinīnāma-khattiya-kaññam ārabbha kathesi.

The Teacher gave this instruction in the dhamma when he was staying at the Nigrodha Pleasance ($\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$), with reference to ($\bar{a}rabbha$) a khattiya girl named Rohinī.

2) UvViv II 596-98, commenting on Uv XX,16:

The official *nidāna* states that the verses were spoken at Śrāvastī at the time of dyeing robes, with reference to an old and avaricious monk. The alternate *nidāna* (597,10) states:

gźan dag ni mau dgal gyi bu chen pos źus pa las de'i dban du mdzad do żes zer ro ||

Others say that this was spoken with reference to a question put by Mahāmoggallāna.

This agrees with Dhp-a III 314,7-9 on Dhp XVII,4 (except that the UvViv does not give the location):

imam dhammadesanam satthā jetavane viharanto mahāmoggallānattherassa pañham ārabbha kathesi.

The Teacher gave this instruction in the dhamma when he was staying in the Jeta Grove, with reference to a question put by the Elder Mahāmoggallāna.

3) UvViv II 600-01, commenting on Uv XX,19:

Official *nidāna*: "A *brāhmaṇa* named *Asurāyana¹ abused the Lord with offensive language (*asabhyā vācā*). Therefore [the Lord] said ...". Alternate *nidāna* (600,8):

gźan dag ni u da ri żes bya ba'i dge bsñen ma'i khyim du bcom ldan 'das ñan thos kyi tshogs dan bcas pa bśos gsol pa byas na dge bsñen ma u da ri las brtsams te 'di gsuns so żes zer ro ||

¹ Ched du brjod pa'i tshoms kyi rnam par 'grel pa = UvViv. For ease of comparison I have given Pāli equivalents for Tibetan names or terms in the alternate nidānas. The material is drawn from my perpetually unfinished paper, "The Nidānas of the Udānavargavivarana; the Krodhavarga".

¹ The name is transliterated in Tibetan as A-su-ra-ya-na.

Others say: when the Lord, together with a group of disciples $(s\bar{a}vaka-gaṇ a)$, had been offered a meal at the home (geha) of the lay-woman $(up\bar{a}sik\bar{a})$ *Udari, he spoke this with reference to the lay woman *Udari.\(^1

Dhp-a III 302,5-7 on Dhp XVII,3:

imam dhammadesanam satthā veļuvane viharanto uttarāya gehe katabhattakicco uttaram upāsikam ārabbha kathesi.

The Teacher gave this instruction in the dhamma when he was staying in the Bamboo Grove, on having eaten at the home (geha) of Uttarā, with reference to the lay woman $(up\bar{a}sik\bar{a})$ Uttarā.

4) UvViv II 604,20, commenting on Uv XX,22:

a gra ta ba ka źes bya ba'i yul na dge slon żig khro ba byun yan tshig rtsub po mi brjod pa de las brtsams so żes gźan dag zer ro ||

Other say this was spoken in the land of *Agratavaka,² with reference to a certain monk who became angry, yet refrained from harsh words (*pārusya*).

Dhp-a III 299,13 on Dhp XVII,2 reads as follows:

imam dhammadesanam satthā aggāļave cetiye viharanto aññataram bhikkhum ārabbha kathesi.

The Teacher gave this instruction in the dhamma when he was staying at the Aggāļava Shrine, in connection with a certain monk.

The two nidānas bear some resemblance if one takes *Agratavaka to equal Aggāļava, which is by no means certain. The full Dhp-a story involves a tree-spirit who controls her anger, which initially arises towards a monk who has chopped down the tree in which she lives and accidentally wounded her child. The story does not agree with the UvViv nidāna, in which it is the monk himself who controls his anger.

It is noteworthy that formulas similar to the *imaṃ dhamma-desanaṃ satthā* ... (place) *viharanto* ... (name)-ārabbha kathesi, which comes at the head of the stories in the *Dhammapada-aṭṭhakathā*, are also used in the *Jātaka-aṭṭhakathā*. It may be that these brief *nidānas* are older than the following commentary: that they could have been shared by several schools of the Sthavira fold, but that the full stories would have differed in style, length, and detail. (Note that the stories of the Dhp-a are non-canonical. They are themselves a condensed Pāli translation of the old Sinhalese version, and a new Sinhalese version, much expanded, was produced by Dharmasena Thera in the 13th century.² The form and detail of narrative literature of this type, which was told and retold over the centuries, should not be taken too seriously as an indication of sectarian affiliation.)

The *Udānavarga-vivaraṇa* contains other material relevant to Pāli studies. Commenting on the second verse of the same chapter (UvViv II 585-86 on Uv XX,2), Prajñāvarman opens his "official nidāna" with a verse question spoken by a god (*lha žig gis gsol*

¹ Here too the name is transliterated: U-da-ri.

² The name is again transcribed: A-gra-ta-ba-ka.

¹ Some random examples are Ja I 123,11-12, 126,14-15, 136,10-11, 276,2-3, 364,2-3; II 248,5-6, 321,8-10.

² cf. Ranjini Obeyesekere (tr.), Jewels of the Doctrine, Albany, 1991, pp. x-xiii.

pa); the Buddha answers with *Udānavarga* XX,2. The only parallel to this verse noted by Bernhard is found in the *Nettipakaraṇa*, which also opens with the verse question; neither question nor answer is found elsewhere in the Pāli canon or in Buddhist literature.

UvViv 586,1 skyes ma thag tu ci zig span

ci žig skyes nas bzlog par bgyi brtan¹ pas ci žig rab tu spans gan žig rtogs na bde bar 'gyur

Uv XX.2

krodham jahed utpattitam rāgam jātam nivārayet avidyām prajahed dhīraḥ satyābhisamayāt sukham

UvT XX.2

skyes ma thag tu khro ba spons skyes nas 'dod chags span bar gyis brtan pas ma rig rab tu span bden pa mthon na bde bar 'gyur Nettipakaraṇa 145,25 kiṃ sū hane uppaṭitaṃ kiṃ su jātaṃ vinodaye kiñ c'assu pajahe dhīro kissābhisamayo sukho

Nettipakaraṇa 146,1 kodhaṃ hane uppaṭitaṃ rāgaṃ jātaṃ vinodaye avijjaṃ pajahe dhīro saccābhisamayo sukho

The agreement is very close. The only major variant occurs in line d of the answer: the *Nettipakaraṇa* and the Sanskrit *Udānavarga* agree on *saccābhisamaya* = *satyābhisamaya*; the Tibetan *Udānavarga* has instead *bden pa mthon* = *satyadarśana*. Prajñāvarman (UvViv 586,20) gives *sdug bsnal mthon ba* = *duḥkhadarśana* as the preferred

reading, but notes an alternate reading (586,26) bden pa rtogs na = satyābhisamaya. Bernhard notes a Sanskrit variant, duḥkhābhisamaya.

For Uv XX.1 (= Dhp XVII.1) Prajñāvarman (UvViv 584,18) gives as official nidāna a verse question in canonical style that is not found in the Pāli or other parallels. Other nidānas have Pāli counterparts. For Uv XX.3 (UvViv 587.1) he gives as official nidāna a question spoken by Śakra, and then the Uv verse as answer. Both question and answer are found in the Sakka-samyutta (S I 237,9-13) with Sakka as interlocutor, as well as in the Devatā (S I 41,15-20), Devaputta (S I 47,8-12), and Brāhmana (S I 161,3-8) Samyuttas, with various interlocutors. in the Nettipakarana (Nett 145.19), and in the Gandhari Dharmapada (XVII,15-16). The official nidāna to Uv XX,4-14 (UvViv 588-96) gives a parallel to the Vepacitti-sutta of the Sakka-samyutta (S I 220,33-222,19), including the prose introduction. The official alternate *nidāna* to Uv XX,13 (UvViv 596,5)1 may be related to the Asurindaka-sutta (S I 163-64), and the official nidāna to Uv XX,20-22 (UvViv 601,13) is similar to the Akkosaka-sutta (S I 161-63), both of the Brāhmanasamyutta.² The commentary also cites sūtras (cf. UvViv 587,20 with A I 200,4) and refers to jātakas (UvViv 592,15 [also 1021,27], Ma he'i skyes pa'i rabs = Mahisa-jātaka [Ja 278]; UvViv 593,10, Thams cad sbyin pa'i skyes pa'i rabs = Sarvamdada-jātaka [Ja 499, Sivi]). Balk's Tibetan

¹ Balk reads *bstan*; this should be corrected to *brtan* on the basis of UvT, UvViv 586,17, and the *dhīra* of Nett and Uv.

² The *Udānavarga de Subaši* (ed. H. Nakatani, Paris, 1987, p. 57) has satvābhisamavena.

¹ I describe this as an "official alternate" because Prajñāvarman does not ascribe it to "some" or "others", but simply states 'dir yan glen gźi gźan du brjod de, "here another nidāna is also given". It seems possible that he is referring to a different nidāna occurring in another place in the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin scriptures (just as in Pāli the same verse occurs in different places with different nidānas) rather than the nidāna of another school.

² The Akkosaka-sutta has parallels in the two Chinese Saṃyuktāgamas (Chizen Akanuma, The Comparative Catalogue of Chinese Āgamas and Pāli Nikāyas, Nagoya, 1929, p. 185), and in the The Sūtra in 42 Sections, traditionally held to be the first Buddhist text to have been translated into Chinese (see John Blofeld, The Sutra of 42 Sections and Two Other Scriptures of the Mahayana, rev. ed., London, 1977, §§ 7, 8).

and Sanskrit indexes of proper names (II 1056-81) are a useful tool for the study of some of the parallels.

My selection of the Krodhavarga for this study was quite arbitrary, but such research as I have done in other vargas of the UvViv has led me to conclude that Prajñavarman's presentation of nidanas for this varga may safely be taken as representative of the UvViv as a whole. In the Krodhavarga he gives no more than two nidanas — one official and one alternate — for a single verse. In other vargas he sometimes gives three nidanas. Commenting on Uv XIX,5 (UvViv II 577,13 foll.) he gives an official nidana, followed by an alternate attributed to "others" (gian dag = anye), followed in turn by an alternate attributed to "some" (kha cig = eke) which has a parallel at Dhp-a III 84,6 on Dhp X,10. At UvViv I 212,5 foll. on Uv III,9, Prajñavarman gives a nidana attributed to "some" (kha cig), which has a parallel at Dhp-a IV 36,3 on Dhp XXIV,1, followed by a nidana attributed to "others" (gian dag).

The alternate $nid\bar{a}nas$ with parallels in the Dhp-a agree so closely with the Pāli that they must go back to a common source. They are direct quotations, and they follow the same "spoken (at ...) with reference to $(brtsams\ pa=\bar{a}rabhya,\bar{a}rabbha)$..." pattern. Prajñāvarman, living in North India at an uncertain date, drew on a tradition strikingly similar to that of the Theravādins. Regrettably he does not name his source but simply attributes the alternates to "others" $(gtan\ dag=anye)$.

Who were these "others"? I cannot say: perhaps a branch of the "continental" Sthaviras rather than of the "insular" schools. But a Sthavira origin for the *nidānas* need not be taken for granted. While Prajñāvarman does give alternate *nidānas* for the four verses of the Pāli Kodhavagga common to the Uv Krodhavarga, only three of them agree with the corresponding Dhp-a *nidānas*. The remaining 10 verses of the

Pāli Kodhavagga have parallels not in the Krodhavarga but in the Sucarita-, Smṛti-, and Yuga-vargas of the Uv. An examination of Prajñāvarman's commentary on these vargas reveals that he does not give any alternate nidānas whatsoever for the verses in question. If Prajñāvarman was indeed relying on a Dharmapada closely related to the Pāli Dhp and on a commentarial tradition closely related to that of the Dhp-a and belonging to the broader Sthavira tradition, he was selective in his citation of alternate nidānas.

Another possibility is that the alternate nidānas are from a tradition related to the Gandhari Dharmapada. (The manuscript of the GDhp is incomplete; it does, however, contain a complete, untitled chapter, mostly dealing with krodha, which Brough has tentatively named Krodhavarga, and identified as the 17th chapter. The school of the text is unknown, although Brough has suggested the Dharmaguptakas or the Kāśyapīyas, both of which are held by some traditions to belong to the broader Sthavira fold.) Six out of the 16 verses of the "Krodhavarga" of the GDhp are common to the Uv; five (GDhp XVII.1.2.7.8.16) to the Krodhavarga of the Uv and one to the Prakīrnakavarga (GDhp XVII,11 = Uv XVI,23). Out of the five verses common to the Uv Krodhavarga, four (GDhp XVII,1,2,7,8) are those for which Prajñāvarman supplies alternate nidānas in the "spoken in connection with" (de las brstams so, ārabbha) form. While no such nidana is given for the fifth (GDhp XVII,16), in this case the GDhp itself contains the verse question (XVII,15) that constitutes the official nidāna of the UvViv (587,1). Prajñāvarman comments on the sixth verse (GDhp XVII,11) at Uv XVI,23 (UvViv I 530-32); here he gives two alternate nidānas. The first, in the "ārabbha" form, is related but not identical to Dhp-a III 113,3 on Dhp XI,5 (but the differences may arise

¹ The Pāli Kodhavagga is the 17th chapter of that work; out of the 5 verses of the GDhp "Krodhavarga" common to the Uv Krodhavarga four are also common to the Pāli Kodhavagga, the first two in the same order in GDhp and Dhp.

from the Tibetan translation rather than the original Indic); I have not traced a parallel to the second. Thus all six of the GDhp *Krodhavarga* verses common to the Uv are covered by the UvViv, under five alternate "ārabbha" and one official nidānas.

There does not seem to be any clear relationship between the alternate *nidānas* of the Uv Krodhavarga and the Patna Dhammapada.¹ This work, which is apparently complete, does not have a Krodhavarga; the four verses that it has in common with the Uv Krodhavarga are found in three different chapters, nos. XI, XIII, and XVI. As may be seen in Table 4, only two of the "ārabbha" nidānas have corresponding verses in the PDhp (XIII,22, XVI,15); two have no counterparts. Prajñāvarman introduces the third alternate nidāna (Uv XX,6, UvViv 590,33; PDhp XI,10) with 'dir glen gźi ni gźan dag 'di skad zer to, "here others give this nidāna". Since the verse in question does not occur at all

in either the Dhp or the GDhp, it is possible that the *nidāna* belongs to the tradition of the PDhp. The fourth (Uv XX,13 = PDhp XI,9) is that which I have described above as an "alternate official *nidāna*"; if, however, it may be shown to be the *nidāna* of another school, it may also be related to the PDhp, since the verse does not occur in the Dhp or GDhp.

These relationships are very tentative, since they are based on the study of only one of the 33 chapters of the Uv. Are they purely coincidental to the Krodhavarga, or do they pertain to the UvViv as a whole? It is important here that Prajñāvarman's methodology be determined. Under what circumstances does he cite alternate nidānas? Would he cite an alternate nidāna of another of school if he was aware that that school also had a canonical nidāna similar to his own official, Sarvastivadin nidāna? Why, if he was relying on a tradition related to that of the Dhp-a, does he sometimes cite an alternate nidāna with a parallel in that text, and sometimes not? Whether Prajñāvarman's methodology can be discerned and whether these questions can be satisfactorily answered will only emerge when a complete concordance of the alternate nidānas of the UvViv with all existing Dhamma-/Dharma-pada texts, including all Chinese versions, has been made.

While Prajñāvarman's alternate nidānas cannot be classified as Theravādin, they are an important source for the study of the Dhammapada-aṭṭhakathā. The examples presented here bring to light the fact that Prajñāvarman utilized several different sources for his nidānas, and suggest that he had access to three or more commentarial traditions: the tradition of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins (the "official" nidānas); a tradition strikingly similar to that embodied in the Dhp-a of the Mahāvihāravāsin Theravādins; and a tradition (or traditions) as yet unidentified shown when Prajñāvarman cites three nidānas.

¹ The PDhp may well belong to the Sammatīva school. Of the four main North Indian Buddhist schools recorded by Hsüan-tsang and others — Sthaviras. (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins, (Lokottaravādin) Mahāsāmghikas and Sāmmatīvas — the PDhp cannot belong to the first three, whose recensions are known in full or in part (Dhammapada, Udānavarga, citations in Mahāvastu, etc., respectively). The sole manuscript of the PDhp is in proto-Bengali characters. The presence of the Sammativas in the North-east up to the Pala-Sena period is attested in a number of sources. The existence of the four schools or orders (sde pa bźi, with only the Mahāsāmghikas specified) at Nālandā in the time of Devapāla is mentioned in passing by Abhayadattaśrī (Acharya Sempa Dorje, The Biography of Eighty-four Saints, Sarnath, 1979, p. 144,1-4); a Sanskrit document from Nepal notes that the (future siddha) Maitrīgupta ordained as a Sāmmatīva at Vikramapura (Sylvain Lévi, "Un nouveau document sur le bouddhisme de basse époque dans l'Inde", Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies VI (1931), p. 423 penult) in the first half of the 11th century (for the date, see Mark Tatz, "The Life of the Siddha-Philosopher Maitrigupta", Journal of the American Oriental Society 107/4 [Oct.-Dec. 1987], pp. 695-711). Daśabalaśrīmitra cited copiously from their scriptures in the 12th or 13th centuries, and Tāranātha refers to their active existence. The Prakritic language of the PDhp fits that ascribed to the Sāmmatīyas by Tibetan tradition (see Bu ston as discussed by Yuyama: reference in foll. note).

2.5. Sources for Theravadin tenets

One further source for Theravādin or Sthavira tenets is the vast corpus of śāstra and commentarial literature preserved in the Tibetan Tanjur. Both treatises and commentaries — on the Abhidharma or the Vinaya, or on Mahāyāna śāstras — make occasional brief references to the Sthaviras or related schools. Outside of the Tanjur, there are references to the Sthaviras in the works of Tibetan authors such as Bu ston and Tāranātha, and no doubt elsewhere in Tibetan literature. Here there is the problem — interesting in itself — of the name by which the Sthaviras or Theravādins are designated. In the following I will refer to a number of possible candidates.

2.5.1 The (Ārya) Sthaviras and their branches

The term gNas brtan = Sthavira is found in both early (Asanga in the 4th century) and late (Daśabalaśrīmitra in the 12th: above § 2.1, 2) sources.² In the 6th century, Bhavya cites the Ārya Sthavira Abhayagirivāsins (above § 2.3). From the 8th century on, Vinītadeva,³ the $Mah\bar{a}vyutpatti$,⁴ Subhūtighoṣa,⁵ and the anonymous $Sr\bar{a}manera$ -6

and Bhikṣu-varṣāgra-pṛcchās¹ accurately list the three branches of the Theravādins of Ceylon — Jetavanīyas, Abhayagirivāsins, Mahāvihāravāsins — as the three divisions of the Sthaviras (see Table 7.C).² I-ching also refers to three divisions of the Sthaviras, without naming them.³

2.5.2 Sthaviras, Tāmraśāṭīyas, Tāmraparņīyas, and Tāmravarņīyasa) Tāmraśāṭīyas and bhavanga-viññāna

The Sthaviras, or a branch thereof, were known as Gos dmar sde pa = Tāmraśāṭīya. In his Karmasiddhi-prakaraṇa, Vasubandhu notes that the Bhadanta Tāmraśāṭīyas propound a bhavānga-vijñāna;⁴ in his commentary thereon Sumatiśīla equates the Tāmraśāṭīyas with the Ārya Sthaviras.⁵ Asaṅga's Mahāyānasaṃgraha, in the Tibetan version translated ca. 800 A.C. by Jinamitra, Śīlendrabodhi, and Ye śes sde, cites

¹ See, for example, Akira Yuyama, "Bu-ston on the Languages Used by Indian Buddhists at the Schismatic Period", in Bechert 1980, pp. 175–81. There are numbers of references in Tāranātha's history (Antonius Schiefner, Tāranāthae de Doctrinae Buddhicae in India Propagatione, St. Petersburg, 1868; Debiprasad Chattopadhyaya (ed.), Tāranātha's History of Buddhism in India, [1970] Calcutta, 1980) and other works not yet properly studied.

² The earliness of Asanga's reference may be open to question, since it is not found in the Chinese translations, but only in the Tibetan of ca. 800 (see below). Note that "Sthaviravāda", a term employed by some scholars, is a modern translation from Pāli to Sanskrit: as far as I know it is not attested in any traditional works, which use simply (Ārya) Sthavira(-nikāya). The forms Āryasthāvira and Āryasthāvirīya-nikāya are known in Sanskrit (BHSD 1056).

³ Samayabhedoparacanacakrasya-nikāyabhedopadarśana-nāma-saṃgraha, Q 5641, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 187b6, 190a3.

⁴ Mvy 9095–98.

⁵ Sarvayānālokakara-vaibhāṣya-nāma, Q 5303, Vol. 102, dbu ma, ha, 417a2.

⁶ Q 5634, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 79a8; C, 'dul ba, su, 65a1.

¹ Q 5649, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 318a8. Although later Tibetan sources attribute this and the preceding to Padmasambhava (e.g. BA I 30–31), this is not stated in the colophons.

² The order given here is that of all sources except Mvy, which moves the Mahāvihāra from last to first. For a study of these (except Subhūtighoṣa) and related sources, Chinese as well as Tibetan, see Bareau 1955. The present study concentrates on sources not utilized by Bareau.

³ Bareau 1955, p. 24.

⁴ Étienne Lamotte, "Le Traité de l'Acte de Vasubandhu Karmasiddhiprakarana", Mélanges chinois et bouddhiques, Vol. 4, Brussels, July, 1936, § 35 (p. 199), btsun pa gos dmar sde pa rnams ni srid pa'i yan lag gi rnam par ses pa zes 'jog par byed do. On the basis of the Chinese (which is, however, corrupt: see p. 250, note 116) Lamotte translates "dans les sūtra du Tāmraparnīyanikāya …". See below for this term.

⁵ Q 5572, Vol. 114, sems tsam, ku, 105a6, btsun pa gos dmar sde pa rnams żes bya ba ni 'phags pa gnas brtan pa rnams te. For this and the preceding see also Ryoshun Kajihama (ed.), Karmasiddhiprakarana by Vasubandhu, Karmasiddhi Tīkā by Sumatišīla, Sarnath, 1988, pp. 150-51.

a verse of four lines on *bhavānga* from the "Agama of the Ārya Sthaviras" (the earlier Chinese translations do not give the citation):¹

srid pa'i yan lag lta ba dan || śes pa dan ni gtod pa dan ||
g'yo ba dan ni rtogs pa dan || bdun pa 'jug par byed pa yi ||

The verse seems to be a garbled version of one found in the Samyutta-atthakathā:²

bhavangam āvajjanā c' eva, dassanam sampaticchanam santiranam voṭṭhabbanam javanam bhavati sattamam.

The verse and the accompanying commentary in the *Upanibandhana* (here both Tibetan and Chinese, both difficult to decipher) are important because they prove that the *bhavānga* referred to functions in a process similar to the *citta-vīthi* of the Mahāvihāravāsins and is not simply a link in *pratītyasamutpāda*, a usage known to the Theravāda as well as to other schools.³ The *Upanibandhana* notes that

the concept is also found in the "Āgama of the Vibhajyavādins".¹ Similarly, the Vijñaptimātratā-siddhi (translated by Hsüan-tsang in 659 A.C.) attributes the bhavānga-vijñāna to the Sthaviras and Vibhajyavādins,² and an anonymous commentary on the Mahāyānasaṃgraha, the Vivṛtagūḍhārtha-piṇḍavyākhyā, ascribes it to the Sthaviras.³ To complicate matters, in his Pratītyasamutpāda-vyākhyā Vasubandhu refers the concept of bhavānga-vijñāna to the Bhadanta Mahīśāsakas (btsun pa sa ston pa),⁴ specifically to their *Abhidharma-

¹ Étienne Lamotte, La Somme du Grand Véhicule d'Asanga (Mahāyānasangraha), ([1938], Louvain-la-Neuve, 1973) Vol. I, Ch. 1 § 11.4, 'phags pa gnas brtan pa rnams kyi lun; Vol. II, pp. 28-29, "Notes et références", pp. 8*-10*.

² Spk III (PTS) 191, Mahāmakuṭarājavidyālaya 286,2-3. Since na / da and ba / pa are frequently indistinguishable in Tibetan, I have amended the $gton\ ba$ (ut-SRJ, TYAJ, $H\bar{A}$) of Lamotte's text to $gtod\ pa$, equivalent to BHUJ and also to $\bar{a}varjana$ (see Akira Hirakawa $et\ al.$, $Index\ to\ the\ Abhidharmakośabhāṣya$ ($Peking\ Edition$), Part III, Tokyo, 1978, p. 90b. This gives a sequence "bhavanga ($srid\ pa'i\ yan\ lag$), vision ($lta\ ba=dassana$), knowledge ($ses\ pa=sampaticchana$?), adverting ($ses\ pa=sampaticchana$), adverting ($ses\ pa=sampaticchana$), in the verse merits further study.

³ See L.S. Cousins, "The Paṭṭhāna and the Development of the Theravādin Abhidhamma", *JPTS* IX (1981), pp. 22–46; *EB* II/3, p. 402 ("Āvajjana"); III/1, pp. 17–20 ("Bhavanga"); O.H. de A. Wijeskera, "Canonical References to Bhavanga", in O.H. de A. Wijeskera (ed.), *Malalasekera Commemoration Volume*, Colombo, 1976, pp. 348–52.

¹ Q 5552, Vol. 113, sems tsam, li, 245b7, rnam par phye ste smra ba'i lun.

² KBC 614; Louis de La Vallée Poussin, Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi, La Siddhi de Hiuan-tsang, Vol. I, Paris, 1928, pp. 178–80 and notes; Wei Tat, Ch'eng Wei-Shih Lun, Doctrine of Mere-Consciousness, Hong Kong, 1973, p. 199.

³ Don gsan ba rnam par phye ba bsdus te bśad pa, Q 5553, Vol. 113, sems tsam, li, 390b7, gnas brtan pa rnams de kho na bźin du bstan nas srid pa'i yan lag gi rnam par śes pa ston pa lta bu ste. The work is incomplete; since a similar title appears in the Ldan dkar ma Catalogue (Lalou 1953, § 629, don gsan ba bsdus pa), the translation probably dates from about 800 A.C.

⁴ Q 5496, Vol. 104, mdo tshogs 'grel pa, chi, 24b5. (The sections containing this and the following passage are not among the preserved Sanskrit fragments published by Giuseppe Tucci, "A Fragment of the Pratītya-samutpāda-vyākhvā of Vasubandhu", Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, London, 1930, pp. 611-23. I use here the title given in the colophons to the Sanskrit manuscript rather than that given at the head of the Tibetan translation; see Tucci p. 612, note 1.) Commenting on this, Gunamati (end of bampo 5, chi, 152b7) refers to the "Abhidharma of one particular [sect]" (ñi tshe ba gżan gyi chos mnon pa las srid pa'i gnas byed par rab tu grags pa ston to). Ñi tshe ba (= phyogs re ba, thor bu, phran tshegs [Bod rgva tshig mdzod chen mo I 949b], in Sanskrit prādeśika. pratyeka, avāntara) gźan (antara, anya, anyatra, apara, etc.), means "one particular [sect]" in the sense that it is not a doctrine common to all schools. The term ñi tshe ba also occurs at Gunamati 153b6-154a1 in an interesting explanation of how the Word of the Buddha has become scattered ('phros) (commenting on Vasubandhu 25a3); at Gunamati 154b6, and at Vasubandhu 32b6, 64b4. Gunamati also refers to the Dharmaparvāva of the Bhadanta Mahīśāsakas (btsun pa sa ston pa'i chos kyi rnam grans) at 156b5. In the light of Guṇamati's references, Lambert Schmithausen's identification (in *Ālayavijñāna*: On the Origin and the Early Development of a Central Concept of Yogācāra Philosophy, Tokyo, 1987, Part II, note 69) of the Abhidharma-dharmaparyāya

dharmaparyāya (Chos mnon pa'i chos kyi rnam grans).¹ We may therefore conclude that the concept of bhavānga-vijnāna was held by the Tāmraśāṭīyas, Vibhajyavādins, Mahīśāsakas (in their *Abhidharma-dharmaparyāya), and by unspecified Sthaviras, and that it is equivalent to the bhavanga-viññāṇa well known in the literature of the Mahāvihāravāsins.

b) Tāmraśāṭīyas and the constituents of nāma

In his *Pratītyasamutpāda-vyākhyā*, Vasubandhu mentions the Tāmraśāṭīyas in connection with a *sūtra* citation on the constituents of *nāma*.² In his commentary thereon, Guṇamati repeats the name without comment.³ The constituents (*tshor ba*, 'du śes, sems pa, reg pa, yid la byed pa) agree with those listed in Pāli parallels (S II 3,34, M I 53,11, vedanā, saññā, cetanā, phassa, manasikāra); the definition in the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin parallels is different.⁴

c) Tāmraśāṭīyas in the Tarkajvālā of Bhavya

In his *Tarkajvālā* Bhavya refers to the Tāmraśāṭīyas in at least three different places. In Chapter 3 he cites the Sautrāntikas and Tāmraśāṭīyas (against the Vaibhāṣikas) on the nature of *nirvāna*.⁵ This

of the Mahīśāsakas with the Abhidharma-sūtra or Abhidharma-mahāyāna-sūtra of the Mahāyānasaṃgraha must be rejected.

does not suggest that the two schools were affiliated, but only that they agreed in this case. In Chapter 4 — in a section on the origins and affiliation of the "18 schools" that occurs also as an independent treatise — he ascribes to the Tāmraśāṭīyas a single tenet: "the person (pudgala) does not exist". As noted by Bareau (1955, p. 204), "ceci est aussi peu original que possible dans le Bouddhisme". Elsewhere in the same chapter Bhavya cites a verse of four lines spoken by Venerable Revata from the "Word of the Buddha of the Ārya Tāmraśāṭīyas". I have not been able to trace the verse in Pāli.

d) Tāmraśāṭīyas in the Madhyamakaratna-pradīpa of Bhavya In the Madhyamakaratna-pradīpa there is a passage virtually identical to that of the Tarkajvālā on the nature of nirvāṇa, with the same mention of the Tāmraśāṭīyas.³ The authorship of the work — translated according to the colophon by Dīpaṃkaraśrījñāna (Atīśa), brTson 'grus sen ge, and Tshul khrims rgyal mtshan at Somapurī Monastery [in Bengal] — is a subject of debate.⁴

do. The two lines of verse are found in the Mahāparinibbāna-sutta of both the Theravādins (D II 157,15, pajjotass' eva nibbānam vimokho cetaso ahū) and (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādins (Ernst Waldschmidt, Das Mahāparinirvāṇasūtra, [Berlin, 1950-51] Kyoto, 1986, § 44.11, pradyotasyeva nirvāṇam vimokṣas tasya cetasaḥ), and are cited by Vasubandhu in his Kośabhāṣya (I 327.3) on kārikā II,55d Here it is a question of interpretation rather than difference in the canonical text.

¹ Q 5496, 25b5.

² Q 5496, (bam po 3) 36a5.

³ Q 5497, Vol. 104, mdo tshogs 'grel pa, chi, 190b8.

⁴ Candrabhāl Tripāṭhī, Fünfundzwanzig Sūtras des Nidānasaṃyukta, Berlin, 1962, § 16.7; Śamathadeva, Upāyikā Ṭīkā, Q 5595, Vol. 118, mdzod 'grel, tu, 160b8; gold plate version in J.G. de Casparis, Selected Inscriptions from the 7th to the 9th Century A.D. (Prasasti Indonesia II), Bandung, 1956, pp. 109–10; Nālandā brick versions in EI XXI (1931–32), p. 198,11; and Chinese version of Hsüan-tsang in the latter, p. 203. These five define the constituents of nāma as "the four formless skandhas", which are then listed.

⁵ Iida 1980, p. 196, mdo sde pa dan gos dmar sde pa dag ni phun po med pa tsam ste | mar me ži bar gyur pa ltar || de'i sems ni rnam par thar || ces brjod

¹ Tarkajvālā 165b2, gos dmar ba rnams kyi dam tshig ni gan zag ni med do žes bya ba'o = Q 5640, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 182b5; tr. in Bareau 1956, p. 182.

² Tarkajvālā 193b7, 'phags pa gos dmar ba'i sans rgyas kyis gsuns pa las 'don par byed do.

³ Taipei ed. of the Derge *Tanjur*, Vol. 34, 3859 (= Tōhoku 3854) 267b5, gos dmar can gyi sde pa dag; tr. in Christian Lindtner, "Materials for the Study of Bhavya", in Eivind Kahrs (ed.), Kalyāṇamitrārāgaṇam: Essays in Honour of Nils Simonsson, Oslo, 1986, p. 189.

⁴ See Iida 1980, p. 19, and Ruegg 1990.

e) Tāmraśātīyas in the *Karatalaratna of Bhavya

Bhavya's *Karatalaratna is extant only in the Chinese translation of Hsüan-tsang of 649 A.C.; because of its importance I include it here. In this text he quotes the Tāmraśāṭīyas as maintaining that space (ākāśa) is chidra-rūpa, and hence conditioned (saṃskṛta), against such schools as the Vaibhāṣikas, who hold space to be unconditioned. The Theravādin rejection of space as unconditioned goes back to the Kathāvatthu (Kv 328-30, ākāsakathā).

f) Tāmraśāṭīyas, Tāmraparņīyas, and the "heart-basis"

In the Sanskrit Abhidharmakośa-vyākhyā, Yaśomitra refers to the Tāmra-parṇīyas twice: once in connection with the "heart-basis" (hṛdaya-vastu, Pāli hadaya-vasthu) and once in reference to the phrase "all schools" (sarvanikāyāntara). The Tibetan translation, however, reads Gos dmar ba'i sde pa = Tāmra-śāṭīya in both cases. With regard to the first point, Yaśomitra states:

tāmraparņīyā api hṛdayavastu manovijñānadhātor āśrayam kalpayanti, tac cārūpyadhātāv api vidyata iti varņayanti. ārūpyadhātāv api hi teṣām rūpam abhipretam, ārūpya iti ca īsadarthe ān āpingalavad iti.

The Tāmraparņīyas deem that the heart-basis is the support of the mind-consciousness-element, and explain that it exists even in the Formless Realm. They would have it that even in the Formless Realm there is form, taking the \bar{a} in $\bar{a}r\bar{u}pya$ to mean "a little", as in $\bar{a}pingala$, "slightly red, reddish".

The theory of the "heart-basis" is accepted by the Mahāvihāravāsins of Ceylon, and also by the Vimuttimagga where it is termed vatthu-rūpa. The Vijñaptimātratā-siddhi attributes a similar theory to the Sthaviras. It would therefore seem straightforward to interpret Tāmraparnīya as the residents of Tāmraparni, Pāli Tambapanni, or present-day Sri Lanka. However, while the Mahāvihāravāsins would agree with the definition of the hṛdaya-vastu as the basis or support of the mano-vijñāna-dhātu, they explicitly deny that it — or any type of form — exists in the Formless Realm. This denial goes back to the Kathāvatthu (Kv 8:8, pp. 378–80), where the statement atthi rūpam arūpesu, attributed by the commentary to the Andhakas, is refuted. At a later date, the Abhidhammattha-sangaha states the following:²

vatthūni nāma cakkhu sotam ghānam jivhā kāyo hadayavatthu ceti chabbidhāni bhavanti. tāni pana kāmaloke sabbāni pi

¹ Ta ch'eng chang chen lun, Taishō 1578, KBC 620; cf. Iida 1980, p. 18 for a bibliographical note, and Ruegg 1981, p. 63.

² Louis de La Vallée Poussin, "Le joyau dans la main", Mélanges chinois et bouddhiques 2 (1932–33), p. 111; N. Aiyaswami Sastri, "Karatalaratna", Visva-Bharati Annals II, Santiniketan, 1949, p. 73.1; see also English summary, p. 24. Both translators give Tāmraśāṭīya. I am grateful to Paul Harrison (letter of 29 December, 1992) for informing me that the reference is at Taishō 1578, 274b24: t'ung-hsieh-pu-shih, "the masters of the t'ung-hsieh school", with t'ung meaning copper or bronze, hsieh ore or ring. There is at least one complication, and the final interpretation of the term, in comparison with the two Chinese translations of the Karmasiddhiprakarana, must be left to those competent in the field.

³ Kośavyākhyā I 52,16 on kārikā I,17cd; IV p. 1204,16. cf. Louis de La Vallée Poussin, L'Abhidharmakośa de Vasubandhu, repr. Brussells, 1971, Vol. I, p. 32 note:Vol. V, p. 252, note 2.

⁴ Chos mnon pa'i mdzod kyi 'grel bśad, Q 5593, Vol. 116, mdo 'grel, cu, 41b4; Vol. 117, chu, 388a6. Hrdayavastu is rendered as snin gi dnos po. I have been unable to find the first reference in either Sthiramati's (Q 5875) or Pūrņavardhana's commentaries. The latter, in his Lakṣaṇānusāriṇī Ṭīkā, Q 5594, Vol. 118, ñu, 374a1 cites the Sa ston pa'i sde pa = Mahīśāsakas as an example in

the latter case. Note that here Tāmrašāṭīyas and Mahīšāsakas are simply examples of nikāyas, not equivalents.

¹ La Vallée Poussin I 281; Wei Tat p. 327.

² Thai script edition, Mahāmakuṭarājavidyālaya, Bangkok, 2516 [1973], p. 18,18-21; *JPTS* 1884, 14,23-26.

labbhanti, rūpaloke pana ghānādittayam n'atthi, arūpaloke pana sabbāni pi na samvijjanti.

There are six types of *vatthu*: the eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, and the heart-basis. All of them are found in the Sensual World; in the Form World the three starting with the nose do not occur, while in the Formless World none of them occur.

This, then, is the theory of the Mahāvihāra. Yasomitra is correct in referring the concept of hṛdaya-vastu to a school affiliated with the Sthaviras, whether the Tāmraparṇīyas of the Sanskrit = residents of Ceylon = Theravādins or the Tāmrasāṭīyas of the Tibetan. That he has made a mistake in stating that they hold it to exist in the Formless Realm is possible, but seems unlikely because his opponent's defence in terms of āpingala is given as a direct citation. Yasomitra may be referring to the Abhayagirivāsins, to the Jetavanīyas, or to a branch of the Sthaviras settled in Āndhradeśa, the "Andhakas" of the Kathāvatthu-aṭṭhakathā. For the present, we must leave the question open; further research, for example in the Pāli Ṭīkās, may throw more light on the matter.

g) Tāmravarnīyas and the definition of Akanittha

In his Abhisamayālaṃkāra-vṛtti, Ārya Vimuktisena (who himself was ordained as a Kaurukulla Ārya Sāmmatīya) gives a definition of the Akaniṣṭha Heaven according to the Bhadanta Tāmra-varṇīyas: utkṛṣṭasampattitvāt naiṣāṃ kaniṣṭha ity akaniṣṭhā iti bhadantatāmravarṇīyāḥ.¹ The reading is confirmed by the Tibetan, translated in the second half of the 11th century by Amaragomin and Blo ldan śes rab: btsun pa zaṅs mdog (zaṅs = tāmra, "copper"; mdog =

varņa, "colour").¹ The definition is virtually identical to that of the Abhidhammattha-vibhāvinī: ukkaṭṭhasampattikattā natthi etesaṃ kaniṭṭhabhāvo ti akaniṭṭhā,² and close to that of the Vibhaṅga-aṭṭhakathā (Nālandā 530,1 = PTS 521), sabbehi eva guṇehi ca bhavasampattiyā ca jeṭṭhā natthettha kaniṭṭhā ti akaniṭṭhā.³

h) Conclusions

The Sanskrit form Tāmraśātīva occurs in only one source: the Mahāvyutpatti, a Sanskrit-Tibetan translation manual compiled by a committee of Indian and Tibetan scholars at the beginning of the 9th century. The Tibetan equivalents Gos dmar (ba'i) sde (pa) or Gos dmar can gyi sde occur in 12 different works by 10 different authors, as listed in Table 6. Five of these are connected with Vasubandhu: nos. 1 and 3 are his own compositions, while nos, 2, 4, and 5 are commentaries on his works. The texts of this group were all translated in the "Early Period" (sna dar) of Tibetan Buddhism, ca. 800 A. C. Nos. 6 and 7 were translated in the same period; although the translators are not known, they are listed in the Ldan (or Lhan) kar ma catalogue.⁴ Nos. 8 to 12 were translated in the "Later Period" (phyi dar), in the first half of the 11th century; two of these (8, 9) are attributed to Bhavya. The 12 works were rendered into Tibetan by 5 known translation teams: in the Early Period Viśuddhasimha, (Devendraraksita), and dPal brtsegs (1, 2, 5); Surendrākaraprabha and Nam mkha' (3, 4); plus the unknown translators

¹ Pensa 1967, p. 30 ult. Vimuktisena's lineage is given in the Sanskrit colophon: see Pensa p. 1, note 1.

 $^{^{1}}$ Q 5185, Vol. 88, ser phyin, ka, 31a2. For the translators see Pensa p. 3 and Naudou 1968, pp. 165, 171 foll.

² Mahāmakuṭarājavidyālaya, Bangkok, 2516 [1973], 159,11.

³ cf. Yaśomitra's definition at Kośavyākhyā III,2, p. 382,26: tad utkṛṣṭatara-bhūmyantarābhāvān naite kaniṣṭhā ity akaniṣṭhāḥ jyeṣṭhabhūtatvāt. A similar defintion is given by Vīryaśrīdatta: N.H. Samtani (ed.), The Arthaviniścaya-sūtra & its Commentary (Nibandhana), Patna, 1971, p. 144,3; cf. also the several definitions in the Sārasamuccaya, Q 5598, Vol. 119, mnon pa'i bstan bcos, thu, 353a3-5; Arthaviniścaya-ṭīkā, Q 5852, Vol. 145, no mtshar, jo, 62b6-8; Lakṣaṇānusāriṇī, Q 5594, Vol. 117, mnon pa'i bstan bcos, ju, 303b5-7.

⁴ Lalou 1953, § 510, sde pa bco brgyad kyi min dan rim pa slob dpon 'dul ba'i lhas mdzad pa; § 503, dge slon ma'i so sor thar pa'i 'grel pa.

of 6 and 7; in the Later Period Atīśa, brTson 'grus sen ge, and Tshul khrims rgyal ba (8, 9, 10); Subhūtighoṣa and Tin ne 'dzin bzan po (10);¹ and Narayadeva (Nārāyaṇadeva ?) and rGyal ba'i śes rab (11).²

The known translation teams were all made up of skilled and experienced members, both Indian and Tibetan. It begs belief that they all misread Tāmraparnīya (no doubt in several different Indic scripts) as Tāmraśātīya; that they all read Tāmraparnīya but deliberately translated it as Tāmraśātīva; or that later editors systematically changed the (unattested) Tibetan *Lo ma dmar ba'i sde to Gos dmar ba'i sde. In one case, that of Subhūtighosa, the author translated his own work into Tibetan. In the case of the Śrāmanera-varsāgra-prcchā, we know that the translator, rGval ba'i ses rab, consulted two manuscripts: one which he himself had brought from Nepal, and one brought to Tibet by Dharmapāla, a monk from Eastern India who came to Western Tibet at the invitation of King Ye ses 'od.³ rGval ba'i ses rab studied under two Vinayadharas, Dharmapāla in Tibet and Pretākara in Nepal; he translated the Śrāmanera-varsāgra-prcchā at Tho lin in Gu ge with the guidance of Narayadeva in the time of Byan chub 'od. His translation of another text, the Śrāmanerakārikā, compared Sanskrit manuscripts from India, Kashmir, and Nepal.⁴ The skill and care of the translators leads to the conclusion that Tāmraśāṭīya must be accepted as the primary form of the great majority of available texts.

The term Tāmraśātīva was current from the time of Vasubandhu (4th or 5th century) to that of Bhavya (6th century) to that of Vinītadeva (8th century), with Vasubandhu's commentators Sumatisīla, Gunamati, and Yasomitra falling somewhere in between. The dates of the anonymous Śrāmanera- and Bhiksu-varsāgra-prechās are not known. The latest author, the 11th century Subhūtighosa, may well have simply reproduced earlier sources, although Tāranātha refers to the Tāmraśātīvas as existing at least through the Pāla period. The form Tāmravarnīya occurs only once in a work by Ārya Vimuktisena (6th century);² the Nepalese manuscript in which it occurs dates to about 1100.3 The same Sanskrit form is indirectly attested by the manuscript (presumably from Kashmir) of Vimuktisena's Vrtti employed by the Tibetan translators, since it must have also read Tamravarniya in order to give rise to the translation Zans mdog. That manuscript would date from the latter half of the 12th century; thus the form Tamravarniva is attested by two manuscripts of about the same period. It need not necessarily be taken as a scribal error for Tamraparniya, since the latter form is attested only in late Sanskrit manuscripts of the Kośavyākhyā from Nepal; as noted above, the Tibetan translation reads Gos dmar ba'i sde pa = Tāmraśāṭīya. No Tibetan equivalent of Tāmraparnīva (*Lo ma dmar ba'i sde?) is attested, and the Chinese equivalent in the Karmasiddhi-prakarana poses difficulties.⁴ The sole Chinese reference to the Tamraśatīyas that I know of is that in Bhavya's *Karatalaratna, rendered as such by both La Vallée Poussin and N. Aiyaswami Sastri. Perhaps further research into Chinese sources will clarify the question.

¹ For Subhūtighoṣa (who is described in the colophon, 425a5, as a *brāhmaṇa: bram ze'i btsun pa rab 'byor dbyańs*) see Ruegg 1981, p. 120, note 398; for Tin ne 'dzin bzan po see Naudou 1968, p. 161.

² The colophon in Q reads Narasadeva, in C Narayadeva; DTher I 55.2 (= BA I 31) has paṇḍi ta nā ra yā na de ba, while the verse at I 116.4 (BA I 86) has kha che'i mkhan po na ra ya de ba. For this figure, see Naudou 1968, p. 181.

³ DTher I 116.3, dge tshul gyi ni lo dri yan || rgya dpe bal po'i yul nas ni || spyan drans tho lin byon pa na || dharma pā la'i rgya dpe gzigs || kha che'i mkhan po na ra ya || de ba la ni de zus nas || bsgyur zin bsad pa dag kyan mdzad; BA I 86.

⁴ DTher I 115 ult., dge tshul rnams kyi kā ri kā || sa manta śrī jñā na la || źus śiń 'gyur yaṅ legs bcos nas || rgya gar kha che bal po yi || rgya dpe gsum daṅ bstan byas nas; BA I 86.

¹ Schiefner 208,13-18; Chattopadhyaya 341-42.

² Date from Ruegg 1981, p. 87.

³ Pensa 1967, Introduction, pp. 1–2.

⁴ See above, note 4 on p. 155.

What is the significance of Tāmraśāṭīya? The name means literally "Copper-clothed"; the standard Tibetan form of the Mahāvyutpatti interprets "copper" (tāmra) as "red" (dmar). Tāranātha includes the Tāmraśāṭīyas among the schools named after their founding Sthaviras,¹ and also gives the name *Tāmraśāṭa (Gos dmar ba) in a verse list of the "great bhaṭṭārakas".² His interpretation is supported by the fact that Vinītadeva's first verse list of schools refers to Gos dmar slob ma, "the disciples of *Tāmraśāṭa".³ It follows that Tāmraśāṭīya should be taken as "the followers of *Tāmraśāṭa"; since the latter seems a queer ordination name, it may have been a nickname.⁴ It appears that he was a teacher belonging to the Sthavira ordination lineage, who had sufficient

charisma to establish a following of his own. On the basis of Vasubandhu's references, he would have lived before the 5th century — but not much earlier since the name Tāmraśāṭīya is unknown to the earliest sources — somewhere in Northern India. *Tāmraśāṭa or his disciples may have composed a treatise incorporating certain tenets of the Sthavira lineage, and the treatise would have gained some celebrity, to be noted by such masters as Vasubandhu and Bhavya. The Tāmraśāṭīyas most probably did not have a distinct Vinaya or Sūtra collection.

(Whether his followers imitated the dress of their teacher — if such be the correct interpretation of his name — and adopted copper-coloured or red robes, and hence deserved the name Tāmraśātīya in their own right, cannot be said. Chinese sources give details of the different colours of robes adopted by different schools; while an equivalent of "copper" is not used and the Tāmraśātīyas are not mentioned, there are several which fall under the general category of "red" — and tāmra is not included in the list of colours forbidden for robes in Theravādin literature.²)

Neither Tāmraśāṭīya, Tāmraparṇīya, nor Tāmravarṇīya are mentioned in the earliest lists of the "18 schools", such as that of Vasumitra; nor was a sect of any of these names noted by the 7th century pilgrims Hsüan-tsang and I-ching (but note that the former mentions numbers of Sthaviras). No equivalents of Tāmraśāṭīya or Tāmravarṇīya as names of the Theravāda or any other sect are known in Pāli or in inscriptions from India or elsewhere. Tabapanaka occurs in a rail

¹ Schiefner 208,2, sa ston pa dan | chos bsrun ba dan | gos dmar ba rnams ni de dan de'i mtshan 'chan ba'i gnas brtan rnams kyi rjes 'bran yin; Chattopadhyaya 341.

² Schiefner 3,4, Chattopadhyaya 14 and note 82 thereto.

³ Q 5641, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 187b6.

⁴ A rather queer nickname as well, at least to be adopted as the name of a sect: it seems, after all, to have been the accepted protocol in Buddhist śāstra literature to refer to a school under its own name - Vaibhāṣika, Mahāsāmghika, Mahīśāsaka, etc. (The Vātsīputrīyas were in debate sometimes described as Pudgalavādins — a term they themselves did not accept — but when their opponents cited their views or texts they would generally refer to them as Vātsīputrīyas or Sāmmatīyas.) It is therefore natural to conclude that the Tārnraśāṭīyas described themselves as "Copper-robed", which is not altogether likely. Could the name derive from a later misunderstanding or corruption of a toponym? Tāmradvīpa usually meant Sinhaladvīpa, although (at a date later than most of our references) Tāmradīpa was also a name of Pagan in Burma. Tāmraparņī usually meant the same, but is also the name of a river in Tirunelvely District; Anuruddha is said to have lived in Tamrarattha in South India: cf. BHSD 251b, "Tāmradvīpa", "Tāmradvīpaka"; DPPN I 995, "Tambapaṇṇi"; D.C. Sircar, Studies in the Geography of Ancient and Medieval India, 2nd ed., Delhi, 1971, pp. 315-17. There are other toponyms employing Tamra: Tamralipti at the mouth of the Ganges, Tamrapattana in Arakan, Tamralinga in the central Malay peninsula, and so on: see R.C. Majumdar, Hindu Colonies in the Far East, 2nd. ed., Calcutta, [1963] 1973, pp. 234, 237. But it is unlikely that any of these are related to the name of our school, and hard to imagine them giving rise to Tāmraśātīya.

¹ See Lin Li-Kouang, L'Aide-Mémoire de la Vraie Loi (Saddharma-smṛṭyupasthāna-sūṭra), Paris, 1949, pp. 71-90, and Étienne Lamotte, Histoire du bouddhisme indien, des origines à l'ère Śaka, [1958] Louvain-la-Neuve, 1976, p. 593.

² See the article (in Thai) by Ven. Dhammānanda, *Agramahāpaṇḍitānusaraṇa*, Lampang, 2535 [1992], "Cīvara", pp. 172–76; *EB* IV/2, p. 184b ("Cīvara").

inscription from Bodh Gava, but probably not in the sense of adherent of a sect;1 the toponym Tambapamni occurs in the rock edicts of Aśoka.2 A 3rd century Prakrit inscription from Nagarjunakonda describes the meritorious deeds of a munificent lay woman (uvāsikā = upāsikā) named Bodhisiri. The main dedication is to the Tambapamnaka Theras (theriyanam tambapa[m]nakanam suparigahe), "converters" (pasadaka) of a number of countries, listed from Kasmira to Tambapamni-dīpa.3 Bodhisiri also erected a shrine for a bodhi tree in the "Sinhalese monastery" (sīhalavihāre bodhirukha-pāsāda), presumably in the same vicinity (EI XX, 22.25). Another Prakrit inscription from Nāgārjunakonda, dated to the middle of the 3rd century, records the installation of a stone slab bearing the image of the Buddha's footprints in the monastery (vihāra) of "the teachers, the Theras, the Vibhajjavādins (āchariyānam theriyānam vibhajavādānam) 'converters' (pasādaka) of Kasmira, Gamdhāra, Yavana, Vanavāsa, and Tambapamnidipa, the dwellers in the Great Monastery (mahāvihāravāsinam)".4 That Theriva here means Theras or Sthaviras in the sense of a sect rather than simply "elders" is suggested by the fact that contemporary Nagarjunakonda

dedications to other schools do not employ the term, but rather the phrase "masters of such-and-such a school": a-[or \bar{a} -] $cariy\bar{a}nam$, aparamahāvinaseliyānam, bahusutiyānam, and mahi[sā]sakānam.\frac{1}{2} If we take the two inscriptions — from two different sites — to refer to the same sect, we get the equation Tambapamṇaka Theras = Vibhajavādin Theras = Mahāvihāravāsins. Since the epithet Mahāvihāra was applied to numerous monasteries in India and abroad,\frac{2}{2} and occurs in other Nāgārjunakoṇḍa inscriptions\frac{3}{2} including Bodhisiri's inscription, it is not certain whether "Great Monastery" refers here to one at Nāgārjunakoṇḍa or that at Anurādhapura in Ceylon.

2.5.3. Sthaviras and Vibhajyavādins

We have seen above that the *Upanibandhana* on the *Mahāyānasaṃgraha* and the *Vijñaptimātratā-siddhi* ascribe the concept of *bhavānga* to the Sthaviras and Vibhajyavādins, and that the latter are described as Sthaviras in a Nāgārjunakoṇḍa inscription. In the *Abhidharmakośa*, the *Tarkajvālā*, and the *Abhidharmadīpa* the Vibhajyavādins are said to reject the fundamental tenet of the Sarvāstivāda, the existence of the dharmas of past, future, and present, and to hold that past karma which has borne fruit and the future do not exist while past karma that has not yet borne fruit and the present do exist. According to the *Kośa* and the *Tarkajvālā*, this is the origin of their name. In the *Kathāvatthu-aṭṭhakathā* (I 8) the theory that a part of both past and future exist is attributed to the Kassapikas. In his *Tarkajvālā* Bhavya cites a verse of four lines, spoken by Venerable

¹ EI X, 1909–10, Appendix, § 946.

² cf. Lamotte. *Histoire*, pp. 329–30.

³ EI XX, 1929-30, p. 22,13-15. Note that the term pasādaka in this and the following inscription has parallels, used in an identical context, in the pasādayi of the Dīpavaṃsa (Ch. VIII), pasādayuṃ of the Mahāvaṃsa (XII, 43), and the abhiprasādita of the Mahākarmavibhaṅga: Sylvain Lévi, Mahākarmavibhaṅga (La Grande Classification des Actes) et Karmavibhaṅgopadeśa (Discussion sur le Mahā Karmavibhaṅga), Paris, 1932, p. 61,11,12, etc). Similar lists of countries occur in the Mahākarmavibhaṅga, the Dīpavaṃsa (Ch. VIII), the Mahāvaṃsa (Ch. XII, vv. 1-8 and foll.), and the Thūpavaṃsa (Ch. 6).

⁴ EI XXXIII, 1959–60, pp. 247–50. For an overview of Nāgārjunakoṇḍa and its inscriptions, see Debala Mitra, Buddhist Monuments, Calcutta, [1971] 1980, pp. 204–10; P.R. Srinivasan and S. Sankaranarayanan, Inscriptions of the Ikshvāku Period, Hyderabad, 1979; and Elisabeth S. Rosen, "Buddhist architecture and lay patronage at Nāgārjunakoṇḍa", in Anna Libera Dallapiccola and Stephanie Zingel-Avé Lallement (edd.), The Stūpa: Its Religious, Historical, and Architectural Significance, Wiesbaden, 1980, pp. 112–26.

¹ EI XX, 1929-30, pp. 17, 19, 24; EI XXI, 1931-32, p. 62.

² See *Hōbōgirin* VI 679 foll. ("Daiji"). The term *mahāvihārīya* is regularly used in the "monastic sealings" of Northern India: see e.g. *EI* XXI, p. 72.

³ EI XX, p. 19, EI XXI, p. 66, the latter in connection with the Aparamahāvinaseliyas.

⁴ Kośabhāṣya III 805,10 ad kārikā V,25cd; Bhavya in Q 5640, Vol. 127, 178a2; Padmanabh S. Jaini, Abhidharmadīpa with Vibhāṣāprabhāvṛtti, Patna, 1977, p. 257,4.

Kāludāyin (tshe dan ldan pa nag po 'char ka) to the Buddha, from the "Word of the Buddha" of the Ārya Vibhajyavādins. Bareau (1955, p. 167) has noted that "la question des Vibhajyavādins est l'une des plus difficiles du problème général des sectes": I agree, and refer the reader to his study. The only point I wish to make is that in the sources studied here they are related to the Tāmraśāṭīyas and the Sthaviras through the bhavāṅga-vijñāna.

2.5.4. Sthaviras and Mahīśāsakas

A Mahīśāsaka theory of the "skandhas that endure throughout Saṃsāra" is cited in Yogācāra literature as a Śrāvakayāna parallel to the concept of ālayavijñāna.² The Vivṛtagūḍhārtha also refers to this theory.³ It is therefore related to the bhavāṅgavijñāna, and indeed, as seen above, Vasubandhu also ascribes the use of that term to this school, referring to their *Abhidharma-dharmaparyāya. In his Vyākhyāyukti, the same author notes that the Mahīśāsakas and others do not accept sūtras dealing with the "intermediate state" (antarā-bhava) as canonical; in his commentary thereon, Guṇamati states that "others" refers to "Dharmaguptakas, Kāśyapīyas, etc." The intermediate state is also rejected by the author of the Vimuttimagga and by the Mahāvihāravāsins; the Mahīśāsaka standpoint is confirmed by Vasumitra, Bhavya, and Vinītadeva (Bareau 1955, p. 184). In his Pratītyasamutpāda-vyākhyā, Vasubandhu cites the Bhadanta Mahīśāsakas on the relationship between the aṅga of pratītyasamutpāda and the three times; their interpretation

should be compared with that of the Theravādins. Bhavya cites 12 lines of verse, spoken by Venerable Subhūti (tshe dan ldan pa rab 'byor), from the "*Aṣṭavargīya of the Ārya Mahīśāsakas". The sect is referred to in an inscription from Nāgārjunakoṇḍa (see above), and its presence is attested in Ceylon. It thus seems to have lived side-by-side with the Sthaviras in at least Andhra and Lanka.

2.5.5. Sthaviras, Kāśyapīyas, and Dharmaguptakas

As seen above, Gunamati states that the Kāśyapīyas reject the theory of the "intermediate state". Vasubandhu gives brief citations from a sūtra of the school in his Abhidharmakośa, and from a sūtra and "a text (grantha) of the Bhadanta Kāśyapīyas" in his Vyākhyāyukti. Bhavya cites 16 lines of verse spoken by Ārya Śāriputra ('phags pa śāri'i bu) to the Buddha from the "*Pāramitā-mārga of the Ārya Kāśyapīyas". The sect is referred to as Kaśaviana in Kharosthī inscriptions from Northwestern India.

According to Guṇamati, the Dharmaguptakas rejected the theory of the "intermediate state". According to Yaśomitra and Pūrṇavardhana they asserted "insight [into the four truths] in a single moment" $(ek\bar{a}bhisamaya)$, as did the author of the Vimuttimagga, the Mahāvihāravāsins, the Mahīśāsakas, the Kāśyapīyas (and also the

¹ Tarkajvālā, 194a6-7, 'phags pa rnam par phye ste smra ba rnams kyi sans rgyas kyis gsuns pa.

² cf. La Vallée Poussin, La Siddhi de Hiuan-tsang I 180, and Lamotte, La Somme du Grande Véhicule II, pp. 27–28, "Notes et références", pp. 7*–8*.

³ Q 5553, Vol. 113, sems tsam, li, 383a3, b2.

⁴ O 5562, Vol. 113, sems tsam, si, 124a8, sa ston pa'i sde la sogs pa.

⁵ Q 5570, Vol. 114, sems tsam, i, 153a2, [sa] ston pa'i sde dan, chos srun gi (!) sde dan, 'od sruns gi sde la sogs pa'o.

⁶ Q 5496, Vol. 104, 6528; cf. Gunamati 271b3.

¹ Tarkajvālā 194a3–6, 'phags pa sa ston pa rnams kyi tshoms brgyad pa.

² Bareau 1955, p. 181.

³ Kośabhāsya III 941,5, ad kārikā VI,34ab.

⁴ VyY 32a7, 'od sruns pa'i sde pa dag gi mdo las; 54b6, btsun pa 'od sruns pa'i gźun las

⁵ Tarkajvālā 193b3-7, 'phags pa 'od sruns pa'i pha rol tu phyin pa'i lam.

⁶ Sten Konow, Kharosthī Inscriptions, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. II, pt. 1, repr. New Delhi, 1991, pp. 63, 87–89, 121–22; BÉFEO 1984, pp. 33 foll.

⁷ Kośavyākhyā III 925,13 ad kārikā VI,27ab; Pūrņavardhana, Q 5597, Vol. 119, mnon pa'i bstan bcos, thu, 305b1, 'phags pa chos srun ba'i sde pa la sogs pa.

Mahāsāṃghika schools, all against the Sarvāstivādins, who asserted "insight in stages", anupūrvābhisamaya). Bhavya cites 16 lines of verse, spoken by the Buddha on his prediction by Dīpaṃkara, from the "*Dharmapada of the Ārya Dharmaguptakas". The verses are not found in the Theravādin Dharmapada or, as far as I know, in any other Dharmapada.

2.5.6. Sources for Theravadin tenets: conclusions

The conclusions for this section are rather inconclusive: much more work remains to be done. That there was a close relationship between the Tāmraśāṭīyas and the Sthaviras is certain. The equation is given by Sumatiśīla, and confirmed by the ascription of the bhavāngavijñāna to the Sthaviras in the Mahāyānasamgraha, its Upanibandhana, and the Siddhi of Hsüan-tsang and by the ascription of the hṛdayavastu to the Tāmraśāṭīyas in the (Tibetan) Kośavyākhyā and of a similar tenet to the Sthaviras in the Siddhi. These two tenets preclude a connection with the Sarvāstivādins, as suggested by Vinītadeva and others, or with the Sāmmatīyas as given in the Śrāmaṇera- and Bhikṣu-varṣāgraprcchās (see Table 7 and below). The other theories or tenets attributed to the Tāmraśāṭīyas also seem compatible with those of the Theravādins of Ceylon.

The Mahīśāsakas and Vibhajyavādins are also cited in connection with the *bhavānga*, and the Tāmraśāṭīyas and Sthaviras in connection with the heart-basis. Bareau and others have shown that the *Vinayas* of the Mahīśāsakas and Dharmaguptakas are affiliated with the *Vinaya* of the Theravādins.² It is likely that doctrines such as *hrdaya*-

vastu and bhavānga developed in embryo among the monks of the early Sthavira lineage in India, and that they were refined over the centuries in the branches of that school such as the Theravādins of Ceylon and the Tāmraśāṭīyas and Mahīśāsakas of India, leading to differences of interpretation. It is clear, and only natural, that each branch underwent its own separate development: the texts of the individual schools cited by Bhavya in his $Tarkajvāl\bar{a}$ seem to have no parallels in Theravādin literature, and the Mahīśāsakas and Dharmaguptakas held views that were rejected by the Theravādins, as, for example, on the nature of the unconditioned (asaṃskṛta).

But periodic contact between the various schools could have brought with it "contamination". The conservatism of the Thera tradition of Ceylon is often overrated. The hadaya-vatthu (not listed in the Dhammasangani) and the developed bhavanga theory (along with the Theravādin khanikavāda) appear only with Buddhaghosa. The great ācariya was an Indian monk who almost certainly selectively introduced new material from the tenets of the Indian Sthavira schools: he was not only a codifier but also an innovator, but the latter aspect of his career is too frequently ignored.

The Tāmraśāṭīyas, Mahīśāsakas, and Dharmaguptakas may be taken as branches of the Sthavira school.² But what Sthaviras? We cannot, on the basis of the evidence, identify them with the Sthaviras of Ceylon. "Sthavira" as used by Sumatiśīla, Asaṅga, and Hsüan-tsang may well refer to the broader Vinaya lineage of the Tāmraśāṭīyas: that is, they

¹ Tarkajvālā 194a7-b2, 'phags pa chos sbas pa rnams kyi chos kyi rkan pa. See 198a3-7 for another citation.

² For the language of the *Vinaya* of the Mahīśāsakas, see J.W. de Jong, "Fa-hsien and Buddhist Texts in Ceylon", *JPTS* IX, 1981, pp. 105–15. Note that there is no

evidence to suggest that either the Tāmraśāṭīyas or Vibhajyavādins were Vinaya schools.

¹ cf. Bareau 1955, p. 185, and Kośavyākhyā II 452 ad kārikā III,28.

² I do not think the Mahīśāsakas alone can be taken as "the continental counterpart" of the Theravādins (Bareau 1955, p. 183): it is simplistic to expect the Sthaviras, spread out over a wide area in different countries and conditions, to have sprung only a single branch.

were not *the* Sthaviras but rather one of several schools of the Sthavira fold in India, along with at least the Mahīśāsakas and the Vibhajyavādins. (Note that the Theravādins of Ceylon do not portray themselves as part of a "Greater Sthavira School" of Jambudvīpa, about which they are silent, but rather as the sole repositories of the pristine Sthavira lineage.)

The affiliation of the Sthaviras, Tāmraśātīyas, Vibhajyavādins, Mahīśāsakas, Kāśyapīyas, and Dharmaguptakas suggested by the sources cited above does not, however, agree with that given in the later treatises on the "18 schools". The closest parallel is given by Bhavya, who reports a tradition that places the Tamrasativas, Mahisasakas, Kāśyapīyas, and Dharmaguptakas under the Vibhajyavādins (Table 7.B). According to this tradition the Vibhaiyavadins are distinct from the Sthaviras. Vinītadeva, Subhūtighosa, and the anonymous Ārvasarvāstivādi-mūla-bhiksunī-prātimoksa-sūtra-vrtti count the Tāmraśātīyas as number 6 of the seven branches of the Sarvāstivādins (along with, let us note, the Mahīśāsakas, Kāśyapīyas, Dharmaguptakas, and Vibhajyavādins of Bhavya's list).² This list must originate with the Sarvāstivādins, to whom it gives prominence. A Sarvāstivādin affiliation for these schools can be rejected since it is contradicted by both other, earlier, sources on the "18 schools" and by the doctrines ascribed to them; the point of interest is that the group is kept together. In the Śrāmaņera- and Bhikṣu-varṣāgrapṛcchās, the Tāmraśāṭīyas are grouped under Sāmmatīya; this too is clearly wrong since it goes against all other sources.

Does this mean that the schools themselves forgot their own Vinaya lineage, or that the mistakes are due to writers of other schools? Both are possible, but the latter seems more likely, since most of the writers clearly belonged to the Sarvāstivādin or other lineages, but certainly not to the schools in question. Further, some schools may been extinct by the time of the later reports, and some of them always had a limited geographical presence. That the Sāmmatīyas had a clear idea of their own lineage is clear from a citation of Daśabalaśrīmitra.²

Other names must be considered in the quest for Sthavira tenets. Bhavya notes that the Sthaviras "are also called Haimavatas, because they dwell in the Himālayas"; Vinītadeva identifies the Tāmraśāṭīyas with another obscure school, the Saṃkrāntivādins. Tāranātha and other Tibetan writers refer to "Sendhapa Śrāvakas" and "Singhala Śrāvakas". If derived from Saindhava, the former may refer to Sāmmatīyas who took refuge in Magadha when Sindh fell to the Arabs; but the usage is not always clear, and at times Sendhapa and Singhala seem to be interchangeable.

¹ Q 5640, u, 179a4; Tarkajvālā 162b5; Bareau 1956, 171-72.

² 'Phags pa thams cad yod par smra ba'i rtsa ba'i dge slon ma'i so sor thar pa'i mdo'i 'grel pa, Q 5614, Vol. 122, mdo 'grel, tshu, 3b8 foll. The Sanskrit title is so presented in the Peking edition. The commentary might be based on a Sarvāstivādin version of the Bhikṣuṇī-prātimokṣa since it describes the Sarvāstivādins as the "root" (rtsa ba = mūla) but the Mūlasarvāstivādins as the first of the "seven branches" (yan lag = $\dot{s}\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$)(3b8); the author must, however, have been a Mahāyānist since he or she cites $s\bar{u}tras$ of that "school" in the form of excerpts from the $S\bar{u}tra-samuccaya$. An interesting feature of the work is that it mentions 22 schools (3b7), referring the reader to the $\dot{s}\bar{a}stras$ of Ācāryas Vasumitra (dByig gi b\u00e9s g\u00e3\u00e3n) and Vin\u00e3tadeva (Dul ba'i lha) for details (4a5). The latter reference dates the work to the 8th century.

¹ Q 5634, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 79a7; Q 5649, Vol. 127, 'dul ba'i 'grel pa, u, 318a7.

² See Peter Skilling, "History and Tenets of the Sāmmatīya School", "Linhson"— Publication d'études bouddhologiques, No. 19 (June-Sept. 1982), pp. 38-52.

³ Q 5640, 177b8; Tarkajvālā 161b6, de ñid la gans kyi ri pa žes kyan zer te | gans kyi ri la brten nas gnas pa'i phyir ro. For this school, see Bareau 1955, pp. 111-13.

⁴Q 5640, u, 189b8, 'pho ba smra ba de dag ni gos dmar gyi sde pa ñid do; Bareau 1956, pp. 192, 196-99. For this school, see Bareau 1955, Ch. XXII.

⁵ For a different interpretation of Sendhapa, based on the variant Pendapa, see Ruegg 1992, pp. 267–68, and accompanying notes.

In some cases the school may not be named at all, but simply described as "some" or "others". In his Vyākhyāyukti,¹ for example, Vasubandhu, analyses the phrase su-artham su-vyañjanam, the standard form met with in Sanskrit texts. He notes also that "some read *sa-artham sa-vyañjanam" (kha cig ni don dan ldan pa dan | tshig 'bru dan ldan pa żes 'don te); this agrees with the standard Pāli form sa-attham sa-byañjanam (M I 179,7, etc.). Unfortunately neither Vasubandhu nor his commentator Guṇamati says who these "some" are; they may be Theravādins, but they may also be members of one of the several Buddhist schools whose scriptures have not come down to us. At any rate, the reference shows that the reading preferred by the Theravādins was known to Vasubandhu.

3. Modern translations of Theravadin texts

3.1. The Dhammapada and Gedun Chomphel

The Dhammapada was translated from Pāli into Tibetan by the modern scholar Gedun Chomphel (dGe 'dun Chos 'phel, 1905–51)² under the title Chos kyi tshigs su bcad pa (= Dhamma-gāthā, gāthā being one of the meanings of pada). The first edition has a 3-page preface by George Roerich dated Kulu, 1944. Roerich notes that "the translator is not only a Tibetan scholar of eminence, but a distinguished poet as well, and his translation combines scholarly exactitude with a high literary value". The translation gives brief nidānas extracted from the Dhp-a (of the type discussed in § 2.4 above) at the head of the verses. It has been reprinted several times, and has firmly established itself in the

wide world of *Dhamma-/Dharmapada* literature.¹ The colophon describes the text as "the *Dhammapada*, the second section of the *Khuddaka-sutta*, from the *Suttanta-piṭaka* of the Ariya Theras". It states that the translation was done with the assistance of *Mahānayakathera Dharmānanda at the monastery of dPal Ral gri'i ri bo of Sinhala.² According to an editorial note in *BSR* 6/2, p. 193, Dharmānanda was Lunupokune Sri Dhammananda Nayaka Thera, Principal of the Vidyalankara Pirivena, Kelaniya, where Gedun Chomphel studied during 1939–40.³ Bhikkhu Pāsādika suggests Khagga-giri/-pabbata as the Pāli equivalent of Ral gri'i ri bo ("Sword Mountain"); another possibility is Asigiri. The colophon of the 1964 Maha Bodhi Society (Sarnath) edition (p. 156) states that that edition was published at the behest of Saṃgharatna Bhikkhu, head of the Mahābodhi [Society] in Vārāṇasī.⁴

¹ VyY, Q 5562, sems tsam, si, 33a1.

² See Heather Stoddard's biography, Le mendiant de l'Amdo, Paris, 1985; according to the authoress (oral communication, August 1992) a revised and expanded English translation is forthcoming. See also D. Snellgrove & Hugh Richardson, A Cultural History of Tibet, Boulder, 1980, p. 245, and Heather Karmay, "dGe-'dun Chos-'phel: The Two Latest Versions of his Life Story", The Tibet Journal X/1, (Dharamsala, Spring 1985), pp. 44-48.

¹ The title pages and preface of the first edition (Anagarika Dharmapala Trust Publication Series No. 2, Sikkim Durbar Press, Gangtok, 1946) have been reprinted in facsimile with reset text in Dge 'dun chos 'phel gyi gsun rtsom (A Collection of Miscellaneous Writings by Ven. Gedun Chophel), Vol. II, New Delhi, 1991. Chomphel's version was reprinted with Tibetan text and English translation by Dharma Publishing (Dhammapada, Berkeley, 1985), reviewed in BSR 6/2 (1989) by Bhikkhu Pāsādika (pp. 186–88) and Phra Khantipālo (pp. 191–93). Russell Webb, "The Dhammapada — East and West", BSR 6/2 (1989), p. 168 lists reprints in New Delhi 1976 and — as an appendix to The Nyingma Edition of the sDe dge bKa' 'gyur and bsTan 'gyur — Berkeley 1980.

² 'Phags pa gnas brtan pa rnams kyi mdo sde'i sde snod du bsdus pa las | phran tshegs kyi mdo phran gñis pa chos kyi tshigs su bcad pa zes bya ba rdzogs so || || 'dren pa chen po gnas brtan dha rmā nanda'i zabs drun du zus te | singa la dpal ral gri'i ri bo'i dgon par dge 'dun chos 'phel gyis bsgyur ba rdzogs so || || .

³ A brief account of Gedun Chomphel's stay in Ceylon is given (in Tibetan) in the biography Ses rab rgya mtshos bris pa'i dge 'dun chos 'phel gyi rnam thar, in Dge 'dun chos 'phel gyi gsun rtsom, Vol. II, p. 369.

⁴ Va ra ṇā si ma hā bo dhi'i dbu 'dzin dge slon san gha ratna'i bka' mnags bźin du | sog po chos rje bla mas lcags 'phrul tu par bskrun pa'o. This is followed by a brief note on the Mahābodhi Society in India and at Sarnath (pp. 157-58).

The Dhammapada translation was by no means Gedun Chomphel's sole contribution to the study of the Theravada in Tibetan. His great work on Madhyamaka, Ornament of the Significance of Nāgāriuna's Thought, mentions Bhadanta Buddhaghosa side-by-side with Asanga and other Buddhist masters; this may well be the first reference to Buddhaghosa in the philosophical literature of Tibet. 1 His lengthy "History of Sinhala" - recently made available in printed form - gives a long account of Ceylonese history from the beginning up to modern times. The author describes Ceylonese monasteries and monastic regulations; he gives a breakdown of the Pāli canon, and discusses the commentaries, the works of Buddhaghosa such as the Visuddhimagga (rNam par dag pa'i lam), and so on. (Note that the names of the seven books of the Abhidhammma, for which see Table 5, are correctly rendered.) In what must be the first mention of Pāli (the word is transcribed, not translated) in Tibetan, he discusses that language and the Theravadin belief that it is the language of the Buddha. He discusses the duration of the dhamma and the Theravadin calculation of the nirvana era, comparing it with that introduced to Tibet by Kha che pan chen.² Stoddard (p. 182) mentions that during his stay [in Ceylon] he translated the Vinava into Tibetan and sent it to a friend in Tibet, explaining the difference between [the Theravādin and Mūlasarvāstivādin Vinayas].³ As far as I know this work has not come to light; if he did indeed translate the entire Vinaya, this would be an extraordinary feat — but Gedun Chomphel was an extraordinary man.

3.2. Abhidhammatthasangaha of Aniruddha

The Abhidhammatthasangaha of Aniruddha, a popular manual of the Abhidhamma, has recently been translated into Tibetan by Acharya Sempa Dorjee (Sems dpa' rdo rje), accompanied by his own commentary entitled Abhidhammakaumudini. Volume I, containing the first five chapters in 705 pages, with Hindi translation, has already been published as Vol. VIII of the Dalai Lama Tibeto-Indological Series by the Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies (Sarnath, 1988); Volume II, containing chapters six to ten, is forthcoming from the same publisher. The introduction describes the seven books of the Theravādin Abhidhamma, and the commentary explains the Theravādin system in detail, with frequent references to Pāli sources. Sempa Dorjee's scholarly work is the first extensive description of the Pāli Abhidhamma in the Tibetan language.

4. Tibet and Ceylon

Other material relevant to the history and Buddhism of Ceylon is available in Tibetan sources. Ānandaśrī was not the only Ceylonese to have played a role in the literary and religious history of the Land of Snows (though he may have been the only one to introduce Theravādin texts). The *Tanjur* preserves translations of a commentary on the *Cakrasaṃvara-tantra* and three related ritual texts composed by a Ceylonese monk named Jayabhadra.² In the 11th century, a Yoginī from Sinhaladvīpa named Candramālā collaborated on the translation of several

¹ Dbu ma'i zab gnad sñin por dril ba'i legs bsad klu sgrub dgons rgyan (Kalimpong blockprint, 1951) (modern page) 12.4, btsun pa sans rgyas dbyans: I am grateful to Donald Lopez (Ann Arbor) for this reference and for a copy of the relevant passage.

² Dge 'dun chos 'phel gyi gsun rtsom, Vol. I, Ch. 14, singala'i lo rgyus skor, pp. 427-500.

³ Stoddard, p. 182: "Pendant son séjour, Gedun Ch'omp'el fit une traduction tibétaine du *vinaya* cinghalais et l'envoya à un ami au Tibet, lui explicant la différence entre les deux systèmes".

¹ Slob dpon ma 'gags pas mdzad pa'i chos mnon bsdus pa | chos mnon bsdus pa bsdus te bśad pa'i 'grel pa chos mnon kund 'dzum pa'i zla zer żes bya bas brgyan pa bżugs so.

² P. Cordier, Catalogue du fonds tibétain de la Bibliothèque Nationale, Part II, Paris, 1909, §§ VII,2, XIII,22,23,33. The Sanskrit of what is presumably the first is preserved in Nepal: see Pratapaditya Pal and Julia Meech-Pekarik, Buddhist Book Illuminations, Hong Kong, 1988, p. 35.

Tantras with bhiksu Śākya Ye śes ('Brog mi). 1 'Brog mi, a teacher of the first Sa skya Lama Sa chen Kun dga' sñin po (1092-1158), was a key figure in the early Sa skya pa lineage; perhaps more information about Candramālā may be found in the texts of that school. An elusive "Arhat of Simhaladvīpa" figures in the biography of the Kashmiri pandita Śākyaśrībhadra (1140s-1226).² In the second half of the 15th century, a Cevlonese named Chos kvi ñin byed (Dharmadivākara?) travelled to Tibet, where he travelled and taught extensively. He is held to be a predecessor in the incarnation lineage of the first Jetsundampa of Mongolia. In his homeland he had a vision at "the mountain with the footprint of the Buddha" (Śrīpāda), foretelling his visit to the Mountain of Five Peaks (Wu tai shan) in China. He travelled to Magadha and Vairāsana, then on to China, where he met some merchants who invited him to Tibet. After a year at sTag lun he travelled to Lhasa and to Western Tibet and Nepal, where he disrobed to become a Tantric yogi. He died on his way back to Ceylon.³

The travelogues of peripatetic yogis contain information about Ceylon (and also India and South-east Asia). 'Gos lo tsa ba's biography of the Chittagongi monk Vanaratna (born 1384) describes his visit to Ceylon — where he spent six years (from about 1404–10) — and to Śrīpāda.⁴ Tāranātha's biography of Buddhagupta of South India contains an account of that yogi's visit to Ceylon, where he spent five years in the late 16th century, and his pilgrimage to Śrīpāda.⁵ Further references to

Ceylon may be found in such works as Tāranātha's history of the Tārā Tantra¹ or his Seven Instruction Lineages,² in the biography of Dharmasvāmin,³ the biographies of the Eighty-four Siddhas, or in other works not yet examined. A brief but somewhat more modern account of the island was offered by 'Jigs med glin pa in 1788.⁴

Conclusions

The Theravādin texts in Tibetan translation are the work of four known translation teams: Vidyākaraprabha and dPal brtsegs near Lhasa, ca. 800 (1.15); Dīpaṃkaraśrījñāna (Atīśa) and Tshul khrims rgyal ba at Lhasa in the first half of the 11th century (2.3); Ānandaśrī and Ñi ma rgyal mtshan dPal bzaṅ po at Thar pa glin (1.1–13), and Ānandaśrī and Kun dga' rgyal mtshan Thub bstan dpal bzaṅ po at Sa skya, both in the first decade of the 14th century. The translators of 2.1–2 are not known.

Our knowledge of the development of Buddhism in India suffers heavily from a (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin-Vaibhāṣika bias, since most of the surviving sources for the subject — whether of the Śrāvakayāna or the Mahāyāna, whether in Sanskrit, Chinese, Tibetan, or Central Asian

 $^{^1}$ Stog § 356, sin ga glin gi rnal 'byor ma candramāle; see also §§ 354, 355 (addenda p. 318), 358, 365, 367.

² See Ruegg 1992, p. 267 for references.

³ Collected Works of Jaya-Pandita Blo-bzan-hphrin-las, Vol. 4, New Delhi, 1981, na, 50a5-51b2.

⁴ Mkhas pa chen po dpal nags kyi rin chen gyi rnam thar, recently reprinted from a Bhutanese Ms. See DTher II 933, BA II 797-801.

⁵ Grub chen buddha gupta'i rnam thar: cf. Giuseppe Tucci, "The Sea and Land Travels of a Buddhist Sādhu in the Sixteenth Century", Indian Historical

Quarterly VII/4, (December, 1931), pp. 683–702; a complete translation is forthcoming from David Templeman (Australia). Śrīpāda was an important pilgrimage centre in the ancient Buddhist world. For an account of a visit by Vajrabodhi at the beginning of the 8th century see Chou Yi-liang, "Tantrism in China", HJAS 8/3–4, (March, 1945), pp. 314–15, 317. See also Manimekhalai (tr. Alain Daniélou, New York, 1989, p. 44), which refers to the footprints on the summit of Samanta-Kuta (I take Ratnadvīpa here to mean Ceylon).

¹ Tr. Martin Willson, *In Praise of Tara*: Songs to the Saviouress, London, 1986, pp. 169–206; tr. David Templeman, *The Origin of the Tārā Tantra*, Dharamsala, 1981.

² Bka' babs bdun ldan, tr. David Templeman, Dharamsala, 1983.

³ G. Roerich (ed., tr.), Biography of Dharmasvāmin, Patna, 1959.

 $^{^4}$ Lho phyogs rgya gar gyi gtam: an edition and translation are being prepared by Michael Aris (Oxford).

translation — belong to or were influenced by that tradition. The information supplied here from Tibetan sources helps in a small measure to fill that lacuna in our knowledge. The brief citation of a *Buddhavamsa of the Abhayagirivāsins (2.3) gives us the name of a lost and hitherto unknown text of that school, and shows that it was available in the India of about the 6th century. Tradition holds that Bhavya was born in and spent the greater part of his life in the South, but from where he obtained the text is not certain — and the possibility that he borrowed the citation from another work cannot be ruled out. The translations of portions of the Vimuttimagga (1.15, 2.1) show that that text was extant and studied in Northern India from ca. 800 to the early 13th century. The citations from other, unidentified texts given by Daśabalaśrīmitra (2.2) show that other Theravadin texts were circulated in Northern India in 12th or 13th century. The alternate nidānas cited by Prajñāvarman (2.4) show that he had access to a commentarial tradition on the *Udānavarga* verses that must have derived from a source common to that of the Pāli Dhammapada-atthakathā. Some of his "official" nidānas give us (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin parallels to canonical Pāli suttas; they also cast a beam of light on the sources of the Nettipakarana, one of the riddles of Pāli studies. The references in various Tanjur texts (2.5) to tenets of the Sthaviras, Tāmraśāţīyas, and affiliated schools throw at least a little light on some of the key concepts of the Theravada, such as the bhavangaviññāna and hadaya-vatthu, and show that such doctrines were known to Indian scholars. The modern translations (3.1, 2) show that the Tibetans still take an interest in the texts and tenets of all Buddhist schools, whether Mahāyāna or Śrāvakayāna, and are still indefatigable in the art of translation.

While a critical edition of the 14 texts translated by Ānandaśrī might be of some value, and would clarify the extent of redactional differences from the Pāli, its preparation would not be an enviable task, considering the many problems of translation in the Tibetan. Four of

them, however, certainly deserve further study. The Maitri-sūtra (1.5, along with 1.14, the Arya-maitri-sūtra) could be edited in conjunction with the hitherto unedited Pāli Metteyya-sutta in Burmese and Siamese manuscripts. The Pañcaśikṣānuśaṃsa (1.7) merits study since the second part (1.7B) seems to have no Pāli parallel, and is otherwise unknown. The Mahāmangala-sūtra (1.13) could fruitfully be edited along with the Tibetan Devapariprechā Mangalagāthā of unknown school, in comparison with the Pāli. A comparison of the Nandopanandadamana (1.9) in its Tibetan and Pāli versions with the Chinese sutra of the same title should prove very interesting, since the Chinese version predates Buddhaghosa's citation by about two centuries. An edition and translation of Dasabalasrimitra's citations from the Vimuttimagga (2.1), in comparison with the Chinese version, and of the same author's citations of other Theravadin texts (2.2), is a desideratum, as is a thorough comparison of both the official and alternate nidānas of Prajñāvarman (2.4) with Pāli sources. Finally, a concordance of references in Tibetan literature to Theravadin tenets and to Ceylon would be most useful.

Bangkok

Peter Skilling

Encyclopaedia of Buddhism, Colombo, 1961—

EB

Abbreviations and Bibliography

References to Pāli texts, unless otherwise noted, are to the editions of the Pāli Text Society (PTS), with standard abbreviations. Tibetan texts are cited by catalogue number under the abbreviations listed below.

Abbreviations

Appleviations	
AMG	Annales du Musée Guimet
AMG V	Léon Feer, "Fragments extraits du Kandjour", in
	Annales du Musée Guimet, V, Paris, 1883
В	Berlin manuscript Kanjur: see Beckh 1914. Since
	Beckh's catalogue does not assign a sequential
	catalogue number to each work throughout the entire
	Kanjur, but only within each single volume, I refer in
	this paper to the sequential volume number within the
	Kanjur as a whole (that given by Beckh in parentheses
	after the sectional volume number), followed by the
	catalogue number within that volume.
BA	George N. Roerich, The Blue Annals, [Calcutta, 1949]
	Delhi, 1976 (Eng. tr. of DTher)
BÉFEO	Bulletin d'École française d'Extrême-Orient
BHSD	Franklin Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit
	Grammar and Dictionary, Vol. II (Dictionary), [New
	Haven, 1953] Delhi, 1972
BSR	Buddhist Studies Review
C	Cone xylograph Kanjur: see Mibu 1959
D	Derge xylograph Kanjur and Tanjur: see Ui et al.
Dhp-a	Dhammapada-aṭṭhakathā, PTS edition
DPPN	G.P. Malalasekera, Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names,
	[1937] New Delhi, 1983
DTher	Deb ther snon po of 'Gos lo tsa ba gźon nu dpal, Si
	khron mi rigs dpe skrun khan, Chengdu, 1984

143	Encyclopacula of Datamism, Colonico, 1901		
EI	Epigraphia Indica		
GDhp	John Brough, The Gandhari Dharmapada, London,		
	1962		
Н	Lhasa xylograph Kanjur: see Takasaki 1965		
HJAS	Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies		
J	Lithang xylograph Kanjur. see Imaeda 1984		
JA	Journal asiatique		
JВ	gSan yig of 'Jam dbyans bźad pa'i rdo rje: The		
	Collected works of 'Jam-dbyans-bzad-pa'i-rdo-rje,		
	reproduced by Ngawang Gelek Demo, vol. 4, New		
	Delhi, 1972, folios 29a1-3, 29a6-29b1. No sequential		
	text or volume numbers have been assigned to this		
	work.		
JIABS	Journal of the International Association of Buddhist		
	Studies		
JP	gSan yig of Jayapaṇḍita: Collected Works of Jaya-		
	Paṇḍita blo-bzan ḥphrin-las, reproduced by		
	L. Chandra, vol. 4, New Delhi, 1981, folios 235a3-5,		
	and 235b3-5. No sequential text or volume numbers		
	have been assigned to this work.		
JPTS	Journal of the Pali Text Society		
JSS	Journal of the Siam Society		
KBC	Lewis R. Lancaster, The Korean Buddhist Canon: A		
	Descriptive Catalogue, Berkeley, 1979		
KD II	Klon rdol bla ma (1719–1805), Klon rdol nag dban		
	blo bzan gi gsun 'bum, Vol. 2 (Gans can rig mdzod		
	21), Lhasa, 1991		
Kośabhāṣya	Abhidharmakośabhāsya of Vasubandhu: see		
	Dwarikadas		
Kośavyākhyā	Abhidharmakośavyākhyā of Yasomitra: see		
	Dwarikadas		

L	London manuscript Kanjur: L.D. Barnett, "Index der Abteilung mDo des Handschriftlichen Kanjur im Britischen Museum (Or. 6724)", Asia Major, Vol. VII. No running catalogue numbers have been assigned to the London Manuscript, and the original volume numbers are uncertain: cf. Helmut Eimer, "Zur Anordnung der Abteilungen in der Londoner Handschrift des tibetischen Kanjur", in Zentralasiatischen Studien 15 (1981), pp. 537–48.
M	Urga xylograph Kanjur: see Bethlenfalvy 1980
Mvy	R. Sakaki, Mahāvyutpatti, Kyoto, 1926
N	Narthang xylograph <i>Kanjur</i> : see Takasaki 1965, and A. Csoma de Körös, <i>Analysis of Kanjur</i> , reprint Delhi, 1982
PTSD	The Pali Text Society's Pali-English Dictionary
PDhp	Gustav Roth, "Particular Features of the Language of the Ārya-Mahāsāṃghika-Lokottaravādins and their Importance for Early Buddhist Tradition", Supplement 2, "Text of the Patna Dharmapada", in Bechert 1980, pp. 97–135
Q	Peking xylograph Kanjur and Tanjur: D.T. Suzuki, ed., The Tibetan Tripitaka, Peking Edition, Catalogue I, Vol. 165, Tokyo-Kyoto, 1961.
S	Stog manuscript Kanjur: see Skorupski 1985
Sanghabh	Raniero Gnoli (ed.), The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu, Part I, Rome, 1977
T	Tokyo manuscript Kanjur: see Saito 1973
TD	Record of Teachings Received: The Gsan Yig of Gter- bdag-glin-pa 'Gyur-med-rdo-rje of Smin-grol-glin, reproduced from a unique manuscript preserved in the library of Dudjom Rimpoche by Sanje Dorje, New Delhi, 1974

U	Ulan Bator manuscript Kanjur: see Bethlenfalvy 1982					
Uv	Franz Bernhard, Udānavarga, Vol. I, Göttingen, 1965					
UvT	Siglinde Dietz and Champa Thupten Zongtse,					
	Udānavarga, Vol. III, Göttingen, 1990					
UvViv	Michael Balk, Prajñāvarman's Udānavargavivaraņa,					
	2 vols., Bonn, 1984					
VyY	Vasubandhu, Vyākhyāyukti, Q 5562, Vol. 113, sems					
	tsam, si					

Bibliography	
Bareau 1955	André Bareau, Les sectes bouddhiques du Petit Véhicule, Paris
Bareau 1956	André Bareau, "Trois traités sur les sectes bouddhiques attribués à Vasumitra, Bhavya, et Vinītadeva", Part 2, JA 1956, fasc. 2
Bechert 1980	H. Bechert (ed.), The Language of the Earliest Buddhist Tradition, Göttingen
Beckh 1914	H. Beckh, Verzeichnis der Tibetischen Handschriften der Königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin, Berlin
Bethlenfalvy 1980	Géza Bethlenfalvy, A Catalogue of the Urga Kanjur, New Delhi

	Kanjur, New Delhi
Bethlenfalvy 1982	Géza Bethlenfalvy, A Hand-list of the Ulan Bator
	Manuscript of the Kanjur Rgyal-rtse Them Spans-
	ma, Budapest

Bischoff 1968 F.A. Bischoff, *Der Kanjur und seine Kolophone*, Band II, Bloomington

Dwarikadas Swami Dwarikadas Shastri (ed.), Abhidharmakośa & Bhāṣya of Acharya Vasubandhu with Spuṭārthā Commentary of Ācārya Yaśomitra, Parts I-IV, Varanasi, 1970-73

Ehara et al. [1961]	1977 N.R.M. Ehara, Soma Thera, and Kheminda Thera, <i>The Path of Freedom (Vimuttimagga)</i> , [Colombo, 1961] Kandy, 1977
Eimer 1989	Helmut Eimer, Der Tantra-Katalog des Bu ston im Vergleich mit der Abteilung Tantra des tibetischen Kanjur (Indica et Tibetica 17), Bonn
Feer 1870	"Études bouddhiques. Les quatre vérités et la prédication de Bénarès", <i>JA</i> May-June 1870, pp. 345 foll.
Ferrari 1958	A. Ferrari, Mk'yen Brtse's Guide to the Holy Places of Central Tibet (Serie Orientale Roma XVI), Rome
Haarh 1962	Erik Haarh, "A Comparative List of the Derge and Lhasa Editions of the Kanjur", Asia Major, New Series, Vol. IX, part 2
Ihara and Yamaguo	chi 1992 Ihara Shōren and Yamaguchi Zuihō (ed.), Tibetan Studies (Proceedings of the 5th Seminar of the International Association for Tibetan Studies, Narita 1989), Narita
Iida 1980	Shotaro Iida, Reason and Emptiness: A Study in Logic and Mysticism, Tokyo
Imaeda 1984	Yoshiro Imaeda, Catalogue du Kanjur tibétain de l'édition de 'Jang sa-tham, part 2, Tokyo
Jackson 1987	David P. Jackson, The Entrance Gate for the Wise (Section III), Vol. 1, Vienna
de Jong 1972	J.W. de Jong, "Notes à propos des colophons du Kanjur", Zentralasiatische Studien 6
Lalou 1929	Marcelle Lalou, "La version tibétain des Prajñāpāramitā', <i>JA</i> , July-September 1929, pp. 87-102
Lalou 1953	Marcelle Lalou, "Les textes bouddhiques au temps du roi Khri-Sron-lde-bcan", <i>JA</i> , pp. 313–53
Lancaster	see KBC

Lévi 1932	Sylvain Lévi, "Maitreya le consolateur", Étude d'orientalisme publiés par le Musée Guimet à l				
	mémoire de Raymonde Linossier, t. II, Paris				
Ligeti 1942-44	Louis Ligeti, <i>Catalogue du Kanjur mongol imprimé</i> , Vol. I, Budapest				
Macdonald 1963	Ariane Macdonald, "Préambule à la lecture d'un Rgya-Bod Yig-chan", JA CCLI				
Mibu 1959	T. Mibu, "A Comparative List of the Bkah-hgyur Division in the Co-ne, Peking, Sde-dge and Snarthan Editions", <i>Taishō Daigaku Kenkyū-kiyō</i> , No. 44, March, 1959				
Nattier 1991	Jan Nattier, Once upon a Future Time: Studies in a Buddhist Prophecy of Decline, Berkeley				
Naudou 1968	Jean Naudou, Les bouddhistes kaśmīriens au moyen age, Paris				
Nishioka	S. Nishioka, "Index to the Catalogue Section of Buston's 'History of Buddhism'" (I), in Tōkyōdaigakubungakubu Bunkakōryūkenkyūshisetsu Kenkyū Kiyō 4 (1980), pp. 61–92; (III), ibid. 6 (1983), pp. 47–201				
Obermiller 1932	E. Obermiller, <i>History of Buddhism</i> (Chos-hbyun) by Bu-ston, II. Part, Heidelberg				
Pensa 1967	Corrado Pensa, L'Abhisamayālamkāravṛtti di Ārya- Vimuktisena, Primo Abhisamaya, Rome				
Louis Renou, Jean	Filliozat, et al., L'Inde classique, tome II, Hanoi, 1953				
Ruegg 1966	David Seyfort Ruegg, <i>The Life of Bu Ston Rin Po Che</i> (Serie Orientale Roma XXXIV), Rome				
Ruegg 1981	David Seyfort Ruegg, The Literature of the Madhyamaka School of Philosophy in India (Jan Gonda [ed.], A History of Indian Literature, Vol. VII, fasc. 1), Wiesbaden				

Ruegg 1990	David Seyfort Ruegg, "On the Authorship of Some Works Ascribed to Bhāvaviveka/Bhavya", in David Seyfort Ruegg and Lambert Schmithausen (ed.), Earliest Buddhism and Madhyamaka, Leiden, pp. 59-71
Ruegg 1992	D. Seyfort Ruegg, "Notes on Some Indian and Tibetan Reckonings of the Buddha's Nirvāṇa and the Duration of his Teaching", in Heinz Bechert (ed.), The Dating of the Historical Buddha, Part 2,
	Göttingen
Saito 1973	K. Saito, "Kawaguchi Ekai-shi Shōraii Tōyō Bunko Shozō Shahon Chibetto Daizōkyō Chōsa Bibō", in
Samten 1992	Taishō Daigaku Kenkyū-kiyō, No. 63 (Sept. 1973) Jampa Samten, A Catalogue of the Phug-Brag Manuscript Kanjur, Dharamsala
Samten and Russel	Russell, "Notes on the Lithang Edition of the Tibetan bKa'-'gyur', <i>The Tibet Journal</i> , Vol. XII, no. 3, Autumn, 1987, pp. 17–40
Schopen 1982	Gregory Schopen, "Hīnayāna Texts in a 14th Century Persian Chronicle", Central Asiatic Journal 26
Skilling 1992	Peter Skilling, "The Rakṣā Literature of the Śrāvakayāna", JPTS XVI (1992), pp. 109–82
Skorupski 1985	Tadeusz Skorupski, A Catalogue of the Stog Palace Kanjur, Tokyo
Supaphan 1990	Supaphan na Bangchang, Vivadhanākāra varrņagatī sai braḥ suttantapiṭaka ti daeng nai pradeśa thai, Bangkok, 2533 [1990]
Szerb 1990	János Szerb, Bu ston's History of Buddhism in Tibet, Vienna

Takasaki 1965	J. Takasaki, A Catalogue of the Lhasa Edition of	
	Tibetan Tripiṭaka in comparison with other editions,	
	Tokyo	
Tucci 1949	Giuseppe Tucci, Tibetan Painted Scrolls, Rome	
Tucci 1989-91	Giuseppe Tucci, Gyantse and its Monasteries,	
	Part I, General Description of the Temples (Indo-	
	Tibetica IV,1), New Delhi	
Ui et al. 1934	H. Ui et al., A Complete Catalogue of the Tibetan	
	Canons, Sendai	
Vitali 1990	Roberto Vitali, Early Temples of Central Tibet,	
	London	
Vogel 1991	Claus Vogel, "Bu-ston on the Date of the Buddha's	
	Nirvana", in Heinz Bechert (ed.), The Dating of the	
	Historical Buddha, Part 1, Göttingen, pp. 403-14	

Table 1: Location of the 13 texts after the arrangement of the Tshal pa Kanjur

Berlin	(40).13–25	Vol. 40	śer phyin	ka	nos. 13-25
Cone	1014–26	Vol. 78	śer phyin sna tshogs	ka	nos. 13–25
Derge	31–43	Vol. 34	śes rab sna tshogs	ka	nos. 13–25
Lhasa	32–44	Vol. 34	mdo tshan bcu gsum po	ka	nos. 32-44
Lithang	26–38	Vol. 39	gsar 'gyur gyi mdo	_1	nos. 13–25
Narthang	347–59	Vol. 76	mdo	a	nos. 13–25
Peking	747–59	Vol. 49	śer phyin	tsi	nos. 13–25
Urga	31–43	Vol. 34	śes rab sna tshogs	ka	nos. 19–31

¹ The catalogue does not assign a letter (ka, etc.) to the volume.

Table 2: Location of the 13 texts after the arrangement of the Them spangs ma Kanjur

London	XXXVI, 1–8	Vol. 60	mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
	XXXVI, 15–19	Vol. 60	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19
Stog	289–96	Vol. 87	mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
	303-07	Vol. 87	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19
Tokyo	286-93	Vol. 92	mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
	300–04	Vol. 92	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19
Ulan Bat	or 335–42	Vol. 89	mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
	349–53	Vol. 89	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19
JB			mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
		_	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19
JР	_	_	mdo chi (36)	nos. 1–8
	_	_	mdo chi (36)	nos. 15–19

195

Table 3: "Epithets of insight" in the Dhammacakkappavattana-sutta

Mahāvastu III 441,1	jñānam udapāsi (2)	cakṣur udapāsi (1)	vidyā udapāsi (4)	buddhi udapāsi (—)	bhūri udapāsi (—)	prajītā udapāsi (3)
Sanghabh I 135,4	cakşur udapādi (1)	jñānaṃ udapādi (2)	vidyā udapādi (4)	buddhir udapādi (—)		
S V 422,3	1. cakkhum udapādi	2. ñāṇam udapādi	3. paññā udapādi	4. vijjā udapādi	5. āloko udapādi	
Tib. tr. into Pali	cakkhum udapādi	ñāṇam udapādi	paññā udapādi	vijjā udapādi	āloko udapādi	
Derge 31, 181a6	1. mig bskyed pa dan	2. ye ses bskyed pa dan	3. śes rab bskyed pa dań	4. rig pa bskyed pa dan	5. snan ba bskyed pa'o	

Peter Skilling

ālokaṃ prādurbhūṣi (5)

Table 4: Concordance of Uv, Dhp, GDhp, PDhp, and alternate nidānas in the Krodhavarga1

	Uv	Dhp	GDhp	PDhp
1) Rohiņī	1	1	1	XIII,22
2) Moggallāna	16	4	8	XVI,15
3) *Udari	19	3	7	_
4) Bhikkhu	22	2	2	_

¹ I give the name of the chief figure as keyword.

197

gSun rtsom I 453–54 ¹	 Rab tu 'jug pa Cha ldan gTam gyi gźi Gan zag gdags pa Khams kyi gtam rNam 'byed Chos kyi tshogs
Pali	Mahāpakaraṇa² Yamaka Kathā-vatthu³ Puggala-paññatti⁴ Dhātu-kathā⁵ Vibhanga⁵ Dhammasaṅgaṇi ⁷
1.14 (Q 305a4 foll.)	id. Yamakāṃ (daň) id. Puṅ ga la (daṅ) gtsug (daṅ) Khams kyi tshogs (daṅ) rNam par 'byed pa Chos yaṅ dag par sdud pa
1.5 (D 264a1 foll.)	Abhidhamma Rab tu byed pa chen po Yamakam (gi) Tshigs su bcad pa (dan) gźi (dan) Gan zag (dan) gdags pa (dan) sKu gdun gi gtam (dan) rNam 'byed (dan) Chos bsdus pa
	A 2 & 4 & 6 & 6

¹ Chomphel also gives transcriptions of the Abhidhamma titles; these are corrupt in the printed edition. The numbers before the titles refer to the order followed by Chomphel.

B.	Suttanta			
ï	Aṅga phyi ma'i sde pa	Am go tta ra ni ka yam	Anguttara-nikāya ⁸	4. gCig las 'phros pa
5	Yan dag par Idan pa'i sde pa	Yan dag par ldan pa'i lun	Samyutta-nikāya	3. Yan dag par ldan pa
ૡ૽	Bar ma'i sde pa	Lun bar ma	Majjhima-nikāya	2. Lun bar ma
4.	Rin po'i sde pa	Lun rin po	Dīgha-nikāya	1. Luṅ riṅ po

² Rab tu byed pa = pakarana; chen po = mahā. Mahāpakarana is an alternate title of the Paṭṭhāna.

³ Both D and Q translate "gathā (tshigs su bead pa) and vatthu (gāt)". In D this is connected to the preceding by the genitive postposition gi, making one title of nos. 2 and 3: "the gāthā and vatthu of the Yamaka". 4D translates, "gan zag (= puggala) and gdags pa (= paññatti)"; Q transcribes and translates, "pungala and gtsug". I cannot explain the use

⁵D translates dhātt in the sense of "relic" (sku gdun), Q in the sense of "element" (khams). D translates kathā correctly as gtam, the tshogs of of gtsug == cūda.

Q might be a misreading of kāya for kathā.

⁶ The translation is correct: rnam (par) 'byed (pa) is the standard rendering of vibhanga. 7 Chos = dhamma; the bsdus pa of D = sangaha; Q has yan dag par = sam + sdud pa = sangaha.

⁸ D transcribes anga, and translates uttara as phyi ma; Q transcribes all. D translates nikāya as sde pa throughout, here Q transcribes nikāya, but in the next three translates it as $lu\dot{n} = \bar{a}gama$. The translations of 2 to 4 are correct; Q uses the standard Tibetan renderings of the four $\bar{A}gamas$ of the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivādin tradition.

Table 6: Occurrence of the term Tāmraśāṭīya in the Tanjur

	Author, translators	Title	Subject	Ldan kar ma no.
	Vasubandhu	Karmasiddhi-prakarana	bhavāṅga	651
	Tr. Visuddhasimha, Devendrarakşita; rev. dPal brtsegs			
5	Sumatisīla	Tikā on preceding	ctry on prec.	652
	Tr. Visuddhasimha, Devendrarakṣita; rev. dPal brtsegs			
3	Vasubandhu	Pratītyasamutpādavyākhyā citation	citation	653
	Tr. Surendrākaraprabha, Nam Mkha'			
4	Guṇamati	Tikā on preceding	ctry on prec.	654
	Tr. Surendrākaraprabha, Nam Mkha'			
5)	Yaśomitra	Kośa-vyākhyā	a) hṛdayavastu	889
	Tr. Visuddhasimha, dPal brtsegs		b) example of sect	sect
9	Vinitadeva	Samayabheda-saṃgraha	list of sects	510
	Tr. unknown			
6	Author unknown	Bhikṣuṇīprātimokṣa-vṛtti	list of sects	503
	Tr. unknown			

8	Bhavya	Tarkajvālā	a) list of sects	1
	Tr. Atīśa, Tshul khrims rgyal ba		b) citation	
6	Bhavya	Madhyamakaratnapradīpa citation	citation	
	Tr. Atīśa, Tshul khrims rgyal ba, brTson 'grus sen ge			
10)	Author unknown	Bhiksuvarṣāgrapṛcchā	list of sects	1
	Tr. Atiśa, Tshul khrims rgyal ba			
11)	Subhūtighoṣa	Sarvayānāloka	list of sects	1
	Tr. Rab 'byor dbyańs, Tiń ńe 'dzin bzań po			
12)	Author unknown	Śrāmaņeravarṣāgrapṛcchā list of sects	list of sects	I
	Tr. Narayadeva, rGyal ba'i śes rab			

Affiliation of the Tāmrasātīyas and related schools according to Tibetan sources

Sarvāstivādins Branches of the Ä

Table 7:

Bhiksuvarsāgra 318a4 Śrāmaņeravarsāgra 79a5	Sarvāstivādin (4):	Kāšyapiya Mahīšāsaka³ Dharmaguptaka Mūlasarvāstivādin —
Subhūtighoṣa 417a1	Sarvāstivādin (7):	Laśyapiya Mahāṣāsaka² Dharmaguptaka Bahuśrutīya Tāmraśāṭiya³
Bhiksuṇiprātimoksa-vṛtti 3b8 Subhūtighoṣa 417a1	Sarvāstivādin (7):	Mūlasarvāstivādin Kāśyapīya Mahišāsaka Avantaka (srui ba pa) Bahuśrutiya Tārmasátīya
Vinītadeva 187b5, 189a2 Mvy 9077–84	Sarvāstivādin (7):	Mūlasarvāstivādin Kāšyapīya Mahīšāsaka Dharmaguptaka Bahusrutīya Tāmrašātiya ⁴ Vibhajyavādin

¹ Subhūtighoṣa states that there are 7 branches, but omits the first.

² Man po ston pa'i sde, rather than the usual Sa ston pa'i sde.

B. Branches of the Vibhajyavādins (Bhavya) and Sāmmatīyas (Śrāmaņeravarṣāgra, Bhikṣuvarṣāgra)

Śrāmaņeravarsāgra 79a7 Bhiksuvarsāgra 318a7	Sāmmatīya (5):	Tāmrasāṭīya Avantaka (srui ba pa) Kurukulla Bahusrutīya Vātsīputrīya
Bhavya Q 5640, 181b8 <i>Tarkajvālā</i> 164b8	Vibhajyavādin (4):	Mahāśāsaka (man ston pa) Dharmaguptaka Tāmraśāṭiya Kāśyapīya
Bhavya Q 5640, 179a4 <i>Tarkajvālā</i> 162b5	Vibhajyavādin (4):	Mahīšāsaka (sa ston pa) Kāšyapīya Dharmaguptaka Tāmrašāñya

C. Branches of the Sthaviras

Vinitadeva 187b6, 190a3, Śrāmaneravarṣāgrapṛcchā 79a8, Bhikṣuvarṣāgrapṛcchā 318a8, Subhūtighoṣa 417a2

Sthavira (3):

Abhayagirivāsin6 Mahāvihāravāsin Jetavanīya

³ Sa ston, *Śrāmanera*, sa srun, *Bhiksu*.
⁴ = Samkrāntivādin (Vinītadeva).

⁵ Gos dmar can gyi sde, rather than the usual God dmar ba'i sde.

^{6 &#}x27;Jigs med rigs (correct to ri) gnas sde, Subhūtighoṣa; 'Jigs byed ri la gnas pa, Śrāmaṇeravarṣāgra.

EXTERNAL SANDHI IN PĀLI (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE SUTTANIPĀTA)

Since words in Pāli can, as a general rule, end only in a vowel or anusvāra, external sandhi in Pāli consists of a series of contractions of final vowels with the initial vowels of following words, or the loss of the nasalisation and the contraction of the vowel remaining.

As Geiger points out, 1 external sandhi in Pāli differs fundamentally from that in Sanskrit. It is always arbitrary and applies only to words which are syntactically closely connected. It permits hiatus, elides and combines vowels including nasalised vowels, and in particular depends upon the crasis or elision of -i and -u before vowels rather than the development to -y and -v found in Sanskrit. Windisch pointed out that such a sandhi system appears to be older and more natural than the system found in Sanskrit.

When the final vowel is -i/-e or -u/-o we do sometimes find -y or -v appearing. Since, in the case of -e and -o, this is not historically correct, I regard these as hyperforms, with -y and -v inserted incorrectly by someone who had some knowledge of Sanskrit. I similarly regard the appearance of -y and -v in place of -i and -u as insertions in imitation of Sanskrit.

On occasion, the long vowel which results from combination remains before a doubled consonant or consonant group (indicated by *CC* in the following examples), in defiance of the Law of Two Morae, by which a long vowel should be shortened in those circumstances. There seems to

¹ W. Geiger, Pāli Literatur und Sprache, 1916, § 68.

² Quoted by Geiger, *ibid*.

be no example in the Suttanipāta of this happening before a nasal + consonant (indicated by NC).

The great variety of sandhi forms which occur probably supports Windisch's belief. External sandhi in Pāli is, however, by its very variety more complex and confusing to readers, since it is not always obvious what vowels are being combined. In the belief that readers of Pāli, especially beginners, 1 might find an analysis of sandhi forms helpful, I have collected here a number of examples of external sandhi which occur in the Suttanipāta, although I have very occasionally given examples from other texts of sandhi forms which do not occur in the Suttanipāta. I make no claims for completeness, but I believe that the list contains most of the sandhi forms which readers of Pāli are likely to encounter. For the most part I give only one example of each sandhi development, usually but not always the first occurrence of the form in the Suttanipāta, Numbers without any other indication refer to the verses of the Suttanipāta, and references prefixed with p. refer to the prose portions of that text. I sometimes give additional examples in the footnotes, including references to texts other than the Suttanipata, where the sandhi is rare, or for some reason particularly interesting.

Since editors sometimes differ in the way in which they show contractions and elisions, I have omitted inverted commas, etc., and simply show the result of sandhi between hyphens. Since we cannot always be certain what vowels have been elided, I have drawn attention to possible ambiguities. As a result of the same uncertainty, some of my reconstructions may be wrong. I hope that the number of incorrect forms has been kept to a minimum.

The developments of the particles api and iti sometimes show a secondary development from the historical Sanskrit sandhi. I have discussed these in a separate excursus.

In an Appendix at the end I list the sandhi developments in reverse order, so that beginners, faced with a sandhi form which they do not recognise, may have guidance in recognising which vowels may have combined to produce the form in question.

<i>-a</i>)	$-a + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	14 yassa + anusayā > yassānusayā
	-a + aCC - > -aCC -	p. 16,6 yassa + atthāya > yassatthāya
	$-a + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC -$	5 na + ajjhagamā > nājjhagamā ¹
	-a + aNC - > -aNC	6 yassa + antarato > yassantarato
	$-a + \bar{a} - > -\bar{a}$	p. 16,5 pana + āyasmā > panāyasmā
	$-a + i$ - $> -\bar{a}$ -	84 Cunda + iti > Cundāti²
	-a + i - > -i -	p. 106,12 tassa imāni > tassimāni
	$-a + i - > -\bar{i}$ -	793 kena + idha > kenīdha
	-a + i - > -e -	$272 \text{ nigrodhassa} + iva > -asseva^3$
	-a + iCC - > -iCC	39 yena + icchakam > yenicchakam
	-a + iNC - > -iNC	516 yassa + indriyāni > yassindriyāni
	-a + u - > -u -	p. 13,5 tena + upasaṃkami > tenupa-
	$-a + u - > -\bar{u}$ -	433 na + upasussaye > nūpasussaye4
	-a + u - > -o -	221 na + upeti > nopeti ⁵
	-a + uCC - > -uCC -	435 pattassa + uttamavedanam >
		pattassuttama-
	-a + e- > -e-	81 na + esa > nesa

 $^{^{1}}$ cf. 8 foll. $na + accas\bar{a}r\bar{i} > n\bar{a}ccas\bar{a}r\bar{i}$; 291 $na + assu > n\bar{a}ssu$.

¹ Some of whom, I know, find the detailed accounts of sandhi in Geiger, §§ 68 foll. and A.K. Warder, *Introduction to Pali*, pp. 213 foll., rather daunting.

² This is probably not the result of -a + i-, but is an analogical form based upon -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- below.

 $^{^{3}}$ cf. 768 sappassa + iva > sappasseva.

⁴ cf. 801 yassa ubhayante > yassūbhayante; 849 na + upasamkheyyo > nūpa-; 1077 jīvitena + upapannam > jīvitenūpa-; 1106 ca + ubhayam > cūbhayam.

⁵ cf. 779 na + upalitto > nopalitto; 812 na + upalippati > nopalippati.

	-a + o- > -o-	270 iva + ossajanti > ivossajanti ¹
-aṃ)	$-am + a - > -\bar{a}$	p. 16,1 labheyyam + aham >
		labheyyāhaṃ ²
	-am + a - > -a -	538 -tama $m + ag\bar{a} > -tamag\bar{a}$
	-am + aCC -> -aCC	$225 samam + atthi > samatthi^3$
	$-am + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC$	769 gavam + assam > gavāssam ⁴
	-am + aNC - > -aNC	1148 pañhānaṃ antakaro >
		pañhānantakaro
	$-am + \bar{a}$ - $>$ $-\bar{a}$ -	285 brāhmaṇānaṃ + āsuṃ >
		brāhmaṇānāsuṃ
	$-am + i$ - $> -\bar{i}$ -	833 yesam + idha > yesīdha
	-aṃ + u- > -u-	700 anagāriyam + upetassa >
		anagāriyupetassa
	$-am + u - > -\bar{u}$	901 tapam upanissāya > apūpanissāya ⁵
	-aṃ + uCC- > -uCC-	248 niccam + uyyutā > niccuyyutā
-ā)	$-\bar{a} + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	53 yathā + abhirantam > yathābhi-
	$-\bar{a} + aCC - > -aCC -$	146 pāṇabhūtā (perhaps) + atthi >
		-bhūtatthī ⁶

¹ Is this iva + osajanti (with gemination of -s-) or iva + ussajanti, i.e. is it -a + o-> -o-, or -a + u-> -o-?

	$-\bar{a} + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC$	379 mantayitvā + ajjhagamā >
		mantayitvājjhagamā ¹
	$-\bar{a} + aNC - > -aNC$	570 dantā + amha > dantamha
	$-\bar{a}+\bar{a}->-\bar{a}-$	249 mantā + āhutī > mantāhutī
	$-\bar{a}+i->-\bar{i}-$	182 saddhā + idha > saddhīdha
	$-\bar{a} + iCC - > -iCC -$	512 manasā + icchasi > manasicchasi ²
	$-\bar{a} + iNC - > -iNC$ -	229 yathā + indakhīlo > yathinda-
	$-\bar{a} + e - > -e -$	19 Mahiyā + eka- > Mahiyeka-
	$-\bar{a} + o - > -o -$	60 yathā + odhikāni > yathodhikāni
-i)	-i + a - > -a -	90 hi + apeti > hapeti ³
	$-i + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴
	-i + a - > -i-	783 iti + ahan > itihan
	$-i + a$ - $> -ty\bar{a}$ -	Ja VI 325,26* ti + abhivadī > tyābhi-
	-i + aCC - > -aCC -	672 api ⁵ + atthi > apatthi
	-i + aCC - > -iCC	162 kacci + assa > kaccissa
	$-i+ar{a}->-ar{a}-$	287 sayanehi + āvasathehi >
		sayanehāvasathehi
	-i+i->-i-	381 keci + ime > kecime
	$-i+i->-\bar{i}-$	27 gavampati + idha > -patīdha ⁶

 $^{^{1}}$ cf. $830 \ s\bar{a} + assa > s\bar{a}ssa$; $1100 \ \bar{a}sav\bar{a} + assa > \bar{a}sav\bar{a}ssa$.

² cf. 353 1061 vācam abhikankhāmi > vācābhikankhāmi; 365 nibbānapadam + abhipatthayāno > -padābhipatthayāno; 788 etam + abhijānam > etābhijānam; 896 khema + abhipassam > khemābhipassam; 1033 jappam + abhilepanam > jappābhilepanam; 1057 1083 etam abhinandāmi > etābhinandāmi.

³ cf. 693 vitthārikam + assa > vitthārikassa; 970 kuvam + ajja > kuvajja [NB kuvam in 970a].

⁴ Is this internal or external sandhi, i.e. is it a compound or two separate words? Is it $-a + aCC - \bar{a}CC - am + aCC - \bar{a}CC - \bar{a}$

⁵ cf. 972 kukkucciyam + upacchinde > kukkucciyūpa-.

⁶ cf. 151 yāvatā + assa > yāvatassa; 508 Brahmā + ajja > Brahmajja; 595 padakā + asmā > padakasmā; 875–76 ettāvatā + aggam > ettāvataggam; 1022 unnā + assa > unnassa.

 $^{^{2}}$ cf. 1030 manasā + icchatha > manasicchatha.

³ cf. 231 catūhi + apāyehi > catūhapāyehi.

⁴ cf. 693 phusissati + ayam > phusissatāyam; 693 vattessati + ayam > vattessatāyam; and Thī 206 tehi + anuciņņam > tehānuciņņam.

⁵ See K.R. Norman, The Group of Discourses (= GD) II, note ad 672.

⁶ cf. p. 13,15 $bhu\tilde{n}j\bar{a}mi+iti>bhu\tilde{n}j\bar{a}miti$. The development of vowels before (i)ti follows a consistent pattern, and I have listed them in their appropriate places (e.g. $-a+i->-\bar{a}-;-i+i->-\bar{i}-;-u+i->-\bar{u}-;-e+i->-e-;-o+i->-o-$), but since the development of -am>-an in comparable situations (e.g. 9 idam+ti>idanti) seems to suggest that we are dealing with ti, not iti, I would rather suggest that, on the analogy of historical Skt $-i+i->-\bar{i}-$, e.g. $bhavati+iti>bhavat\bar{i}ti$ which was analysed as $bhavat\bar{i}ti$, short vowels before (i)ti were lengthened, and -e and -o remained unchanged. A similar analogical development has taken place in

	-i + e- > -e- -i + e- > -ye-	52 pi + etāni > petāni¹ Ja VI 267,27* ti + eke > tyeke
-iṃ)	$-im + a - > -\bar{a}$ -im + aCC - > -iCC $-im + i - > -\bar{i}$	Vin IV 162,1 kim + aham > kāham Ja V 362,25* añjalim + assa > añjalissa 1078 munim + idha > munīdha
-u)	$-u + a - > -\bar{a}$ -u + a - > -va	482 sādhu + aham > sādhāham² Ap 516,14 bhavesu + aham > bhavesvaham³
	$-u + \bar{a} - > -\bar{a} - u + \bar{a} - > -v\bar{a} - v\bar{a} - $	p. 31,18 sādhu + āvuso > sādhāvuso 424 kāmesu + ādīnavaṃ > kāmesvādīnavam
	$-u + i - > -\bar{u} - u + i - > -\bar{i} - u + i - > -\bar{i} - u + e - > -ve$	173 su + idha > sūdha ⁴ 1082–83 su + idha > sīdha p. 104,13 adhivāsetu + eva > adhivāsetveva ⁵

Ardha-Māgadhī, where the historical bhavatīti was replaced by the alternative bhavatītti, analysed as bhavatī tti, which led to the analogical -a tti and -u tti. Before the double consonant a long vowel is shortened, e.g. samītī tti (Uttarādhyayanasūtra 8.9), for samītē tti, logu ti (Utt. 8.20) for logŏ tti, and patta tti (Utt. 12.47) for pattā tti.

-uṃ)	$-um + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	D I 213,10 amu m + aha m > amāha m 1
-e)	-e + a- > -ā-	891 ye + abhivadanti > yābhivadanti²
	-e + a - > -e -	891 te + abhirattā > tebhirattā
	-e + aCC - > -aCC -	377 te + atthi > tatthi
	-e + aCC - > -yaCC -	340 te + atthu > tyatthu
	-e + aCC- > -yāСС-	961 ke + assa > kyāssa
	-e + aNC - > -aNC	62 salile + ambucārī > salilambu-
	-e + i - > -e -	$137 me + idam > medam^3$
	-e + e- > -e-	51 me + etaṃ > metaṃ
<i>-o</i>)	-o + a- > -o-	18 duddhakhīro + ahaṃ > -khīrohaṃ
	$-o + a - > -\bar{a}$	378 -cchaddo + asi > -cchaddāsi ⁴
	$-o + a - > -v\bar{a}$ -	p. 21,16 <i>kho + ahaṃ > khvāhaṃ</i> ⁵
	-o + aCC - > -aCC	61 appo + assãdo > appassādo ⁶
	-o + aCC- > -oCC-	25 bhatako + asmi > bhatakosmi
	-o + aCC - > -vaCC -	$819 \ kho + assa > khvassa$
	$-o + aCC - > -v\bar{a}CC$	998 so + ajja > svājja
	-o + aNC - > -aNC	$1040\ 1042\ ubho\ +\ antam\ >\ ubhantam^7$

¹ See Sv 389.10.

¹ cf. p. 124,5 hi + evam > hevam, where, however, hevam may be a word in its own right (see K.R. Norman, "Pāli lexicographical studies IX", JPTS Vol. XVI, 1992, pp. 77–85 [p. 85]); p. 218,18 M III 133,29 ti + eva > teva.

² For 1032 kissābhilepanam see GD II, note ad 1032.

³ I suspect that this is a Sanskritism for bhaves' aham.

⁴ p. 13,13 *bhuñjasūti* is probably not the result of -u + i-, but is an analogical form based upon -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

⁵ I suspect that this is a Sanskritism for adhivāset' eva; cf. 611 manussesu + etam > manussesvetam (= manusses' etam?); p. 126,12 tu + eva > tveva; and Thī 499 pitupitusu + eva > pitupitusveva, which is probably a Sanskritism for pitupitus' eva. See GD II, note ad 144.

 $^{^2}$ cf. 1046 kāme abhijappanti > kāmābhijappanti; 1116 dve + ahaṃ > dvāhaṃ; and Ja V 154,18* ve + ahaṃ > vāhaṃ.

³ cf. p. 21,16 dhamme + iti > dhammeti. This is, however, probably not the result of -e + i-, but is an analogical form based upon the development of -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

⁴ cf. 461 rato + aham > ratāham; 690 anuttaro + ayam > anuttarāyam; 692 orako + ayam > orakāyam; and S I 238,22 kuddho + aham > kuddhāham; Dhp 56 yo + ayam > yāyam; S II 178,8 anamataggo + ayam > anamataggāyam.
⁵ cf. Vin II 181,6 ko + ayam > kvāyam.

⁶ cf. 94 asanto + assa > asantassa; 324 sammāniviṭṭho + assa > -niviṭṭhassa; 584 bhiyyo + assa > bhiyyassa; 920 anejo + assa > anejassa; 922 lolo + assa > lolassa; 923 phuṭṭho + assa > phuṭṭhassa; 925 pādalolo + assa > pādalolassa; 994 soko + assa > sokassa; and Dhp 389 yo + assa > yassa.

⁷ cf. S III 93,9* otinno + amhi > otinnamhi.

External sandhi in Pā	li (the Suttanipāta)
-----------------------	-----------------------

211

Excursus on the sandhi of api and (i)ti

In 460 api + eva > apy eva > app eva, i.e. the Pāli sandhi is a development of the Skt sandhi, showing the assimilation of py > pp.

In 241 iti + eva > ity eva > icceva; 251 iti etam > iccetam; 355 $iti + abrav\bar{\imath} > iccabrav\bar{\imath}$, i.e. the Pāli sandhi is a development of the Skt sandhi, showing the palatalisation of ty > cc.

We also find some contexts where what must originally have been (i)ti occurs as tv, as though from tu:

kālakato tv eva sankham gacchati, M III 137,8 foll. Ekadhammasavanīyo tv eva samaññā ahosi, Th-a I 162,12.

As the first of these comes only a few pages after ti + eva > t' eva, it seems suspicious. Trenckner notes⁴ that "in canonical writings there is sufficient authority to distinguish between t' eva = ti eva and tveva = tu eva. In comments the latter is never used, but the scribes often substitute

tveva for t'eva. I do not scruple to correct it, though all my Mss give the wrong form throughout".

I suspect that the reason for this is a development of ti > tti, as in AMg, which is elided to tt' before a vowel and is then "backformed" > tv by someone who had some knowledge of Sanskrit.

We also find itveva for iti eva, i.e. it is taken as though from ito eva1:

itveva coro asim āvudhañ ca, M II 100,5* (itvevā ti evam vatvā yeva, Ps III 333,23, with v.l. iccheva [sic]) = Th 869 (iccevā [Ee so] iti eva, evam vatvā anantaram eva, Th-a III 58,26).

Shepreth

K.R. Norman

¹ cf. 142 $br\bar{a}hmano + ti > br\bar{a}hmanoti$. This is, however, probably not the result of -o + i-, but is an analogical form based upon the development of -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

² If genuine, this is presumably a secondary development from kv with a svarabhakti vowel. Perhaps we should read kvidha with Be.

³ See GD II, note ad 214.

⁴ At Mil 423 (ad Mil 114,11).

¹ See CPD s.vv. *itveva* and *itvevam*, where it is derived from *ity eva*(m), and the equivalence is *icceva*. See also Sadd 617,14–17.

APPENDIX

- a-	<	-aṃ + a- -i + a-	-āCC-	<	-a + aCC- -am + aCC- -ā + aCC-
-aCC-	<	-a + aCC-			
		-am + aCC-	-i-	<	-a+i
		-i + aCC-			-i + i-
		-e + aCC-			-o + i-
		-o + aCC-			
			-iCC-	<	-a + iCC-
-aNC-	<	-a + aNC-			$-\tilde{a} + iCC$ -
		-am + aNC-			-i + aCC-
		$-\bar{a} + aNC$ -			-iṃ + aCC-
		-e + aNC-			•
		-o + aNC-	-iNC-	<	-a + iNC-
					$-\bar{a} + iNC$ -
-ā-	<	-a + a-			
		$-a+\bar{a}$ -	-ī-	<	-a+i
		-am + a-			-am + i-
		$-am + \bar{a}$ -			$-\bar{a}+i$
		$-\bar{a} + a$ -			-i + i-
		$-\bar{a}+\bar{a}$ -			-im + i-
		-i + a-			-u+i
		$-i + \bar{a}$ -			
		-im + a-	-u-	<	-a + u-
		-u + a-			-aṃ + u-
		$-u + \tilde{a}$ -			
		-uṃ + a-	-uCC-	<	-a + uCC-
		-e + a-	-		-aṃ + uCC-
		-o + a-			,
		$-o+\bar{a}$ -	-uvi-	<	-o + i-

CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS VOLUME

Jacqueline Filliozat, 1 Suffolk House, Queen's Drive, London W3 0HN.

K.R. Norman, 6 Huttles Green, Shepreth, Royston, Herts SG8 6PR.

Peter Skilling, 49/20 Soi Ruam Rudee 3, Ploenchit, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

Ven. Thiradhammo Bhikkhu, Dhammapala Buddhistisches Kloster, Am Waldrand, 3718 Kandersteg, Switzerland.

NOTICE FROM THE COUNCIL

RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS IN PALI STUDIES

The Council of the Pali Text Society invite applications for Research Fellowships from suitably qualified persons, working in the field of Pali studies. Applicants will usually be in the fourth year of a course of graduate research, or its equivalent. The course of research will be expected to lead to publishable material, on the publication rights of which the Pali Text Society will have first option.

Fellowships will be tenable for one year in the first instance, with a possibility of renewal. When fixing the value, account will be taken of the appropriate level for a comparable research worker in the applicant's country of domicile. Letters of application and requests for information should be sent to:

Mr K.R. Norman, c/o Pali Text Society, 73 Lime Walk, Headington, Oxford OX3 7AD.

EDITORIAL NOTICE

The Council of the Pali Text Society plan to continue publication of the *Journal* on an *ad hoc* basis, as and when sufficient material of a publishable standard is received.

The Journal will publish short Pali texts, translations, and commentaries on texts, catalogues and handlists of Pali books and manuscripts, and similar material.

Papers should be sent to Mr K.R. Norman, at the above address.

To reduce printing costs, contributors are urged, whenever possible, to present their papers in a camera-ready copy form.